Positivity in Arakelov geometry and arithmetic Okounkov bodies

François Ballaÿ

Laboratoire de Mathématiques Nicolas Oresme Université Caen Normandie, France

France-Korea IRL Webinar in Number Theory November 7th, 2022

1 Positivity in Arakelov geometry

- Positivity in algebraic geometry
- Arakelov theory
- Positivity in Arakelov geometry

2 Arithmetic Okounkov bodies

- Motivation and definition
- Results
- Applications

X a smooth projective variety over a field k = k
Pic(X): group of isomorphism classes of line bundles
Let L ∈ Pic(X). Assume that H⁰(X, L) ≠ 0. We have a rational map

$$\phi_L \colon X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(X,L))$$

sending closed points to one-dimensional quotients of $H^0(X, L)$.

Positivity in algebraic geometry

We say that L is

1 very ample if $\phi_L \colon X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(X, L))$ is a closed immersion

2 ample if $L^{\otimes n}$ is very ample for some $n \ge 1$

3 big if φ_{L⊗n} is birational onto its image for some n ≥ 1. L is big if and only if

$$\operatorname{vol}(L) := \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\dim_k H^0(X, L^{\otimes n})}{n^{\dim X}/(\dim X)!} > 0.$$

4 nef if $L^{\dim Y} \cdot Y \ge 0$ for every subvariety $Y \subset X$.

Nakai-Moishezon criterion:

L is ample $\Leftrightarrow L^{\dim Y} \cdot Y > 0$ for every subvariety $Y \subset X$.



measuring the "size" of points.

Theorem (Mordell conjecture - Faltings, 1983)

Let C be an algebraic curve of genus $g \ge 2$ over \mathbb{Q} . Then $C(\mathbb{Q})$ is finite.

Theorem (Bogomolov conjecture - Ullmo, 1998)

Let C be an algebraic curve of genus $g \ge 2$ over \mathbb{Q} . There exists a a $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\{x \in C(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \mid \widehat{h}(x) < \varepsilon\}$$

is finite.

Classical algebraic geometry:

```
geometry of X \iff \operatorname{Pic}(X)
```

Arakelov geometry:

arithmetic geometry of $X/\mathbb{Q} \iff \widehat{\operatorname{Pic}}(X)$

 $\widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)$: arithmetic analogue of Pic(X)

Let X be a projective variety over \mathbb{Q} and let $L \in Pic(X)$.

•
$$L_{\infty} \in \mathsf{Pic}(X_{\infty})$$
 pull-back of L

Definition

A ∞ -adic metric on L is

- a continuous metric on L_{∞} ,
- invariant by $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$.

Given a global section $s \in H^0(X, L)$, a ∞ -adic metric $\|.\|_{\infty}$ on L gives a map

 $x \in X(\mathbb{C}) \mapsto \|s(x)\|_{\infty} \in \mathbb{R}$

Non-archimedean analogue: similarly, we can define p-adic metrics on L for every prime number p.

We denote by \mathcal{P} be the set of prime numbers.

Definition

An adelic metric $\|.\|$ on L is a collection $\|.\|=(\|.\|_{v})_{v\in\mathcal{P}\cup\{\infty\}}$ such that

- for every $v \in \mathcal{P} \cup \{\infty\}$, $\|.\|_v$ is a *v*-adic metric on *L*
- for almost all $p \in \mathcal{P}$, $\|.\|_p$ is induced by a fixed model of (X, L) over $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$

Definition

An adelic line bundle \overline{L} on X is a pair $(L, \|.\|)$ where

- $L \in \operatorname{Pic}(X)$
- $\|.\|$ is an adelic metric on L.

We denote by $\widehat{Pic}(X)$ the group of adelic line bundles on X.

Important convention: In the sequel, all the metrics are assumed semi-positive

Definition

The height of a point $x \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ with respect to \overline{L} is the quantity

$$h_{\overline{L}}(x) = -\sum_{v \in \mathcal{P} \cup \{\infty\}} \ln \|s(x)\|_v$$

where s is any section with $s(x) \neq 0$.

This construction can be generalized to define:

- the height $h_{\overline{L}}(x)$ of a point $x \in X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$
- the height $h_{\overline{I}}(Y)$ of a subvariety $Y \subseteq X$ (Gillet-Soulé, Zhang).

Arakelov theory

Algebraic geometry	\longleftrightarrow	Arakelov geometry
X/k projective		X/\mathbb{Q} projective
$L \in Pic(X)$		$\overline{L} \in \widehat{Pic}(X)$
intersections $L \cdot C$ with curves $C \subset X$		heights $h_{\overline{L}}(x)$ of points $x\in X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$
intersection $L^{\dim Y} \cdot Y$ with subvarieties $Y \subseteq X$		height $h_{\overline{L}}(Y)$ of subvarieties $Y \subseteq X$

"And the reader is likely to discover a new and interesting question just by asking for her (his) favorite statement in classical algebraic geometry"

Christophe Soulé, 1995

$$X/\mathbb{Q}$$
 projective variety, $\overline{L} = (L, \|.\|) \in \widehat{\mathsf{Pic}}(X).$

Definition

Let $s \in H^0(X, L)$ be a non-zero global section. We say that s is small if

$$\|s\|_{v, \mathsf{sup}} \leq 1$$

for every $v \in \mathcal{P} \cup \{\infty\}$, with strict inequality if $v = \infty$.

We denote by $\widehat{\Gamma}(\overline{L}) \subset H^0(X, L)$ the set of small sections.

Positivity in Arakelov geometry Arithmetic ampleness

Definition

We say that \overline{L} is ample if

L is ample, and

•
$$H^0(X, L^{\otimes n}) = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\widehat{\Gamma}(\overline{L}^{\otimes n}))$$
 for every $n \gg 1$.

Theorem (Zhang's arithmetic Nakai-Moishezon criterion)

The following are equivalent:

 $\blacksquare \overline{L}$ is ample

•
$$h_{\overline{L}}(Y) > 0$$
 for every subvariety $Y \subset X_{\overline{\mathbb{O}}}$

• $\inf_{x \in X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})} h_{\overline{L}}(x) > 0.$

Positivity in Arakelov geometry Positivity and minima

Let $\zeta_{abs}(\overline{L}) = \inf_{x \in X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})} h_{\overline{L}}(x)$. By Zhang's theorem, $\zeta_{abs}(\overline{L}) > 0 \Leftrightarrow \overline{L}$ ample.

The **essential minimum** of \overline{L} is the quantity

 $\zeta_{\mathrm{ess}}(\overline{L}) = \inf\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid \{x \in X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \mid h_{\overline{L}}(x) \leq \lambda\} \text{ is dense in } X\}.$

Remark

The Bogomolov conjecture can be reformulated as an inequality

 $\zeta_{\mathrm{ess}}(\overline{L}) > 0.$

Question:

 $\zeta_{\mathrm{ess}}(\overline{L}) > 0 \Leftrightarrow ?$

Positivity in Arakelov geometry Positivity and minima

Theorem 1 (B., 2021)

We have

$$\zeta_{\mathrm{ess}}(\overline{L}) > \mathsf{0} \Leftrightarrow \overline{L}$$
 is big.

Definition (Yuan, Moriwaki)

We say that \overline{L} is big if

$$\widehat{\mathrm{vol}}(\overline{L}) := \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln \# \widehat{\Gamma}(\overline{L}^{\otimes n})}{n^{\dim X + 1} / (\dim X + 1)!} > 0$$

- In algebraic geometry, toric varieties are special algebraic varieties than can be described combinatorially.
- Let X be a projective toric variety and L ∈ Pic(X) a toric line bundle

Toric dictionary

geometry of $(X, L) \iff$ combinatorics of $\Delta(L)$ $\Delta(L) \subset \mathbb{R}^{\dim X}$ polytope

- **Arithmetic analogue:** Burgos Gil, Philippon and Sombra (2009–2019)
- Given a *toric* adelic line bundle \overline{L} on a toric projective variety X over \mathbb{Q} , they defined a concave function on $\Delta(L)$ that encodes:
 - **1** the height $h_{\overline{L}}(X)$ and the volume $\widehat{\operatorname{vol}}(\overline{L})$
 - **2** the arithmetic positivity of \overline{L}
 - **3** the absolute and essential minima $\zeta_{\rm abs}(\overline{L})$ and $\zeta_{\rm ess}(\overline{L})$

Arakelov theory and convex geometry Context: Okounkov bodies in algebraic geometry

Question

What about non-toric varieties?

- Theory of Okounkov bodies (Lazarsfeld and Mustață, Kaveh and Khovanskii)
- X a projective variety of dimension d ≥ 1, L ∈ Pic(X) a big line bundle
- $\nu: \operatorname{Rat}(X) \to \mathbb{Z}^d$ a valuation of maximal rank on the field of rational functions $\operatorname{Rat}(X)$

Arakelov theory and convex geometry Context: Okounkov bodies in algebraic geometry

Definition (Lazarsfeld and Mustață, Kaveh and Khovanskii)

The Okounkov body $\Delta_{\nu}(L) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of L with respect to ν is the closure in \mathbb{R}^d of the set

$$\left\{ rac{
u(s)}{n} \ \Big| \ 0
eq s \in H^0(X, L^{\otimes n}), \ n \geq 0
ight\}$$

for the euclidean topology. It is a convex body (convex compact set with non-empty interior).

Theorem (Lazarsfeld and Mustață, Kaveh and Khovanskii)

We have

$$\operatorname{vol}(L) = d! \mu_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\Delta_{\nu}(L)).$$

Let X be a projective variety over \mathbb{Q} and let $\overline{L} = (L, \|.\|) \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)$. Assume that L is big. Let $d = \dim X$.

Theorem (Boucksom and Chen, 2011)

There exists a concave function $G_{\overline{L},\nu}$: $\Delta_{\nu}(L) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\widehat{\mathrm{vol}}(\overline{L}) = (d+1)! \int_{\Delta_{
u}(L)} \max\{0, \mathit{G}_{\overline{L},
u}\} d\mu_{\mathbb{R}^d}$$

and

$$h_{\overline{L}}(X) = (d+1)! \int_{\Delta_{
u}(L)} G_{\overline{L},
u} d\mu_{\mathbb{R}^d}.$$

In view of the "arithmetic toric dictionary" of Burgos Gil, Philippon and Sombra, it is natural to ask:

Question

Can we characterize

- 1 arithmetic ampleness
- **2** absolute minimum $\zeta_{abs}(\overline{L})$, essential minimum $\zeta_{ess}(\overline{L})$

in terms of $G_{\overline{L},\nu}$?

Arakelov theory and convex geometry Arithmetic ampleness and Okounkov bodies

Theorem 2 (B., 2022)

The following are equivalent:

- **1** \overline{L} is ample
- **2** *L* is ample and $\inf_{\alpha \in \Delta_{\nu}(L)} G_{\overline{L},\nu}(\alpha) > 0$.

Key ingredient of the proof: "Adelic Cauchy's inequality". Let $x \in X(\mathbb{Q})$. If there exists $s \in \widehat{\Gamma}(\overline{L})$ such that $s(x) \neq 0$, then

$$h_{\overline{L}}(x) = -\sum_{v \in \mathcal{P} \cup \{\infty\}} \ln \|s(x)\|_{v} \ge -\sum_{v \in \mathcal{P} \cup \{\infty\}} \ln \|s\|_{v, \sup} > 0.$$

More generally:

Proposition (B., 2022)

If L is (geometrically) ample, then for any $x \in X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ and for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\rho(\overline{L}, x, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$h_{\overline{L}}(x) + \varepsilon \ge -\frac{\operatorname{ord}_{x}(s)}{n}\rho(\overline{L}, x, \varepsilon)$$

for any $s \in \widehat{\Gamma}(\overline{L}^{\otimes n})$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$.

Arakelov theory and convex geometry Arithmetic ampleness and Okounkov bodies

"Proof" of the proposition:

- Construct a local section s' of $L^{\otimes n}$ with $s'(x) \neq 0$ by applying to s a differential operator of order $\operatorname{ord}_x s$
- For every $v \in \mathcal{P} \cup \{\infty\}$, use Cauchy's inequality on a suitable "disc" around x to have an inequality

$$\|s'(x)\|_{v} \leq \frac{\|s\|_{v,sup}}{
ho_{v}^{\operatorname{ord}_{x}s}} \leq \frac{1}{
ho_{v}^{\operatorname{ord}_{x}s}},$$

where $\rho_{\rm v}$ is the radius of the disc.

$$h_{\overline{L}}(x) = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{P} \cup \{\infty\}} \ln \|s'(x)\|_v \ge -\frac{\operatorname{ord}_x s}{n} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{P} \cup \{\infty\}} \rho_v.$$

"Proof" of the theorem: assume L is geometrically ample.

- \overline{L} is ample \Rightarrow inf $G_{\overline{L},\nu} > 0$ follows from the definition
- Assume inf $G_{\overline{L},\nu} > 0$

Fact: for every $x \in X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $s \in \widehat{\Gamma}(\overline{L}^{\otimes n})$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ with $\operatorname{ord}_x s \leq n\varepsilon$. By the proposition,

$$h_{\overline{L}}(x) \geq 0,$$

and therefore $\zeta_{abs}(\overline{L}) \geq 0$.

Refining the argument by slight perturbation of metrics, one even gets $\zeta_{\rm abs}(\overline{L}) > 0$. By Zhang's arithmetic Nakai-Moishezon theorem, \overline{L} is ample.

Arakelov theory and convex geometry Minima and Okounkov bodies

Theorem 3 (B.)

We have

$$\zeta_{\mathrm{ess}}(\overline{L}) = \sup_{lpha \in \Delta_{
u}(L)} \mathcal{G}_{\overline{L},
u}(lpha) \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_{\mathrm{abs}}(\overline{L}) = \inf_{lpha \in \Delta_{
u}(L)} \mathcal{G}_{\overline{L},
u}(lpha).$$

"Proof":

1 First equality: consequence of the equivalence

$$\zeta_{\mathrm{ess}}(\overline{L}) > 0 \Leftrightarrow \overline{L} \mathsf{ big}$$

(Theorem 1).

2 Second equality: direct consequence of Theorem 2

We say that
$$\overline{L}$$
 is nef if $\zeta_{abs}(\overline{L}) = \inf_{x \in X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})} h_{\overline{L}}(x) \ge 0$.

Theorem (Gillet and Soulé 1992, Moriwaki 2009)

If \overline{L} is nef, then $h_{\overline{L}}(X) = \widehat{\operatorname{vol}}(\overline{L})$.

Corollary 4 (B., 2022)

$$\overline{L}$$
 is nef if and only if $h_{\overline{L}}(X) = \widehat{\mathrm{vol}}(\overline{L})$.

Previously known under additional assumptions:

•
$$d = 1$$
 (Moriwaki, 2014)

• (X, \overline{L}) is toric (Burgos Gil, Philippon, Moriwaki, Sombra 2016)

Applications Generic nets of small points

By a theorem of Zhang,

$$\zeta_{\mathrm{ess}}(\overline{L}) \geq \widehat{h}_{\overline{L}}(X) := rac{h_{\overline{L}}(X)}{(d+1)\operatorname{vol}(L)}.$$

The case of equality is of particular importance for the study of equidistribution of small points.

Corollary 5 (B., 2022)

Assume that L is big. The following are equivalent.

1
$$\zeta_{\mathrm{ess}}(\overline{L}) = \widehat{h}_{\overline{L}}(X)$$

$$2 \zeta_{\rm abs}(\overline{L}) = \widehat{h}_{\overline{L}}(X)$$

3 the function $G_{\overline{L},\nu} \colon \Delta_{\nu}(L) \to \mathbb{R}$ is constant.

In that case, we have $G_{\overline{L},\nu}(\alpha) = \zeta_{ess}(\overline{L})$ for every $\alpha \in \Delta_{\nu}(L)$.

Thank you!