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#### Abstract

We prove an extension theorem of "Ohsawa-Takegoshi type" for Dolbeault $q$ classes of cohomology $(q \geq 1)$ on smooth compact hypersurfaces in a weakly pseudoconvex Kähler manifold.


## 1. Introduction

Let $Y$ be a complex submanifold of a Kähler manifold $X$ and let $L^{\prime}$ be a Hermitian line bundle on $X$. First consider the following
Problem. Let $f$ be a smooth $D^{\prime \prime}$-closed section of $\Lambda^{0, q} T_{X}^{\star} \otimes L^{\prime}$ over $Y$ satisfying a suitable $L^{2}$ condition. Can we find a smooth $D^{\prime \prime}$-closed extension $F$ of $f$ to $X$ together with a good $L^{2}$ estimate for $F$ on $X$ ?

The first result of this kind was obtained by T. Ohasawa and K. Takegoshi OT in the case when $Y$ is a hyperplane of a bounded pseudoconvex domain $X$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}, L^{\prime}$ is the trivial bundle and $q=0$. It was further generalized by L. Manivel Ma] (with a simplified proof by J.-P. Demailly [De3]) in the following setting: $X$ is a weakly pseudoconvex manifold, $Y$ is the zero set of a holomorphic section of a rank $r$ Hermitian bundle over $X, L^{\prime}=K_{X} \otimes L$ where $L$ is a Hermitian line bundle whose curvature satisfies appropriate positivity properties, $K_{X}$ is the canonical bundle of $X$, and $q=0$. When $q \geq 1$, the method leads to a new technical difficulty occurring in the regularity argument for $(0, q)$ forms. In [De3], Demailly suggests an approach to overcome this difficulty but, to our knowledge, the complete arguments did not appear anywhere. In this paper, we rather consider the
Modified problem. Let $q \geq 1$ and $f$ be a smooth $D^{\prime \prime}$-closed section of $\Lambda^{0, q} T_{X}^{\star} \otimes L^{\prime}$ over $Y$ satisfying a suitable $L^{2}$ condition. Can we find a smooth $D^{\prime \prime}$-closed extension $F$ of $f$ to $X$ as a cohomology class (i.e. $\left[F_{\mid Y}\right]=[f] \in H^{q}\left(Y, L^{\prime}\right)$ ) together with a good $L^{2}$ estimate for $F$ on $X$ ?

Observe that if $Y$ is a Stein submanifold (this happens e.g. when $X$ is a Stein manifold), the modified problem is not relevant when $q \geq 1$ since the Dolbeault group $H^{q}\left(Y, L^{\prime}\right)$ vanishes. In contrast, we will focus here on the case when $Y$ is a smooth compact hypersurface of a weakly pseudoconvex Kähler manifold $X$. This situation naturally happens, for example, when $X$ is a compact Kähler manifold, or when $X$ is a holomorphic family of projective algebraic manifolds fibered over the unit disc.
Theorem 1.1. Let $(X, \omega)$ be a weakly pseudonconvex n-dimensional Kähler manifold, and let $Y \subset X$ be the zero set of a holomorphic section $s \in H^{0}(X, E)$ of a Hermitian line bundle $\left(E, h_{E}\right)$; the subvariety $Y$ is assumed to be compact and nonsingular. Let $L$ be a line bundle endowed with a smooth Hermitian metric $h_{L}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sqrt{-1} \Theta(L)+\sqrt{-1} d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime} \log |s|^{2} \geq 0  \tag{1.1}\\
& \sqrt{-1} \Theta(L)+\sqrt{-1} d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime} \log |s|^{2} \geq \alpha^{-1} \sqrt{-1} \Theta(E) \text { for some } \alpha \geq 1  \tag{1.2}\\
& |s|^{2} \leq e^{-\alpha} \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

[^0]on $X$. Let $0<\kappa \leq 1$ and let $\Omega \subset X$ be a relatively compact open subset containing $Y$. Then, for any $q \geq 0$ and every smooth $D^{\prime \prime}$-closed $(0, q)$-form $f$ with values in $K_{X} \otimes L$ over $Y$, there exists a smooth extension $F$ of $f$ to $\Omega$ as a cohomology class (i.e. $\left[F_{Y}\right]=[f] \in H^{q}\left(Y, K_{X} \otimes L\right)$ ) such that
$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{|F|^{2}}{|s|^{2(1-\kappa)}} d V_{\omega} \leq \frac{C}{\kappa} \int_{Y} \frac{|f|^{2}}{|d s|^{2}} d V_{Y, \omega}
$$
where $C$ is a numerical constant depending only on $\Omega, E, L$ and $q$.
The norm of the forms with values in bundles will always be computed with respect to the one induced by $\omega, h_{E}$ and $h_{L}$. Also, $\Theta(E)$ (resp. $\Theta(L)$ ) will always denote the curvature of the Hermitian line bundle $\left(E, h_{E}\right)$ (resp. $\left(L, h_{L}\right)$ ). When the metrics will be twisted by some positive functions, the weights will appear explicitely in the formulae.

Our proof follows many of the ideas outlined in [De3]. First, using the weight bumping technique (and the adapted Bochner-Kodaira-Nakano inequality) initiated by Ohsawa and Takegoshi, for all $\varepsilon>0$, we build extensions of $f$ of class $C^{1}$ whose $L^{2}$ norm is controlled, and which are "approximately" $D^{\prime \prime}$-closed (in the sense that the $L^{2}$ norm of their $D^{\prime \prime}$-derivative is bounded by a constant times $\varepsilon$ ). Philosophically, passing to the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ should provide the desired extension but the limiting elliptic differential system is singular along $Y$ and this forbids the direct use of elliptic regularity arguments. Then, at this point, our strategy differs from Demailly's. Instead, we construct "approximate" $q$-cocycles $\zeta_{\varepsilon}$ in Čech cohomology corresponding to the previous extensions via an effective Leray's isomorphism, in a similar fashion as Y.-T. Siu in [Si]. During the process, we solve local $D^{\prime \prime}$-equations by standard techniques of L. Hörmander. Then, we can take the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and use the ellipticity of the Laplacian in bidigree $(0,0)$ to ensure the smoothness of the extending cocycle $\zeta$. Finally, reversing the process, we get a smooth extension $F$ of $f$ as a cohomology class. Notice that the constant $C$ in Theorem 1.1 is mainly related to a finite covering of $\Omega \supset Y$ by Stein open subsets (which is used to apply Leray's isomorphism) and the norm of the derivatives of a partition of unity subordinate to this finite covering. This explains in part why we need $Y$ to be compact.

A consequence of Theorem 1.1 is a qualitative surjectivity theorem for restriction morphisms in Dolbeault cohomology:
Corollary 1.2. Let $X, Y, E$ and $L$ be as in Theorem 1.1 i.e. satisfying (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3). Then the restriction morphism

$$
H^{q}\left(X, K_{X} \otimes L\right) \longrightarrow H^{q}\left(Y,\left(K_{X} \otimes L\right)_{\mid Y}\right)
$$

is surjective for any $q \geq 0$.
Applying Theorem 1.1 to $E=\mathbb{C}$ and to any semi-positive line bundle $L$ (for instance $L=\mathbb{C}$ ), we also easily get the following corollary which contains a special case of the invariance of the Hodge numbers for a family of compact Kähler manifolds (a result due to K. Kodaira and D. Spencer):

Corollary 1.3. Let $\pi: \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \Delta$ be a proper holomorphic submersion over the unit disc and $L$ a semi-positive line bundle on $\mathfrak{X}$. Assume that $\mathfrak{X}$ is a Kähler manifold of dimension $n+1$. Then, for any $q \geq 0, h^{n, q}\left(X_{t}, L\right):=\operatorname{dim} H^{n, q}\left(X_{t}, L\right)$ is independent of $t \in \Delta \quad\left(\right.$ where $\left.X_{t}=\pi^{-1}(t)\right)$.
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## 2. Preliminary material

From now on, we assume that $X, Y, L$ and $E$ satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1.
Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\bar{\Omega} \subset X_{c}:=\{x \in X, \psi(x)<c\}$, where $\psi$ is the plurisubharmonic exhaustion of $X$. Let $\mathcal{U}=\left\{U_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ be a finite covering of the closure of $\Omega$ by coordinate charts $\phi_{j}: B \longrightarrow U_{j}$ where $B$ is the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, and such that $U_{j} \subset X_{c}$ for all $j$. Denoting by $\nu$ the standard Hermitian norm on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, we assume that the functions $\varphi_{j}:=\nu \circ \phi_{j}^{-1}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{-1} \Theta(L)-\beta \sqrt{-1} \Theta(E)+\sqrt{-1} d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime} \varphi_{j} \geq \omega \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $U_{j}$ for any $\beta \in[0,1]$ (this is always possible if the $U_{j}$ 's are chosen small enough). For any multi-index $\left(j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}\right)$, we shall denote by $\varphi_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}$ the function $\sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \varphi_{j_{i}}$ which is defined on the intersection $U_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}:=U_{j_{0}} \cap \cdots \cap U_{j_{\ell}}$.

If $F$ is a smooth Hermitian vector bundle over $X$ and $U \subset X$ is an open subset then, for all integer $k$, we denote by $\mathcal{E}^{k}(U, F)$ the space of sections of $F$ over $U$ which are of class $C^{k}$ and by $\mathcal{E}_{c}^{k}(U, F)$ those with compact support. We also denote by $W^{k}(U, F)$ the Sobolev space of sections whose derivatives (in the sense of distribution theory) up to order $k$ are in $L^{2}$.

Let us recall three useful results taken from [De1] (Remark 1.6, Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 6.9):

Proposition 2.1. (a) $X_{c} \backslash Y$ is complete Kähler.
(b) Let $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ be two Hermitian forms on $T_{X}$ such that $\omega \leq \omega^{\prime}$. Let $E$ be a Hermitian vector bundle on $X$. Then, for any $q \geq 0$ and any $u \in \Lambda^{n, q} T_{X}^{\star} \otimes E,|u|_{\omega^{\prime}}^{2} d V_{\omega^{\prime}} \leq|u|_{\omega}^{2} d V_{\omega}$.
(c) Let $\Omega$ be an open subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $Y$ a complex analytic subset of $\Omega$. Assume that $v$ is $a(p, q-1)$-form with $L_{\text {loc }}^{2}$ coefficients and $w a(p, q)$-form with $L_{\text {loc }}^{1}$ coefficients such that $d^{\prime \prime} v=w$ on $\Omega \backslash Y$ (in the sense of distribution theory). Then $d^{\prime \prime} v=w$ on $\Omega$.

The following lemma is a consequence of a classical result (see [De2], Corollary 5.3):
Lemma 2.2. Let $m$ and $p$ be positive integers.
(a) Let $v \in W^{m}\left(U_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}, \Lambda^{n, p} T_{X}^{\star} \otimes L \otimes E^{-1}\right)$ such that $D^{\prime \prime} v=0$ and

$$
\int_{U_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}}|v|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}} d V_{\omega}<+\infty
$$

Then there exists $a(n, p-1)$ form $u \in W^{m+1}\left(U_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}, \Lambda^{n, p-1} T_{X}^{\star} \otimes L \otimes E^{-1}\right)$ such that $D^{\prime \prime} u=v$ and

$$
\int_{U_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}}|u|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{j_{0}}, \ldots, j_{\ell}} d V_{\omega} \leq \frac{1}{p} \int_{U_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}}|v|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{j_{0}}, \ldots, j_{\ell}} d V_{\omega}
$$

(b) Let $0<\kappa \leq 1$ and $\varepsilon>0$. Let $v \in W^{m}\left(U_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}, \Lambda^{n, p} T_{X}^{\star} \otimes L\right)$ such that $D^{\prime \prime} v=0$ and

$$
\int_{U_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}} \frac{|v|^{2}}{\left(|s|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{1-\kappa}} e^{-\varphi_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}} d V_{\omega}<+\infty
$$

Then there exists a $(n, p-1)$ form $u \in W^{m+1}\left(U_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}, \Lambda^{n, p-1} T_{X}^{\star} \otimes L\right)$ such that $D^{\prime \prime} u=v$ and

$$
\int_{U_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}} \frac{|u|^{2}}{\left(|s|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{1-\kappa}} e^{-\varphi_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}} d V_{\omega} \leq \frac{1}{p} \int_{U_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}} \frac{|v|^{2}}{\left(|s|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{1-\kappa}} e^{-\varphi_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}} d V_{\omega}
$$

Proof. We only check the hypotheses of Corollary 5.3 in [De2]. The open subset $U_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}} \subset X$ is Stein and on $U_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}$, the line bundle $L \otimes E^{-1}$, resp. $L$, endowed with its metric twisted by $e^{-\varphi_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}}$, resp. $\left(|s|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{-(1-\kappa)} e^{-\varphi_{j_{0}}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}$, has curvature

$$
\sqrt{-1} \Theta(L)-\sqrt{-1} \Theta(E)+\sqrt{-1} d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime} \varphi_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}},
$$

resp.

$$
\sqrt{-1} \Theta(L)+(1-\kappa) \sqrt{-1} d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime} \log \left(|s|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)+\sqrt{-1} d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime} \varphi_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}},
$$

which, by inequality (3.2) and assumption (2.1), is bounded from below by

$$
\sqrt{-1} \Theta(L)-\sqrt{-1} \Theta(E)+\sqrt{-1} d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime} \varphi_{j_{0}} \geq \omega
$$

resp.

$$
\sqrt{-1} \Theta(L)-(1-\kappa) \frac{\langle\sqrt{-1} \Theta(E) s, s\rangle}{|s|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}}+\sqrt{-1} d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime} \varphi_{j_{0}} \geq \omega
$$

The fact that $u$ can be chosen in the Sobolev space $W^{m+1}$ comes from the ellipticity of the Laplacian. Let us explain why in the case (a), the case (b) being completely similar. In fact, the $D^{\prime \prime}$-equation is solved using complete metrics $\omega_{\varepsilon}$ on $U_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\varepsilon}}$, such that $\omega_{\varepsilon} \geq \omega$ and $\omega_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \omega$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. For any $\varepsilon>0$, the corresponding minimal solution $u_{\varepsilon}$ (i.e. the one satisfying $D^{\prime \prime} u_{\varepsilon}=v$ and $\left.u_{\varepsilon} \in\left(\operatorname{Ker} D^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\perp_{\omega_{\varepsilon}}}\right)$ is such that

$$
\int_{U_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|_{\omega_{\varepsilon}}^{2} e^{-\varphi_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}} d V_{\omega_{\varepsilon}} \leq \frac{1}{p} \int_{U_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}}|v|_{\omega_{\varepsilon}}^{2} e^{-\varphi_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}} d V_{\omega_{\varepsilon}} \leq \frac{1}{p} \int_{U_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}}|v|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}} d V_{\omega}
$$

where the latter inequality comes from Proposition $2.1(b)$. Then, there exists a sequence $\left(\varepsilon_{\mu}\right)$ converging to 0 such that $u_{\varepsilon_{\mu}}$ converges weakly to some $u$ in $L_{\text {loc }}^{2}$ as $\mu \rightarrow+\infty: u$ satisfies $D^{\prime \prime} u=v$,

$$
\int_{U_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}}|u|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}} d V_{\omega} \leq \frac{1}{p} \int_{U_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}}|v|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}} d V_{\omega}
$$

but also $u \in\left(\operatorname{Ker} D^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\perp^{\perp}}=\overline{\operatorname{Im}\left(D^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\star \omega}}$ (and therefore $\left.\left(D^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\star \omega} u=0\right)$. Indeed, $L^{2}(\omega) \subset L^{2}\left(\omega_{\varepsilon}\right)$ because $\left|.\left.\right|_{\omega_{\varepsilon}} ^{2} d V_{\omega_{\varepsilon}} \leq|.|^{2} d V_{\omega}\right.$ and since $\omega_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \omega$, we get $u \in\left(\operatorname{Ker} D^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\perp^{\perp}}$ by dominated convergence theorem. Finally, $u$ satisfies $D^{\prime \prime} u=v$ and $\left(D^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\star} u=0$ which is an elliptic differential system and standard arguments give $u \in W^{m+1}$ if $v \in W^{m}$.

Notice that we can skip the extraction of a weak limit if we only need a solution with the same estimate on a slightly smaller relatively compact open subset of $U_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}$ : all we have to do is take a complete metric on $U_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}$ which coincides with $\omega$ on the smaller subset.

Finally, we also select a smooth partition of unity $\left\{\sigma_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ subordinate to $\mathcal{U}$ (i.e. for each $j, \sigma_{j} \in \mathcal{E}_{c}^{\infty}\left(U_{j},[0,1]\right)$ and $\sum_{j \in J} \sigma_{j}(x)=1$ for any $\left.x \in \Omega\right)$.

## 3. Proof of the theorem

Recall that, by assumption, $Y \subset X$ is a smooth hypersurface so that $E \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X}(Y)$.
3.1. Construction of smooth extensions. In this section, we prove the following

Lemma 3.1. For any $k \geq 0$, there exists a smooth section

$$
\widetilde{f}_{\infty} \in \mathcal{E}^{\infty}\left(X, \Lambda^{n, q} T_{X}^{\star} \otimes L\right)
$$

such that
(a) $\tilde{f}_{\infty}$ coincides with $f$ in restriction to $Y$,
(b) $\left|\widetilde{f}_{\infty}\right|=|f|$ at every point of $Y$,
(c) $D^{\prime \prime} \widetilde{f}_{\infty}=0$ at every point of $Y$,
(d) $s^{-1} D^{\prime \prime} \widetilde{f}_{\infty} \in \mathcal{E}^{k}\left(X, \Lambda^{n, q+1} T_{X}^{\star} \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X}(-Y)\right)$.

Proof. Let us cover $Y$ by coordinate patches $W_{j} \subset X$ biholomorphic to polydiscs and with the following property: if we denote the corresponding coordinates by $\left(z_{j}, w_{j}\right) \in \Delta \times \Delta^{n-1}$, where $w_{j}=\left(w_{j}^{1}, \ldots, w_{j}^{n-1}\right)$, then $W_{j} \cap Y=\left\{z_{j}=0\right\}$. On each $W_{j}$, we fix some holomorphic $\sigma_{j} \in \Gamma\left(W_{j}, K_{X} \otimes L\right)$ which trivialize $K_{X} \otimes L$.

As explained in [De3], the restriction map $\left(\Lambda^{0, q} T_{X}^{\star}\right)_{\mid Y} \longrightarrow \Lambda^{0, q} T_{Y}^{\star}$ can be viewed as an orthogonal projection onto a $C^{\infty}$ subbundle of $\left(\Lambda^{0, q} T_{X}^{\star}\right)_{\mid Y}$. One might extend this subbundle from $W_{j} \cap Y$ to $W_{j}$ and then extend $f$ on $W_{j}$ by some smooth form $\widehat{f}_{j} \in \mathcal{E}^{\infty}\left(W_{j}, \Lambda^{n, q} T_{X}^{\star} \otimes L\right)$. Using a smooth partition of unity $\theta_{j} \in \mathcal{E}_{c}^{\infty}\left(W_{j}, \mathbb{R}\right), \sum_{j} \theta_{j}=1$ on a neighbourhood of $Y$, we get a global smooth extension $\widehat{f}=\sum_{j} \theta_{j} \widehat{f_{j}}$ of $f$ which fulfills conditions (a) and (b). Since

$$
\left(D^{\prime \prime} \widehat{f}\right)_{\mid Y}=\left(D^{\prime \prime} \widehat{f}_{\mid Y}\right)=D^{\prime \prime} f=0,
$$

we can write $D^{\prime \prime} \widehat{f}=d \bar{z}_{j} \wedge g_{j}$ on $W_{j} \cap Y$ for some smooth $(0, q)$-forms $g_{j}$ which we extend arbitrarily to $W_{j}$. Then

$$
\widetilde{f}_{\infty}:=\widehat{f}-\sum_{j} \theta_{j} \bar{z}_{j} g_{j}
$$

coincides with $\widehat{f}$ on $Y$ and satisfies (c).
We proceed by induction to get (d). Assume that on each $W_{j}$,

$$
D^{\prime \prime} \widetilde{f}_{\infty}=z_{j} f_{j}\left(z_{j}, w_{j}\right)+\bar{z}_{j}^{k}\left[d \bar{z}_{j} \wedge \sum_{|I|=q} a_{I}\left(w_{j}\right) \sigma_{j} d \bar{w}_{j}^{I}+\sum_{\left|I^{\prime}\right|=q+1} b_{I^{\prime}}\left(w_{j}\right) \sigma_{j} d \bar{w}_{j}^{I^{\prime}}\right]+\bar{z}_{j}^{k+1} h_{j}\left(z_{j}, w_{j}\right)
$$

for some $f_{j}, h_{j} \in \mathcal{E}^{\infty}\left(W_{j}, \Lambda^{n, q+1} T_{X}^{\star} \otimes L\right), a_{I}, b_{I^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{E}^{\infty}\left(\Delta^{n-1}, \mathbb{C}\right), k \geq 1$, and where the multiindices $I, I^{\prime}$ are increasing. We say that $\widetilde{f}_{\infty}$ enjoys property $\left(P_{k}\right)$. Remark that such an equality implies that $s^{-1} D^{\prime \prime} \tilde{f}_{\infty} \in \mathcal{E}^{k-2}\left(X, \Lambda^{n, q+1} T_{X}^{\star} \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X}(-Y)\right)$ if $k \geq 2$. Moreover, the extension $\widetilde{f}_{\infty}$ we just constructed satisfies property $\left(P_{1}\right)$ because $D^{\prime \prime} \widetilde{f}_{\infty}=0$ along $Y$.

Of course, $D^{\prime \prime}\left(D^{\prime \prime} \widetilde{f}_{\infty}\right)=0$, but also the direct computation gives

$$
D^{\prime \prime}\left(D^{\prime \prime} \tilde{f}_{\infty}\right)=z_{j} D^{\prime \prime} f_{j}\left(z_{j}, w_{j}\right)+k \bar{z}_{j}^{k-1} d \bar{z}_{j} \wedge\left[\sum_{\left|I^{\prime}\right|=q+1} b_{I^{\prime}}\left(w_{j}\right) \sigma_{j} d \bar{w}_{j}^{I^{\prime}}\right]+\bar{z}_{j}^{k} h_{j}^{\prime}\left(z_{j}, w_{j}\right)
$$

for some $h_{j}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{E}^{\infty}\left(W_{j}, \Lambda^{n, q+2} T_{X}^{\star} \otimes L\right)$, hence the $b_{I}$ 's must vanish identically. So if we take

$$
\widetilde{f}_{\infty}^{\prime}=\widetilde{f}_{\infty}-\sum_{j} \theta_{j} \frac{\bar{z}_{j}^{k+1}}{k+1} \sum_{|I|=q} a_{I}\left(w_{j}\right) \sigma_{j} d \bar{w}_{j}^{I},
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{\prime \prime} \widetilde{f}_{\infty}^{\prime}= & D^{\prime \prime} \widetilde{f}_{\infty}-\sum_{j}\left(\frac{\bar{z}_{j}^{k+1}}{k+1} d^{\prime \prime} \theta_{j}+\theta_{j} \bar{z}_{j}^{k} d \bar{z}_{j}\right) \wedge \sum_{|I|=q} a_{I}\left(w_{j}\right) \sigma_{j} d \bar{w}_{j}^{I} \\
& -\sum_{j} \theta_{j} \frac{\bar{z}_{j}^{k+1}}{k+1} D^{\prime \prime}\left(\sum_{|I|=q} a_{I}\left(w_{j}\right) \sigma_{j} d \bar{w}_{j}^{I}\right) \\
= & \sum_{j} \theta_{j}\left(D^{\prime \prime} \widetilde{f}_{\infty}-\bar{z}_{j}^{k} d \bar{z}_{j} \wedge \sum_{|I|=q} a_{I}\left(w_{j}\right) \sigma_{j} d \bar{w}_{j}^{I}\right)+\sum_{j} \bar{z}_{j}^{k+1} h_{j}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{j}, w_{j}\right) \\
= & \sum_{j} z_{j} \theta_{j} f_{j}\left(z_{j}, w_{j}\right)+\sum_{j} \bar{z}_{j}^{k+1}\left(\theta_{j} h_{j}\left(z_{j}, w_{j}\right)+h_{j}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{j}, w_{j}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $h_{j}^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{E}_{c}^{\infty}\left(W_{j}, \Lambda^{n, q+1} T_{X}^{\star} \otimes L\right)$. Then, $\widetilde{f_{\infty}^{\prime}}$ enjoys property $\left(P_{k+1}\right)$.
3.2. Construction of approximate extensions with control. Let $\theta: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow[0,1]$ be a smooth function with support in $(-\infty, 1)$, such that $\theta \equiv 1$ on $(-\infty, 1 / 2]$ and $\left|\theta^{\prime}\right| \leq 4$, and consider the truncated extension of $f$

$$
\widetilde{f}_{\varepsilon}:=\theta\left(\varepsilon^{-2}|s|^{2}\right) \tilde{f}_{\infty}
$$

where $\tilde{f}_{\infty}$ is the extension provided by Lemma 3.1. such that $s^{-1} D^{\prime \prime} \tilde{f}_{\infty} \in \mathcal{E}^{k}\left(X, \Lambda^{n, q+1} T_{X}^{\star} \otimes\right.$ $L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X}(-Y)$ ) for some $k \geq 1$ which will be determined later. We wish to solve on $X$ the equation

$$
D^{\prime \prime} u_{\varepsilon}=D^{\prime \prime} \widetilde{f}_{\varepsilon}
$$

with estimate, and the additional constraint that $u_{\varepsilon}$ vanishes along $Y$. We also expect some regularity on $u_{\varepsilon}$ in order to justify that $\widetilde{f}_{\varepsilon}-u_{\varepsilon}$ is a ( $D^{\prime \prime}$-closed) extension of $f$. In general, we are not able to get this by the method we use here, and we can only produce approximate solutions.

The fundamental tool is the following existence result (see [De3], Pa ):
Theorem 3.2. Let $X$ be a complete Kähler manifold of dimension n equipped with a (not necessarily complete) Kähler metric $\omega$, and let $L$ be a line bundle endowed with a smooth Hermitian metric. Assume that there exist two smooth bounded functions $\eta, \lambda>0$ on $X$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta \sqrt{-1} \Theta(L)-\sqrt{-1} d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime} \eta-\sqrt{-1} \frac{d^{\prime} \eta \wedge d^{\prime \prime} \eta}{\lambda} \geq \sqrt{-1} \tau d^{\prime} \mu \wedge d^{\prime \prime} \mu \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive function $\tau$ and some function $\mu$. Let us consider the (densely defined) modified $D^{\prime \prime}$ operators

$$
T u:=D^{\prime \prime}(\sqrt{\eta+\lambda} u) \text { and } S u:=\sqrt{\eta}\left(D^{\prime \prime} u\right)
$$

acting on forms with values in $L$. Let $g=d^{\prime \prime} \mu \wedge g_{0}+g_{2}$ be a $L^{2}$ form of $(n, q+1)$ type ( $q \geq 0$ ) with values in $L$ such that
(a) $D^{\prime \prime} g=0$,
(b) $g_{0} \in L^{2}\left(X, \Lambda^{n, q} T_{X}^{\star} \otimes L\right)$,
(c) $C\left(g_{0}, \tau\right):=\int_{X} 1 / \tau\left|g_{0}\right|^{2} d V_{\omega}<+\infty$,
(d) $\left|g_{2}\right|^{2} \leq \gamma C\left(g_{0}, \tau\right)$ almost everywhere for some positive constant $\gamma$.

Then, for any $u \in \operatorname{Dom} T^{\star} \cap \operatorname{Dom} S$, we have

$$
\left|\int_{X}\langle g, u\rangle d V_{\omega}\right|^{2} \leq C\left(g_{0}, \tau\right)\left(\left\|T^{\star} u\right\|^{2}+\|S u\|^{2}+\gamma\|u\|^{2}\right)
$$

In particular, there exist $v \in L^{2}\left(X, \Lambda^{n, q} T_{X}^{\star} \otimes L\right)$ and $w \in L^{2}\left(X, \Lambda^{n, q+1} T_{X}^{\star} \otimes L\right)$ such that

$$
T v+\gamma^{1 / 2} w=g
$$

together with the estimate

$$
\int_{X}|v|^{2} d V_{\omega}+\int_{X}|w|^{2} d V_{\omega} \leq C\left(g_{0}, \tau\right)
$$

As before, let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\bar{\Omega} \subset X_{c}$. For simplicity, we will assume in the sequel that $X=X_{c}$. We are going to apply Theorem 3.2 to $D^{\prime \prime} \widetilde{f}_{\varepsilon}$ on $X \backslash Y$. By Proposition 2.1 (a), $X \backslash Y$ can be equipped with a complete Kähler metric. As for the bundle $L$, we endow it with its original metric multiplied with the weight $|s|^{-2}$ in order to force the vanishing of the approximate solution along $Y$.

For any $\varepsilon>0$, we set $\sigma_{\varepsilon}:=-\log \left(\varepsilon^{2}+|s|^{2}\right)$. Remark that, because of the condition $|s| \leq e^{-\alpha}$, this function is positive for $\varepsilon$ small enough. Let $\chi: \mathbb{R}_{+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be any strictly concave function whose derivative satisfies $1 \leq \chi^{\prime} \leq 2$ and such that $\chi\left(-\log \left(\varepsilon^{2}+e^{-2 \alpha}\right)\right) \geq 2 \alpha$ for any $\varepsilon>0$ small enough (in [De3] and [Pa, $\chi(t)=t+\log (1+t)$ but we will choose other functions picked in [MV]). Let us define the two positive functions (again $\varepsilon$ is assumed to be small enough)

$$
\eta_{\varepsilon}:=\chi\left(\sigma_{\varepsilon}\right) \text { and } \lambda_{\varepsilon}:=-\frac{\chi^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}}{\chi^{\prime \prime}\left(\sigma_{\varepsilon}\right)} .
$$

Although this is done carefully in [De3] and Pa , we check quickly that $\eta_{\varepsilon}$ and $\lambda_{\varepsilon}$ fulfill condition (3.1) in Theorem 3.2. It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sqrt{-1} d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime} \sigma_{\varepsilon} \geq \sqrt{-1} \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{|s|^{2}} d^{\prime} \sigma_{\varepsilon} \wedge d^{\prime \prime} \sigma_{\varepsilon}-\frac{\langle\sqrt{-1} \Theta(E) s, s\rangle}{\varepsilon^{2}+|s|^{2}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it is straightforward that

$$
d^{\prime} \eta_{\varepsilon}=\chi^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{\varepsilon}\right) d^{\prime} \sigma_{\varepsilon}, \quad d^{\prime \prime} \eta_{\varepsilon}=\chi^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{\varepsilon}\right) d^{\prime \prime} \sigma_{\varepsilon}, \quad d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime} \eta_{\varepsilon}=\chi^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{\varepsilon}\right) d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime} \sigma_{\varepsilon}+\chi^{\prime \prime}\left(\sigma_{\varepsilon}\right) d^{\prime} \sigma_{\varepsilon} \wedge d^{\prime \prime} \sigma_{\varepsilon}
$$

Thus, since $\chi^{\prime}$ is positive,

$$
-\sqrt{-1} d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime} \eta_{\varepsilon} \geq\left(\frac{1}{\chi^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{\varepsilon}\right)} \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{|s|^{2}}+\frac{1}{\lambda_{\varepsilon}}\right) \sqrt{-1} d^{\prime} \eta_{\varepsilon} \wedge d^{\prime \prime} \eta_{\varepsilon}-\frac{\chi^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{\varepsilon}\right)}{\varepsilon^{2}+|s|^{2}}\langle\sqrt{-1} \Theta(E) s, s\rangle
$$

If $\varepsilon$ is small enough, then for any $x \in X, \eta_{\varepsilon}(x) \geq \chi\left(-\log \left(\varepsilon^{2}+e^{-2 \alpha}\right)\right) \geq 2 \alpha$. Taking into account the curvature assumptions $\sqrt{1.1)}$ and $\sqrt{1.2}$ in Theorem 1.1 as well as the fact that $\chi^{\prime} \leq 2$, we obtain

$$
\eta_{\varepsilon}\left(\sqrt{-1} \Theta(L)+\sqrt{-1} d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime} \log |s|^{2}\right) \geq \frac{\eta_{\varepsilon}}{\alpha} \sqrt{-1} \Theta(E) \geq \frac{\chi^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{\varepsilon}\right)}{\varepsilon^{2}+|s|^{2}}\langle\sqrt{-1} \Theta(E) s, s\rangle
$$

Finally, summing up the two latter inequalities, we get
$\eta_{\varepsilon}\left(\sqrt{-1} \Theta(L)+\sqrt{-1} d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime} \log |s|^{2}\right)-\sqrt{-1} d^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime} \eta_{\varepsilon}-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\lambda_{\varepsilon}} d^{\prime} \eta_{\varepsilon} \wedge d^{\prime \prime} \eta_{\varepsilon} \geq \frac{1}{\chi^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{\varepsilon}\right)} \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{|s|^{2}} \sqrt{-1} d^{\prime} \eta_{\varepsilon} \wedge d^{\prime \prime} \eta_{\varepsilon}$ which proves that 3.1 is fulfilled with $\tau=\frac{1}{\chi^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{\varepsilon}\right)} \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{|s|^{2}}$ and $\mu=\eta_{\varepsilon}$. Now, we can write

$$
D^{\prime \prime} \widetilde{f}_{\varepsilon}=d^{\prime \prime} \eta_{\varepsilon} \wedge g_{\varepsilon}+\theta\left(\frac{|s|^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) D^{\prime \prime} \widetilde{f}_{\infty}
$$

where

$$
g_{\varepsilon}:=\left(1+\frac{|s|^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \theta^{\prime}\left(\frac{|s|^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \frac{\widetilde{f}_{\infty}}{\chi^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{\varepsilon}\right)}
$$

A quick computation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{X \backslash Y} \theta^{\prime}\left(\frac{|s|^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)^{2}\left|\widetilde{f}_{\infty}\right|^{2} d V_{\omega}=c_{0} \int_{Y} \frac{|f|^{2}}{|d s|^{2}} d V_{Y, \omega} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some "universal" constant $c_{0}$. Therefore, since $\theta\left(\varepsilon^{-2}|s|^{2}\right)$ is supported in $\{|s|<\varepsilon\}$, and since $D^{\prime \prime} \widetilde{f}_{\infty}=0$ on $Y$, for any $\gamma>0$,

$$
\left|\theta\left(\frac{|s|^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) D^{\prime \prime} \widetilde{f}_{\infty}\right| \leq \gamma \int_{X \backslash Y} \frac{1}{\tau} \frac{\left|g_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}}{|s|^{2}} d V_{\omega}=\frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{X \backslash Y}\left(1+\frac{|s|^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)^{2} \theta^{\prime}\left(\frac{|s|^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)^{2}\left|\widetilde{f}_{\infty}\right|^{2} d V_{\omega}
$$

if $\varepsilon>0$ is small enough.

Hence, we can apply Theorem 3.2 we find $u_{\varepsilon, \gamma}=\sqrt{\eta_{\varepsilon}+\lambda_{\varepsilon}} v_{\varepsilon, \gamma}$ and $w_{\varepsilon, \gamma}$ which satisfy the equation

$$
D^{\prime \prime} u_{\varepsilon, \gamma}+\gamma^{1 / 2} w_{\varepsilon, \gamma}=D^{\prime \prime} \widetilde{f}_{\varepsilon}
$$

on $X \backslash Y$ and such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{X \backslash Y} \frac{\left|u_{\varepsilon, \gamma}\right|^{2}}{|s|^{2}\left(\eta_{\varepsilon}+\lambda_{\varepsilon}\right)} d V_{\omega}+\int_{X \backslash Y} \frac{\left|w_{\varepsilon, \gamma}\right|^{2}}{|s|^{2}} d V_{\omega} & \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{X \backslash Y}\left(1+\frac{|s|^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)^{2} \theta^{\prime}\left(\frac{|s|^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{\left|\widetilde{f}_{\infty}\right|^{2}}{\chi^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{\varepsilon}\right)} d V_{\omega} \\
& \leq \frac{4}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{X \backslash Y} \theta^{\prime}\left(\frac{|s|^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)^{2}\left|\widetilde{f}_{\infty}\right|^{2} d V_{\omega} .
\end{aligned}
$$

3.3. Regularization of the approximate solution. Recall that $Y$ is a divisor such that $E \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X}(Y)$. Here we use a trick of Demailly: we consider $s^{-1} u_{\varepsilon, \gamma}$ (resp. $s^{-1} w_{\varepsilon, \gamma}$ ) as a $L^{2}$ $(0, q)$-form (resp. $(0, q+1)$-form) with values in the twisted line bundle $K_{X} \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X}(-Y)$ equipped with a smooth Hermitian metric. By Proposition 2.1 (c), we can write

$$
D^{\prime \prime}\left(s^{-1} u_{\varepsilon, \gamma}\right)+\gamma^{1 / 2} s^{-1} w_{\varepsilon, \gamma}=s^{-1} D^{\prime \prime} \widetilde{f}_{\varepsilon}
$$

not only on $X \backslash Y$ but also on $X$ because $s^{-1} u_{\varepsilon, \gamma}$ is locally $L^{2}, s^{-1} w_{\varepsilon, \gamma}$ is $L^{2}$ hence locally $L^{1}$, and $s^{-1} D^{\prime \prime} \widetilde{f}_{\varepsilon}$ is of class $C^{k}$ hence locally $L^{1}$ (recall that $\widetilde{f}_{\infty}$, as chosen in Lemma 3.1, is such that $s^{-1} D^{\prime \prime} \tilde{f}_{\infty}$ is of class $C^{k}, k \geq 1$ ). However, we do not know much about the regularity of $u_{\varepsilon, \gamma}$ and $w_{\varepsilon, \gamma}$.

But $\mathcal{E}_{c}^{\infty}\left(X, \Lambda^{n, q} T_{X}^{\star} \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X}(-Y)\right)$ is dense in Dom $D^{\prime \prime}$ for the graph norm, where we consider $D^{\prime \prime}$ as an operator acting on $(n, q)$ forms on $X$ with values in $L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X}(-Y)$. More precisely, the density holds when $X$ is endowed with a complete metric. If $X=X_{c}$ as we assumed above, we can work instead on $X_{c^{\prime}}$ for some $c^{\prime}>c$, and there exists on $X_{c^{\prime}}$ some complete Kähler metric which coincides with $\omega$ on $X_{c}$.

Then, we can find some $t_{\varepsilon, \gamma} \in \mathcal{E}^{\infty}\left(X, \Lambda^{n, q} T_{X}^{\star} \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X}(-Y)\right)$, which is $L^{2}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{X} \frac{\left|t_{\varepsilon, \gamma}\right|^{2}}{\eta_{\varepsilon}+\lambda_{\varepsilon}} d V_{\omega}-\int_{X} \frac{\left|s^{-1} u_{\varepsilon, \gamma}\right|^{2}}{\eta_{\varepsilon}+\lambda_{\varepsilon}} d V_{\omega}\right| \leq \varepsilon \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(recall that $\eta_{\varepsilon}$ is bounded by $2 \alpha$ from below), and $D^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{\varepsilon, \gamma}-s^{-1} u_{\varepsilon, \gamma}\right)$ has $L^{2}$ norm bounded by $\gamma^{1 / 2}$ from above. As a consequence,

$$
D^{\prime \prime} t_{\varepsilon, \gamma}=s^{-1} D^{\prime \prime} \widetilde{f}_{\varepsilon}+r_{\varepsilon, \gamma}
$$

on $X$, with $r_{\varepsilon, \gamma} \in \mathcal{E}^{k}\left(X, \Lambda^{n, q+1} T_{X}^{\star} \otimes L \otimes E^{-1}\right)$ since $D^{\prime \prime} t_{\varepsilon, \gamma}$ and $s^{-1} D^{\prime \prime} \widetilde{f}_{\varepsilon}$ are of class $C^{k}$. Moreover, $r_{\varepsilon, \gamma}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{X}\left|r_{\varepsilon, \gamma}\right|^{2} \leq C_{1}^{2} \gamma \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constant $C_{1}$ depending on $f$, but not on $\varepsilon$ and $\gamma$ (see (3.4) and (3.3). Finally, $s^{-1} D^{\prime \prime} \widetilde{f}_{\varepsilon}$ is $D^{\prime \prime}$-closed on $X \backslash Y$, hence on $X$ by Proposition $2.1(c)$, and therefore $D^{\prime \prime} r_{\varepsilon, \gamma}=0$.
3.4. The choice of $\eta_{\varepsilon}$ and $\lambda_{\varepsilon}$. Let us come now to the choice of $\eta_{\varepsilon}$ and $\lambda_{\varepsilon}$ (see MV] for more details). For any $0<\kappa \leq 1$, we define for $t \geq 0$ the functions

$$
g_{\kappa}(t)=\kappa^{-1} e^{\kappa t}, \quad h_{\kappa}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{2 e^{\kappa y}-1} d y \text { and } \chi_{\kappa}(t)=1+t+h_{\kappa}(t) .
$$

One checks immediatly that $1 \leq \chi_{\kappa}^{\prime} \leq 2$ and $\chi_{\kappa}^{\prime \prime}<0$. Moreover,

$$
\chi_{\kappa}\left(-\log \left(\varepsilon^{2}+e^{-2 \alpha}\right)\right) \geq 1-\log \left(\varepsilon^{2}+e^{-2 \alpha}\right) \geq 1+2 \alpha-\log \left(1+\varepsilon^{2} e^{2 \alpha}\right) \geq 2 \alpha
$$

when $\varepsilon$ is small enough. Clearly, $\chi_{\kappa}(t) \leq 1+2 t$ and it follows that

$$
\frac{\chi_{\kappa}(t)}{g_{\kappa}(t)} \leq \frac{\kappa(1+2 t)}{e^{\kappa t}} \leq 2
$$

as is seen from a simple computation. Moreover,

$$
-\frac{\chi_{\kappa}^{\prime}(t)^{2}}{\chi_{\kappa}^{\prime \prime}(t)} \leq 2 g_{\kappa}(t)
$$

As a consequence, if we fix $\kappa \leq 1$ and take $\chi=\chi_{\kappa}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{\varepsilon}+\lambda_{\varepsilon} \leq \frac{4}{\kappa\left(|s|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{\kappa}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

3.5. Construction of $q$-cochains via Leray's isomorphism. Recall that we have fixed a finite open covering $\mathcal{U}=\left\{U_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ of $\bar{\Omega}$. We endow the group $C_{2}^{\ell}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{E}^{1}\left(\Lambda^{n, p} T_{X}^{\star} \otimes L \otimes E^{-1}\right)\right)$ of (alternate) $\ell$-cochains with values in $\mathcal{E}^{1}\left(\Lambda^{n, p} T_{X}^{\star} \otimes L \otimes E^{-1}\right)$ which are $L^{2}$ with the norm

$$
\left\|\varsigma^{\ell}\right\|^{2}=\max _{j_{0}<\cdots<j_{\ell}} \int_{U_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}}\left|\varsigma_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}^{\ell}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{j_{0}}, \ldots, j_{\ell}} d V_{\omega}
$$

and for all $0<\kappa \leq 1$ and $\varepsilon>0$, we endow $C_{2}^{\ell}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{E}^{1}\left(\Lambda^{n, p} T_{X}^{\star} \otimes L\right)\right)$ with the norm

$$
\left\|\varsigma^{\ell}\right\|_{\kappa, \varepsilon}^{2}=\max _{j_{0}<\cdots<j_{\ell}} \int_{U_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}} \frac{\left|\varsigma_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}^{\ell}\right|^{2}}{\left(|s|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{1-\kappa}} e^{-\varphi_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}} d V_{\omega}
$$

Remark that in the case when $\varsigma^{0}$ is the 0 -cocycle associated to a section $\varsigma$ of $\mathcal{E}^{1}\left(\Lambda^{n, p} T_{X}^{\star} \otimes L \otimes\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.E^{-1}\right)\right)\left(\operatorname{resp} . \mathcal{E}^{1}\left(\Lambda^{n, p} T_{X}^{\star} \otimes L\right)\right)\right)$,

$$
\left\|\varsigma^{0}\right\|^{2} \leq \int_{X}|\varsigma|^{2} d V_{\omega}\left(\operatorname{resp} .\left\|\varsigma^{0}\right\|_{\kappa, \varepsilon}^{2} \leq \int_{X} \frac{|\varsigma|^{2}}{\left(|s|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{1-\kappa}} d V_{\omega}\right)
$$

since the $\varphi_{j}$ 's are nonnegative.
Now, we construct a $(q+1)$-cocycle in $Z^{q+1}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}\left(K_{X} \otimes L \otimes E^{-1}\right)\right)$ corresponding to $r_{\varepsilon, \gamma}$ via Leray's isomorphism between the Dolbeault and the Cech cohomology groups. In fact, we are mostly interested in the intermediate cochains which appear during the process and the control we have on their norm. The extension $\widetilde{f}_{\infty}$ of $f$ is supposed to be sufficiently regular (i.e. $k$ is large enough in Proposition 3.1) in order that $r_{\varepsilon, \gamma}$, and every cochain obtained by solving local $D^{\prime \prime}$-equations below, is at least of class $C^{1}$ (see section 3.3. Lemma 2.2 and use Sobolev lemma: $W^{m}(U) \subset \mathcal{E}^{1}(U)$ for any open subset $U \subset X$ if $\left.m>1+\frac{n}{2}\right)$.

For notational simplicity, we denote $r_{\varepsilon, \gamma,\left(j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}\right)}^{\ell} \in \Gamma\left(U_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}, \mathcal{E}^{1}\left(\Lambda^{n, q-\ell} T_{X}^{\star} \otimes L \otimes E^{-1}\right)\right)$ by $r_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}^{\ell}$.

First, we solve the equation $D^{\prime \prime} r_{j}^{0}=r_{\varepsilon, \gamma}$ on the $U_{j}$ 's, then we solve the equations

$$
D^{\prime \prime} r_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell+1}}^{\ell+1}=\left(\delta r^{\ell}\right)_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell+1}} \quad \text { on } \quad U_{j_{0}} \cap \cdots \cap U_{j_{\ell+1}} \quad(0 \leq \ell \leq q-1)
$$

using each time Lemma 2.2 (a). Finally, $\delta r_{\varepsilon, \gamma}^{q} \in Z^{q+1}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}\left(K_{X} \otimes L \otimes E^{-1}\right)\right)$ is a representative in Čech cohomology of $\left[r_{\varepsilon, \gamma}\right] \in H^{q+1}\left(X, K_{X} \otimes L \otimes E^{-1}\right)$.
Lemma 3.3. For any $\ell$, we have

$$
\left\|r_{\varepsilon, \gamma}^{\ell}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{(\ell+1) \ldots 2.1}{(q+1) \cdot q \ldots(q-\ell+1)}\left\|r_{\varepsilon, \gamma}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{(\ell+1) \ldots 2.1}{(q+1) \cdot q \ldots(q-\ell+1)} \int_{X}\left|r_{\varepsilon, \gamma}\right|^{2} d V_{\omega}
$$

Proof. For any $\ell \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|r_{\varepsilon, \gamma}^{\ell}\right\|^{2} & \leq \frac{1}{q-\ell+1}\left\|\delta r_{\varepsilon, \gamma}^{\ell-1}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{\ell+1}{q-\ell+1}\left\|r_{\varepsilon, \gamma}^{\ell-1}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left\|r_{\varepsilon, \gamma}^{0}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{q+1}\left\|r_{\varepsilon, \gamma}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{q+1} \int_{X}\left|r_{\varepsilon, \gamma}\right|^{2} d V_{\omega}
$$

by the estimate in Lemma 2.2 (a).

In a similar manner, we produce a $q$-cochain $\zeta_{\varepsilon, \gamma} \in C^{q}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}\left(K_{X} \otimes L\right)\right)$ corresponding to the "approximately" $D^{\prime \prime}$-closed extension $\widetilde{f}_{\varepsilon}-s t_{\varepsilon, \gamma} \in \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{E}^{1}\left(\Lambda^{n, q} T_{X}^{\star} \otimes L\right)\right)$ of $f$. More precisely, on the $U_{j}$ 's, we solve the equation $D^{\prime \prime} h_{j}^{0}=\widetilde{f}_{\varepsilon}-s t_{\varepsilon, \gamma}+s r_{\varepsilon, \gamma, j}^{0}$, then we solve

$$
D^{\prime \prime} h_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell+1}}^{\ell+1}=\left(\delta h^{\ell}\right)_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell+1}}+(-1)^{\ell+1} s r_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell+1}}^{\ell+1} \quad \text { on } \quad U_{j_{0}} \cap \cdots \cap U_{j_{\ell}+1} \quad(0 \leq \ell \leq q-2)
$$

using Lemma 2.2 (b). This is indeed possible since the right-hand side is $D^{\prime \prime}$-closed: if

$$
D^{\prime \prime} h_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}^{\ell}=\left(\delta h^{\ell-1}\right)_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}+(-1)^{\ell} s r_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}^{\ell}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{\prime \prime}\left(\delta h^{\ell}\right)_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell+1}}=\left(\delta\left(D^{\prime \prime} h^{\ell}\right)\right)_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell+1}} & =\left(\delta^{2} h^{\ell-1}\right)_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell+1}}+(-1)^{\ell} s\left(\delta r^{\ell}\right)_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell+1}} \\
& =0+(-1)^{\ell} s D^{\prime \prime} r_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell+1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, let $\zeta_{\varepsilon, \gamma}:=\delta h_{\varepsilon, \gamma}^{q-1}+(-1)^{q} \operatorname{sr}_{\varepsilon, \gamma}^{q} \in C^{q}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}\left(K_{X} \otimes L\right)\right.$ ) (in particular $D^{\prime \prime} \zeta_{\varepsilon, \gamma}=0$ and $\zeta_{\varepsilon, \gamma}$ is actually smooth by ellipticity of $D^{\prime \prime}$ in bidegree $\left.(0,0)\right)$.

In the next proposition, we denote by $\mathcal{V}$ the finite Stein covering $\left\{V_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}=\left\{U_{j} \cap Y\right\}_{j \in J}$ of $Y$.

Proposition 3.4. Let $0<\kappa \leq 1$. The cochain $\zeta_{\varepsilon, \gamma}$ enjoys the following properties:
(a)

$$
\left\|\zeta_{\varepsilon, \gamma}\right\|_{\kappa, \varepsilon}^{2} \leq \frac{16(q+1) c_{0}}{\kappa} \int_{Y} \frac{|f|^{2}}{|d s|^{2}} d V_{Y, \omega}+\beta(\varepsilon, \gamma)
$$

where $\beta$ is a positive function such that $\beta(\varepsilon, \gamma) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon, \gamma \rightarrow 0$ (recall that for any $\gamma>0$, $\zeta_{\varepsilon, \gamma}$ only exists if $\varepsilon>0$ is small enough).
(b) For any $\varepsilon, \gamma, \zeta_{\varepsilon, \gamma \mid Y} \in Z^{q}\left(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{O}\left(\left(K_{X} \otimes L\right)_{\mid Y}\right)\right)$ is a representative in Čech cohomology of the cohomology class $[f] \in H^{q}\left(Y,\left(K_{X} \otimes L\right)_{\mid Y}\right)$.

Proof. Let $\chi=\chi_{\kappa}$ be as in section 3.4. We use the corresponding $\eta_{\varepsilon}$ and $\lambda_{\varepsilon}$.
(a) We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\zeta_{\varepsilon, \gamma}\right\|_{\kappa, \varepsilon} & \leq\left\|\delta h_{\varepsilon, \gamma}^{q-1}\right\|_{\kappa, \varepsilon}+\left\|s r_{\varepsilon, \gamma}^{q}\right\|_{\kappa, \varepsilon} \\
& \leq \sqrt{q+1}\left\|h_{\varepsilon, \gamma}^{q-1}\right\|_{\kappa, \varepsilon}+e^{-\frac{\alpha \kappa}{2}}\left\|r_{\varepsilon, \gamma}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 3.3, since $|s|^{2}\left(|s|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{-(1-\kappa)} \leq|s|^{\kappa} \leq e^{-\frac{\alpha \kappa}{2}}$ according to assumption (1.3). In the same way, for any $\ell \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|h_{\varepsilon, \gamma}^{\ell}\right\|_{\kappa, \varepsilon} & \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{q-\ell}}\left(\left\|\delta h_{\varepsilon, \gamma}^{\ell-1}\right\|_{\kappa, \varepsilon}+\left\|s r_{\varepsilon, \gamma}^{\ell}\right\|_{\kappa, \varepsilon}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{\sqrt{\ell+1}}{\sqrt{q-\ell}}\left\|h_{\varepsilon, \gamma}^{\ell-1}\right\|_{\kappa, \varepsilon}+\frac{\sqrt{(\ell+1) \ldots 2.1}}{\sqrt{(q+1) \cdot q \ldots(q-\ell)}} e^{-\frac{\alpha \kappa}{2}}\left\|r_{\varepsilon, \gamma}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

where we also used the estimate in Lemma 2.2 (b). Finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|h_{\varepsilon, \gamma}^{0}\right\|_{\kappa, \varepsilon} & \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\left(\left\|\widetilde{f}_{\varepsilon}-s t_{\varepsilon, \gamma}\right\|_{\kappa, \varepsilon}+\left\|s r_{\varepsilon, \gamma}^{0}\right\|_{\kappa, \varepsilon}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\left\|\widetilde{f}_{\varepsilon}-s t_{\varepsilon, \gamma}\right\|_{\kappa, \varepsilon}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{(q+1) \cdot q}} e^{-\frac{\alpha \kappa}{2}}\left\|r_{\varepsilon, \gamma}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Collecting all these inequalities, we get

$$
\left\|\zeta_{\varepsilon, \gamma}\right\|_{\kappa, \varepsilon} \leq \sqrt{q+1}\left\|\widetilde{f}_{\varepsilon}-s t_{\varepsilon, \gamma}\right\|_{\kappa, \varepsilon}+q e^{-\frac{\alpha \kappa}{2}}\left\|r_{\varepsilon, \gamma}\right\| \leq \sqrt{q+1}\left\|\widetilde{f}_{\varepsilon}-s t_{\varepsilon, \gamma}\right\|_{\kappa, \varepsilon}+q C_{1} \gamma^{1 / 2} .
$$

Now, it is easy to see that

$$
\int_{X} \frac{\left|\widetilde{f}_{\varepsilon}-s t_{\varepsilon, \gamma}\right|^{2}}{\left(|s|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{1-\kappa}} d V_{\omega} \leq \int_{X} \frac{\left|s t_{\varepsilon, \gamma}\right|^{2}}{\left(|s|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{1-\kappa}} d V_{\omega}+C_{2} \varepsilon^{2 \kappa}
$$

for some constant $C_{2}$, as $\widetilde{f}_{\varepsilon}$ is uniformly bounded with support in $\{|s|<\varepsilon\}$. Finally, by (3.7),

$$
\int_{X} \frac{\left|s t_{\varepsilon, \gamma}\right|^{2}}{\left(|s|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{1-\kappa}} d V_{\omega} \leq \int_{X}\left(|s|^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{\kappa}\left|t_{\varepsilon, \gamma}\right|^{2} d V_{\omega} \leq \frac{4}{\kappa} \int_{X} \frac{\left|t_{\varepsilon, \gamma}\right|^{2}}{\eta_{\varepsilon}+\lambda_{\varepsilon}} d V_{\omega}
$$

and the desired inequality follows from (3.4), (3.5) and (3.3).
(b) It is clear since on $U_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}+1} \cap Y$, the restriction of $\left(\delta h^{\ell}\right)_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}$ is always $D^{\prime \prime}$-closed, hence we construct an "exact" representative in restriction to $Y$.
3.6. Passing to the limit and reversing the process to get the extension. Now, we just have to make $\gamma$ and $\varepsilon$ go to zero and extract a weak limit of $\zeta_{\varepsilon, \gamma}$. This weak limit $\zeta$ is an element of $Z^{q}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}\left(K_{X} \otimes L\right)\right)$ since $D^{\prime \prime} \zeta_{\varepsilon, \gamma}=0$ for any $\varepsilon, \gamma$, and $\delta \zeta_{\varepsilon, \gamma}=(-1)^{q} \delta\left(s r_{\varepsilon, \gamma}^{q}\right)$ which, by (3.6) and Proposition 3.3 has $L^{2}$ norm bounded by some constant times $\gamma^{1 / 2}$, thus $\delta \zeta=0$. Moreover, $\zeta_{\mid Y} \in Z^{q}\left(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{O}\left(\left(K_{X} \otimes L\right)_{\mid Y}\right)\right)$ is a representative in Čech cohomology of the cohomology class $[f] \in H^{q}\left(Y,\left(K_{X} \otimes L\right)_{\mid Y}\right)$ since this is the case of any $\zeta_{\varepsilon, \gamma_{\mid Y}}$ by Proposition 3.4 (b). For all $j_{0}, \ldots, j_{q}$, as the $\varphi_{j}$ 's are bounded from above by 1 , we obtain

$$
\int_{U_{j_{0}, \ldots, j q}} \frac{|\zeta|^{2}}{|s|^{2(1-\kappa)}} d V_{\omega} \leq \frac{16 e^{q+1}(q+1) c_{0}}{\kappa} \int_{Y} \frac{|f|^{2}}{|d s|^{2}} d V_{Y, \omega}
$$

if we take the limit in the inequality of Proposition 3.4 (a).
Finally, we construct the desired extension $F$ in the following way. For $0 \leq \ell \leq q-1$, we produce $\xi^{\ell} \in C^{\ell}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{E}^{\infty}\left(\Lambda^{n, q-\ell-1} T_{X}^{\star} \otimes L\right)\right)$ such that
(i) $\left(\delta \xi^{q-1}\right)_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{q}}=\zeta_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{q}}$ on $U_{j_{0}} \cap \cdots \cap U_{j_{q}}$,
(ii) $\left(\delta \xi^{\ell}\right)_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell+1}}=D^{\prime \prime} \xi_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell+1}}^{\ell+1}$ on $U_{j_{0}} \cap \cdots \cap U_{j_{\ell+1}}(0 \leq \ell \leq q-2)$.

These $\delta$-equations are solved by using the partition of unity $\left\{\sigma_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ subordinate to $\mathcal{U}$ in the following way:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{q-1}}^{q-1} & =\sum_{i} \sigma_{i} \zeta_{i, j_{0}, \ldots, j_{q-1}}, \\
\xi_{j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}^{\ell} & =\sum_{i} \sigma_{i} D^{\prime \prime} \xi_{i, j_{0}, \ldots, j_{\ell}}^{\ell+1} \quad(0 \leq \ell \leq q-2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we set

$$
F=D^{\prime \prime} \xi_{j}^{0}=q!\sum_{\substack{j_{1} \lll j_{q} \\ j_{i} \neq j}} \zeta_{j_{q}, \ldots, j_{1}, j} d^{\prime \prime} \sigma_{j_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge d^{\prime \prime} \sigma_{j_{q}}
$$

on $U_{j}$. Then, $F$ defines a $D^{\prime \prime}$-closed section in $\Gamma\left(\Omega, \mathcal{E}^{\infty}\left(\Lambda^{0, q} T_{X}^{\star} \otimes K_{X} \otimes L\right)\right)$ such that $\left[F_{\mid Y}\right]=$ $[f] \in H^{q}\left(Y,\left(K_{X} \otimes L\right)_{\mid Y}\right)$ and the estimate

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{|F|^{2}}{|s|^{2(1-\kappa)}} d V_{\omega} \leq \frac{16 e^{q+1}(q+1) c_{0} C_{\sigma}|J|!}{\kappa(|J|-q-1)!} \int_{Y} \frac{|f|^{2}}{|d s|^{2}} d V_{Y, \omega}
$$

holds for some constant $C_{\sigma}$ depending only on the partition of unity $\left\{\sigma_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ and $q$ (one can take $C_{\sigma}=\max _{j \in J}\left|d^{\prime \prime} \sigma_{j}\right|^{2 q}$.
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