

# The analytic rank of $J_0(q)$ and zeros of automorphic $L$ -functions

E. Kowalski, Princeton University,      P. Michel, Université de Montpellier

March 16, 2003

## Abstract

We study zeros of the  $L$ -functions  $L(f, s)$  of primitive weight two forms of level  $q$ . Our main result is that, on average over forms  $f$  of level  $q$  prime, the order of the  $L$ -functions at the central critical point  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  is absolutely bounded. On the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture, this provides an upper bound for the rank of the Jacobian  $J_0(q)$  of the modular curve  $X_0(q)$ , which is of the same order of magnitude as what is expected to be true.

## 1 Introduction

This paper is motivated by the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer relating the rank of the Mordell-Weil group of an abelian variety defined over a number field with (in its crudest form) the order of vanishing of its Hasse-Weil  $L$ -function at the central critical point. Mestre [Mes] started the study of the implications of this conjecture towards providing upper-bounds for the rank. He used “explicit formulae” similar to that of Riemann-Weil, and assumed the analytic continuation and, more significantly perhaps, the Riemann Hypothesis for those  $L$ -functions.

Brumer [Br1] first studied the special case of the Jacobian variety  $J_0(q)$  of the modular curve  $X_0(q)$ . This is an abelian variety defined over  $\mathbf{Q}$  of dimension  $\gg q$ . Here analytic continuation is known, by work of Eichler and Shimura [Sh1]. Assuming only the Riemann Hypothesis for the  $L$ -functions of automorphic forms (of weight 2 and level  $q$ ), Brumer proved

$$\text{rank}_a J_0(q) \leq \left( \frac{3}{2} + o(1) \right) \dim J_0(q)$$

and conjectured that

$$\text{rank } J_0(q) = \text{rank}_a J_0(q) \sim \frac{1}{2} \dim J_0(q)$$

(based on the fact that the sign of the functional equation for the automorphic  $L$ -functions of weight 2 and level  $q$  is approximately half the time  $+1$  and half the time  $-1$ ).

Other authors, notably Murty [Mur] (who first applied the Petersson formula in this context), considered the same problem. Most recently, Luo, Iwaniec and Sarnak [ILS], with the same assumptions, have proved an estimate

$$\text{rank}_a J_0(q) \leq (c + o(1)) \dim J_0(q)$$

for some (explicit) constant  $c < 1$ . This turns out to be quite significant in light of the quite general conjectures of Katz-Sarnak [KS] on the distribution of zeros of families of  $L$ -functions.

This paper approaches the same problem with a different emphasis: we wish to avoid all assumptions about the  $L$ -functions involved, and obtain a bound of the correct order of magnitude. Indeed, we prove

**Theorem 1.** *There exists an absolute and effective constant  $C > 0$  such that for any prime number  $q$ ,*

$$\text{rank}_a J_0(q) \leq C \dim J_0(q).$$

*If the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture holds for  $J_0(q)$ , then*

$$\text{rank } J_0(q) \leq C \dim J_0(q).$$

This theorem provides the first known unconditional bound for the analytic rank of a family of  $L$ -functions which is of the correct order of magnitude, without using the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis. We were inspired by the unconditional bounds for the analytic rank of twists of elliptic curves obtained by Perelli and Pomykala [PP].

No remotely comparable upper bound for  $\text{rank } J_0(q)$  seems to be accessible by algebraic means today (descents, trying to get control of the Selmer group, etc...).

The starting point of this work is the factorization of the Hasse-Weil zeta function of  $J_0(q)$ , due to Eichler and Shimura [Sh1] (completed by Carayol at the bad primes)

$$L(J_0(q), s) = \prod_{f \in S_2(q)^*} L(f, s + 1/2). \quad (1)$$

where  $f$  ranges over the finite set  $S_2(q)^*$  of primitive forms (newforms)  $f$  of weight 2 and level  $q$ , and  $L(f, s)$  is the corresponding Hecke  $L$ -function, normalized so that the critical line is  $\text{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ .

Hence the order of vanishing of the  $L$ -function of  $J_0(q)$  at  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  is the sum of the order of vanishing of the Hecke  $L$ -functions at  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$\text{rank}_a J_0(q) = \sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*} \text{ord}_{s=\frac{1}{2}} L(f, s)$$

and if the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture holds, then

$$\text{rank } J_0(q) = \sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*} \text{ord}_{s=\frac{1}{2}} L(f, s).$$

Thus our main theorem is equivalent with

**Theorem 2.** *There exists an absolute and effective constant  $C > 0$  such that for any prime number  $q$  we have*

$$\sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*} \text{ord}_{s=\frac{1}{2}} L(f, s) \leq C |S_2(q)^*|.$$

The strategy that we use is based on the explicit formula, except that a much tighter control of the possible zeros outside the critical line is required. This is obtained by means of the Density Theorem 4 for zeros of automorphic  $L$ -functions with imaginary parts as close as  $1/\log q$ , which is the crucial scale in this problem. This density theorem is similar to one proved by Selberg [Sel] for Dirichlet characters, and is based on the study of a mollified second moment of values of the  $L$ -functions close to the critical line (see below for details).

This proof is carried out in Sections 4 and 5, after some (important) preliminary result in Section 3. While we were working on this result, it was suggested to us by Iwaniec that the fundamental estimate in the proof of Theorem 1 (for a mollified second moment of values of the  $L$ -functions), can also be adapted to prove *non-vanishing* results for the special values  $L(f, \frac{1}{2})$ , analogous to part of [IS]. Specifically, this yields

**Theorem 3.** *For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any  $q$  prime large enough (in terms of  $\varepsilon$ ) we have*

$$|\{f \in S_2(q)^* \mid L(f, \frac{1}{2}) \neq 0\}| \geq \left(\frac{1}{6} - \varepsilon\right) |S_2(q)^*|.$$

In [KM3], the case of the special values of the derivatives  $L'(f, \frac{1}{2})$ , for forms with  $L(f, \frac{1}{2}) = 0$ , is treated by the same method. We refer to this paper for some more details.

Earlier, Duke [Du] had proved that the number of forms  $f$  with  $L(f, \frac{1}{2}) \neq 0$  was  $\gg q/(\log q)^2$ . Independently, Vanderkam [Vdk] has also proved that there is a positive proportion (although with smaller constant) of forms with  $L(f, \frac{1}{2}) \neq 0$ .

This provides a lower-bound for the dimension of the winding quotient of Merel [Me]. In particular, the work of Kolyvagin-Logachev [KL] implies that there is a quotient of  $J_0(q)$  defined over  $\mathbf{Q}$  with finite Mordell-Weil group and dimension  $\geq (1/6 + o(1)) \dim J_0(q)$ .

The work of Iwaniec and Sarnak [IS] contains, among many other results for various families of  $L$ -functions, a proof of the much more difficult fact that  $1/6$  can be replaced by  $1/4$ . Moreover,  $1/4$  is the natural barrier in this problem, in the sense (the original motivation of [IS]) that any constant  $> 1/4$  (with some additional lower-bound on  $L(f, \frac{1}{2})$ , which is proved in [IS] to hold for  $1/4$ ) would prove that Landau-Siegel zeros do not exist for Dirichlet  $L$ -functions of quadratic characters, or equivalently provide an effective lower-bound for class numbers of imaginary quadratic fields

$$h(\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-D})) \gg \frac{\sqrt{D}}{(\log D)^2}$$

for  $D > 0$ .

**Acknowledgements.** The first version of this paper [KM1], [KM2] was completed almost two years ago. The current text is based on [Kow]. Some density theorems of independent interest which were originally part of [KM2] will be published separately.

More recently, much progress has been made in the direction of upper bounds for  $\text{rank}_a J_0(q)$ . First, a possible value of the constant  $C$  can actually be computed; using the methods of this paper, with some improvements to obtain a better result, it is shown in [KM4] that we can take  $C = 6.5$ .

Also, very recent work, in collaboration with J. Vanderkam [KMV1], based on different techniques and more sophisticated arguments, has shown that  $C = 1.18191$  is attainable; observe that this is better than Brumer's original bound using the Riemann Hypothesis.

We wish to thank É. Fouvry for numerous discussions and suggestions and particularly H. Iwaniec for also showing us some of his preliminary notes for [IS].

## 2 Notations and preliminaries

Throughout this paper, unless otherwise specified,  $q$  is a fixed (large) prime.

Let  $S_2(q)$  (resp.  $S_2(q)^*$ ) be the space (resp. the finite set) of holomorphic weight 2 cusp forms of level  $q$  (resp. primitive weight 2 forms of level  $q$ ). Recall ([Sh1, 1]) that

$$\dim S_2(q) = \dim J_0(q) = |S_2(q)^*| \sim \frac{q}{12},$$

the last equality because, as  $S_2(1) = 0$ , there are no old forms of level  $q$  and weight 2 (we use here that  $q$  is prime).

We now list notations and facts which will be used extensively in the sequel. Let  $f \in S_2(q)^*$  be given. We write  $\lambda_f(n)$  for its Hecke eigenvalues, which also give the Fourier expansion of  $f$  at infinity:

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{1/2} \lambda_f(n) e(nz), \quad \text{with } \lambda_f(1) = 1, \lambda_f(n) \in \mathbf{R}. \quad (2)$$

Deligne's bound (in the particular case of weight 2 this is due to Eichler-Shimura [Sh1]) for the coefficients of holomorphic cusp forms takes the form

$$|\lambda_f(n)| \leq \tau(n). \quad (3)$$

Recall also that the Hecke  $L$ -function of a primitive form  $f$  is defined by

$$L(f, s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_f(n) n^{-s} = \prod_p (1 - \lambda_f(p) p^{-s} + \varepsilon_q(p) p^{-2s})^{-1}. \quad (4)$$

It satisfies the following functional equation: let

$$\Lambda(f, s) = \left( \frac{\sqrt{q}}{2\pi} \right)^s \Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2}) L(f, s),$$

then

$$\Lambda(f, s) = \varepsilon_f \Lambda(f, 1 - s) \quad (5)$$

where  $\varepsilon_f$ , the *sign* of the functional equation, is  $\pm 1$ . For this and other basic facts about Hecke  $L$ -functions, see for instance [Iw].

The Euler product representation is equivalent with the following multiplicativity property of the coefficients  $\lambda_f(n)$ : for any integers  $n \geq 1$ ,  $m \geq 1$ ,

$$\lambda_f(n) \lambda_f(m) = \sum_{d|(n,m)} \varepsilon_q(d) \lambda_f\left(\frac{nm}{d^2}\right). \quad (6)$$

In particular,  $n \mapsto \lambda_f(n)$  is multiplicative and  $\lambda_f(\delta m) = \lambda_f(\delta) \lambda_f(m)$  if  $\delta \mid q$ . The formula (6), by Möbius inversion, yields another useful formula

$$\lambda_f(nm) = \sum_{d|(n,m)} \varepsilon_q(d) \mu(d) \lambda_f\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \lambda_f\left(\frac{m}{d}\right). \quad (7)$$

If  $p$  is a prime  $\neq q$ , we write  $1 - \lambda_f(p)X + X^2 = (1 - \alpha_p X)(1 - \beta_p X)$ , so

$$\lambda_f(p) = \alpha_p + \beta_p. \quad (8)$$

The bound (3) is equivalent (for  $n$  coprime with the level) with the assertion that  $|\alpha_p| = 1$  for all  $p \neq q$ . For  $p = q$ , the  $p$ -factor of  $L(f, s)$  is of degree at most 1, and we let  $\alpha_p = \lambda_f(p)$ , which is shown to be of modulus at most 1 (actually, smaller), and  $\beta_p = 0$ .

In addition, we require the Dirichlet series expansion for the logarithmic derivative of  $L(f, s)$ . From the Euler product, using the factorization of the local factors, it follows

$$-\frac{L'}{L}(f, s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} b_f(n) \Lambda(n) n^{-s} \quad (9)$$

with coefficients given by

$$b_f(n) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is not a power of a prime,} \\ \alpha_p^m + \beta_p^m, & \text{if } n = p^m. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

We introduce the notation

$$\omega_f = \frac{1}{4\pi(f, f)} \quad (11)$$

where  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes the Petersson inner product on  $S_2(q)$ ,

$$(f, g) = \int_{\Gamma_0(q) \backslash \mathbf{H}} f(z) \overline{g(z)} \frac{dx dy}{y^2}$$

for any non-zero cusp form  $f \in S_2(q)$  (we call this the ‘‘harmonic weight’’) and define the summation symbol  $\sum^h$  by

$$\sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*}^h \alpha_f = \sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*} \omega_f \alpha_f$$

for any family  $(\alpha_f)$  of complex numbers. It turns out that  $(f, f)$  is of size about  $\text{Vol } X_0(q)$ , so about  $\dim J_0(q)$ , so this behaves asymptotically like a probability measure, i.e. we have

$$\sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*}^h 1 \sim 1$$

as  $q$  tends to infinity. This weight is fundamental to our work, because of the following formula, due to Petersson (see [Iw] for instance), which expresses the so-called  $\Delta$ -symbol

$$\Delta(m, n) = \sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*}^h \lambda_f(m) \lambda_f(n)$$

in a very convenient way for further analytical manipulations:

$$\Delta(m, n) = \delta(m, n) - 2\pi \sum_{q|c} c^{-1} S(m, n; c) J_1\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mn}}{c}\right)$$

where  $J_1$  is the Bessel function and  $S(m, n; c)$  is a classical Kloosterman sum. Using the estimates

$$|S(m, n; c)| \leq \tau(c)(m, n, c)^{1/2} \sqrt{c}, \quad J_1(x) \ll x$$

(the first being Weil’s bound for Kloosterman sums [Wei], while the second is elementary), we derive

$$\Delta(m, n) = \delta(m, n) + O((m, n, q)(\log(m, n))^2 (mn)^{1/2} q^{-3/2}). \quad (12)$$

We will not need any better bounds in this work (compare [KM3], [IS] for cases where a more precise analysis with the Kloosterman sums is needed).

### 3 From harmonic average to natural average, I

#### 3.1 Averages

We will be dealing quite extensively with sums over  $f \in S_2(q)^*$ . The following notations are designed to emphasize the underlying structure. We usually assume given a family  $\alpha = (\alpha_f)$  of complex numbers, defined for all forms  $f \in S_2(q)^*$ ,  $q$  being any level, or maybe restricted to squarefree or prime levels. We then introduce the “natural” averaging operator

$$A[\alpha] = \sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*} \alpha_f$$

where we only sum over forms of a fixed level, and consider the behavior of  $A[\alpha]$  as a function of the level  $q$ , asymptotically as  $q$  gets large.

Similarly, we define the “harmonic” averaging operator

$$A^h[\alpha] = \sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*}^h \alpha_f.$$

Suppose we have a family  $\alpha = (\alpha_f)$  of complex numbers, for all  $f \in S_2(q)^*$  with prime level  $q$ , and that we know the behavior of the weighted sum

$$A^h[\alpha] = \sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*}^h \alpha_f$$

(for instance, we have an asymptotic formula for  $q$  going to infinity), but wish to obtain the same information for the natural sum

$$A[\alpha] = \sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*} \alpha_f.$$

Since, by Petersson’s formula (12) for  $m = n = 1$ ,  $A^h[1] = 1 + O(q^{-3/2})$ , we expect that when  $\alpha$  is well-distributed and not biased against the Petersson inner-product, we should have

$$A[\alpha] \sim \dim J_0(q) A^h[\alpha]$$

meaning that  $\omega_f$  and  $\alpha_f$  act here as independent random variables would.

In this section we build a method (suggested to us by Iwaniec) to approach this problem, and prove a result which solves part of the problem for quite general vectors  $\alpha$ . This reduces to another estimate which has to be supplied independently in each case.

#### 3.2 The symmetric square

The harmonic weight  $\omega_f$ , which is required to express the  $\Delta$ -symbol of the modular forms by Petersson’s formula, is related to the special value of the symmetric square  $L$ -function at  $s = 1$ , which is the edge of the critical strip (in our “analytic” normalization). This is essentially due to Shimura [Sh2].

The symmetric square  $L$ -function of  $f$  is the Dirichlet series  $L(\text{Sym}^2 f, s)$  defined by

$$L(\text{Sym}^2 f, s) = \zeta_q(2s) \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_f(n^2) n^{-s} \tag{13}$$

where  $\zeta_q$  is the Riemann zeta function with the Euler factor at  $q$  removed. We write  $\rho_f(n)$  for the coefficients of this Dirichlet series. The relation we seek is given by the following formula

$$4\pi(f, f) = \frac{\dim J_0(q)}{\zeta(2)} L(\text{Sym}^2 f, 1) + O((\log q)^3) \quad (14)$$

(uniformly in  $f$  as the prime  $q$  tends to infinity; for a proof see [Kow] for instance).

The following lemma summarizes some properties of the coefficients  $\rho_f(n)$ .

**Lemma 1.** *For any  $n \geq 1$  we have*

$$\rho_f(n) = \sum_{\ell m^2 = n} \varepsilon_q(m) \lambda_f(\ell^2) \quad (15)$$

$$\lambda_f(n^2) = \sum_{\ell m^2 = n} \mu(m) \varepsilon_q(m) \rho_f(\ell) \quad (16)$$

and in particular  $\rho_f(n) = \lambda_f(n^2)$  for  $n$  squarefree. Moreover,  $L(\text{Sym}^2 f, s)$  has an Euler product expansion of degree 3

$$L(\text{Sym}^2 f, s) = \prod_{(p,q)=1} (1 - \alpha_p^2 p^{-s})^{-1} (1 - p^{-s})^{-1} (1 - \alpha_p^{-2} p^{-s})^{-1} \prod_{p|q} (1 - \alpha_p^2 p^{-s})^{-1}$$

where  $\alpha_p$  is as in (8). Finally, for all  $n \geq 1$  we have

$$|\rho_f(n)| \leq \tau(n)^2. \quad (17)$$

The last estimate is proved using Deligne's bound  $|\lambda_f(n)| \leq \tau(n)$  and the Euler product.

**Lemma 2.** *For all  $q$  prime and all  $f \in S_2(q)^*$ , we have*

$$L(\text{Sym}^2 f, 1) \ll (\log q)^3 \quad (18)$$

$$L(\text{Sym}^2 f, 1) \gg (\log q)^{-1}. \quad (19)$$

The implied constants are absolute in both cases.

The (deeper) lower-bound is the main result of [GHL]; the fact that  $q$  is prime ensures that  $f$  is not a monomial form as such a form (over  $\mathbf{Q}$ ) can not have squarefree conductor. The upper bound is much easier and well-known, see [Kow, Lemma 2] for instance. In particular, we have uniformly for  $f \in S_2(q)^*$

$$\omega_f \ll \frac{\log q}{q}. \quad (20)$$

We require a property of ‘‘almost-orthogonality’’ of the coefficients of the symmetric square  $L$ -functions of the forms  $f \in S_2(q)^*$ . It is implicitly contained in the second part of [D-K], where it was developed for other applications.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $q \geq 1$  be any squarefree integer, and let  $N \geq q^9$  a real number. The inequality*

$$\sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*} \left| \sum_{n \leq N} a_n \rho_f(n) \right|^2 \ll N (\log N)^{15} \sum_{n \leq N} |a_n|^2 \quad (21)$$

holds for any finite family  $(a_n)_{1 \leq n \leq N}$  of complex numbers, with an absolute implied constant.

We deduce the following corollary:

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $N \geq q^9$  be a real number and  $(a(n))_{n \sim N}$  any complex numbers which satisfy*

$$a(n) \ll \frac{(\tau(n) \log n)^A}{n}$$

for some constant  $A > 0$ . There exists a constant  $D = D(A) \geq 0$  such that

$$\sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*} \left| \sum_{n \sim N} a(n) \lambda_f(n^2) \right|^2 \ll (\log N)^D$$

(with an absolute implied constant).

*Proof.* The point is that the assumption on the  $a_n$  means that we are essentially “on the line  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 1$ ” (or beyond), and in this region the symmetric square behaves as the series

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_f(n^2) n^{-s}.$$

In exacting details, we have from (15)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*} \left| \sum_{n \sim N} a(n) \lambda_f(n^2) \right|^2 &= \sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*} \left| \sum_{n \sim N} \sum_{\ell m^2 = n} \mu(m) \varepsilon_q(m) \rho_f(\ell) a(n) \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*} \left| \sum_{\ell \leq 2N} \rho_f(\ell) \tilde{a}(\ell) \right|^2 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{a}(\ell) = \sum_{\sqrt{\frac{N}{\ell}} < m \leq \sqrt{\frac{2N}{\ell}}} \mu(m) \varepsilon_q(m) a(\ell m^2).$$

Now we derive from the assumption a bound

$$\tilde{a}(\ell) \ll (N\ell)^{-1/2} (\log \ell)^D,$$

(for some  $D \geq 0$ , with an absolute implied constant), hence the result on applying the mean-value estimate of Proposition 1 to the coefficients  $\tilde{a}(\ell)$ .

□

### 3.3 Removing the harmonic weight

We assume that  $\alpha = (\alpha_f)$  satisfies the conditions

$$A^h [|\alpha_f|] \ll (\log q)^A \quad (\text{for some absolute } A > 0) \quad (22)$$

$$\max_{f \in S_2(q)^*} |\omega_f \alpha_f| \ll q^{-\delta} \quad (\text{for some } \delta > 0) \quad (23)$$

as the level  $q$  (prime) tends to infinity.

**Remark** Neither of these conditions is very restrictive in practice: the first one is interpreted as saying that  $|\alpha_f|$  is “almost” bounded, and can often be achieved by some normalization. If this

is true, the second condition is fairly reasonable since we have shown in (20) that  $\omega_f \ll (\log q)q^{-1}$ . In other words, by normalizing if necessary, both conditions can be expected to hold whenever the size of  $\alpha_f$  doesn't increase or oscillate wildly.

We write the unweighted average as a weighted one and replace the Petersson inner product by the special value of the symmetric square (14):

$$\begin{aligned} A[\alpha] &= \sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*}^h 4\pi(f, f)\alpha_f \\ &= \frac{\dim J_0(q)}{\zeta(2)} \sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*}^h L(\text{Sym}^2 f, 1)\alpha_f + O((\log q)^3 A^h[|\alpha_f|]). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

We wish to replace the value of the symmetric square by a partial sum of the Dirichlet series. This can be done by a long enough sum, of length  $y$  say. Then the sum above is essentially a finite sum of averages over the  $\alpha_f$ , twisted by symmetric square coefficients  $\rho_f(n)$ :

$$\sum_{n \leq y} \frac{1}{n} A^h[\rho_f(n)\alpha_f].$$

If by any chance the methods which give us control over the average  $A^h[\alpha_f]$  (corresponding to  $n = 1$ ) also apply to the twisted ones, *in the range*  $n < y$ , then we are done. Unfortunately, in applications this will only be the case for very small values of  $y$ , say  $y = q^\delta$  for very small  $\delta > 0$ . On the Riemann hypothesis (more precisely, the Lindelöf hypothesis suffices for this purpose) we can recover the  $L$ -function from such a short sum, but individually we can only do this with  $y$  much larger ( $y = q^2$  or maybe  $y = q$ ), and indeed too large for our applications.

But we can exploit the average over  $f$  involved, by means of the mean-value estimate of Proposition 1. The fact that this requires also a long sum in  $n$  is not a problem here because we are looking at the symmetric square at a point on the edge of the critical strip, where the Dirichlet series almost converges absolutely. Then the “extra length” needed to enter the effective range of  $n$  for the mean-value estimate will not matter, much as the partial sums

$$\sum_{n < q^\delta} n^{-1}$$

of the harmonic series are of the same size as  $q$  tends to infinity for any fixed  $\delta > 0$ .

Now we implement this idea. Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_f)_{f \in S_2(q)^*}$  be given for all  $q$  prime, satisfying the conditions (22), (23). Since the conductor of  $\text{Sym}^2 f$  for  $f \in S_2(q)^*$  is  $q^2$ , the functional equation and the usual estimates give the approximation

$$L(\text{Sym}^2 f, 1) = \omega_f(y) + O(q^2 y^{-1}) \quad (25)$$

(with an absolute implied constant), where

$$\omega_f(y) = \sum_{n \leq y} \rho_f(n) n^{-1}.$$

We assume  $\log y = O(\log q)$ , say  $y < q^{10}$ .

Now let  $x < y$  be given. The partial sum is further decomposed as

$$\omega_f(y) = \omega_f(x) + \omega_f(x, y)$$

where

$$\omega_f(x, y) = \sum_{x < n \leq y} \rho_f(n) n^{-1}.$$

We consider here the weighted average built with the tail, namely

$$A^h[\omega_f(x, y)\alpha_f] = \sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*}^h \omega_f(x, y)\alpha_f.$$

We will use Hölder's inequality to separate  $\omega_f(x, y)$  and  $\alpha_f$ . The former is handled by the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $r \geq 1$  be an integer, such that  $x^r \geq q^{11}$ . There exists a positive constant  $C = C(r) > 0$  such that*

$$A[\omega_f(x, y)^{2r}] \ll (\log q)^C$$

where the implied constant is absolute.

The proof starts with some other lemmas. We say that an integer  $n$  is squarefull if for any prime  $p$  dividing  $n$ ,  $p^2$  divides  $n$ ; in other words, for all  $p$  dividing  $n$ , the valuation of  $p$  in  $n$  is at least 2. Notice that

$$\sum_{n \text{ squarefull}} n^{-s} = \prod_p (1 + p^{-2s} + p^{-3s} + \dots)$$

which converges absolutely for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{2}$ , hence we have

$$\sum_{\substack{n \text{ squarefull} \\ n > z}} n^{-1} \ll z^{-1/2} \tag{26}$$

with an absolute implied constant.

**Lemma 4.** *For any integer  $r \geq 1$  and any  $f \in S_2(q)^*$ , we can write*

$$\omega_f(x, y)^r = \sum_{x^r < mn \leq y^r} \lambda_f(m^2) \frac{c(m, n)}{mn} \tag{27}$$

with  $c(m, n) = 0$  unless  $n$  can be written

$$n = dn_1, \text{ with } d \mid m, n_1 \text{ squarefull.} \tag{28}$$

and there exists  $\gamma = \gamma(r) > 0$  such that

$$|c(m, n)| \leq \tau(mn)^\gamma.$$

Moreover, the coefficients  $c$  depend on  $r$ ,  $x$  and  $y$  but not on the form  $f$ .

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $r$ . For  $r = 1$ , we write by (15)

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_f(x, y) &= \sum_{x < n \leq y} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell m^2 = n} \varepsilon_q(m) \lambda_f(\ell^2) \\ &= \sum_{x < \ell m^2 \leq y} \lambda_f(\ell^2) \frac{\varepsilon_q(m)}{\ell m^2}\end{aligned}$$

so we can take  $c(\ell, m) = 0$  unless  $m$  is square and  $c(\ell, m^2) = \varepsilon_q(m)$ .

Assume that (27) holds for some  $r$  and  $s$  as claimed, with coefficients  $c$  (for  $r$ ) and  $c'$  (for  $s$ ). Then

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_f(x, y)^{r+s} &= \sum_{\substack{x^r < m_1 n_1 \leq y^r \\ x^s < m_2 n_2 \leq y^s}} \lambda_f(m_1^2) \lambda_f(m_2^2) \frac{c(m_1, n_1) c'(m_2, n_2)}{m_1 n_1 m_2 n_2} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{x^r < m_1 n_1 \leq y^r \\ x^s < m_2 n_2 \leq y^s}} \sum_{\substack{d | m_1^2 \\ d | m_2^2}} \lambda_f\left(\frac{m_1^2 m_2^2}{d^2}\right) \frac{\varepsilon_q(d) c(m_1, n_1) c'(m_2, n_2)}{m_1 n_1 m_2 n_2}\end{aligned}$$

by multiplicativity for  $\lambda_f$ .

Now  $d$  can be written uniquely as  $d = d_1 d_2^2$  with  $d_1$  squarefree and then we have  $d \mid m^2$  if and only if  $d_1 d_2 \mid m$ . Therefore we can write

$$\begin{cases} m_1 = d_1 d_2 m'_1 \\ m_2 = d_1 d_2 m'_2 \end{cases}$$

and then

$$\omega_f(x, y)^{r+s} = \sum_{\substack{x^r < d_1 d_2 m'_1 n_1 \leq y^r \\ x^s < d_1 d_2 m'_2 n_2 \leq y^s}} \lambda_f((d_1 m'_1 m'_2)^2) \frac{\varepsilon_q(d_1 d_2) c(d_1 d_2 m'_1, n_1) c'(d_1 d_2 m'_2, n_2)}{(d_1 d_2)^2 m'_1 m'_2 n_1 n_2}.$$

Now write  $m_0 = d_1 m'_1 m'_2$ ,  $n_0 = d_1 d_2^2 n_1 n_2$ . By the induction hypothesis we see that if  $c(m_1, n_1) \neq 0$  and  $c'(m_2, n_2) \neq 0$ , then  $n_0$  can be written as  $\delta n'_0$  with  $\delta \mid m_0$  and  $n'_0$  squarefull (this is not absolutely obvious because  $m_1 m_2$  does not divide  $m_0$ , but the extra prime divisors can be pushed to the squarefull part).

Estimating rather trivially the multiplicity of representation of  $m_0$ , we find the desired representation. This immediately concludes the induction.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $z \geq 1$  be given and the coefficients  $c(m, n)$  be as in Lemma 4 for  $r$ . Then there exists  $A = A(r) > 0$  such that*

$$\sum_{\substack{x^r < mn \leq y^r \\ n > z}} \lambda_f(m^2) \frac{c(m, n)}{mn} = O(z^{-1/2} (\log qz)^A).$$

*Proof.* By Deligne's bound we have

$$\sum_{\substack{x^r < mn \leq y^r \\ n > z}} \lambda_f(m^2) \frac{c(m, n)}{mn} \leq \sum_{x^r < m \leq y^r} \frac{\tau(m)}{m} \sum_{\substack{x^r m^{-1} < n \leq y^r m^{-1} \\ n > z}} \frac{|c(m, n)|}{n}$$

but using the condition on the support of  $c(m, n)$ , the inner sum is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{x^r m^{-1} < n \leq y^r m^{-1} \\ n > z}} \frac{|c(m, n)|}{n} &\leq \tau(m)^\gamma \sum_{d|m} \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\substack{n \text{ squarefull} \\ dn > z}} \frac{\tau(n)^\gamma}{n} \\ &\ll \tau(m)^{\gamma+1} z^{-1/2} (\log z)^A \end{aligned}$$

(by (26)) and the result follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.** *There exists a real number  $M$  such that  $x^r z^{-1} < M \leq y^r z$ , and real numbers  $c(m)$  such that*

$$\sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*} \omega_f(x, y)^{2r} \ll (\log qz)^B \sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*} \left| \sum_{m \sim M} \lambda_f(m^2) \frac{c(m)}{m} \right|^2 + O(qz^{-1/2} (\log qz)^B)$$

and

$$|c(m)| \leq \tau(m)^C (\log qm)^C$$

for some  $C > 0$ .

*Proof.* By the previous lemma

$$\omega_f(x, y)^{2r} = \sum_{n \leq z} \left| \sum_{x^r < mn \leq y^r} \lambda_f(m^2) \frac{c(m, n)}{mn} \right| + O(qz^{-1/2} (\log qz)^A).$$

Write  $\xi_n = \text{sign} \left( \sum_{x^r < mn \leq y^r} \lambda_f(m^2) \frac{c(m, n)}{mn} \right)$  and split the summation over dyadic intervals in  $m$ . By Cauchy's inequality and summing over  $f$ , the result follows for some  $M$  with

$$c(m) = \sum_{x^r m^{-1} < n \leq z} \xi_n \frac{c(m, n)}{n} \ll \tau(m)^C (\log qm)^C$$

for some  $C > 0$ , as desired.  $\square$

This now easily implies Lemma 3: we take  $z = q^2$ , then the assumption  $x^r \geq q^{11}$  implies that  $M \geq q^9$  and we may appeal to the mean-value estimate of Corollary 1 to bound the first term, with  $\log M \ll \log q$ .

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $(\alpha_f)$  be complex numbers satisfying conditions (22) and (23), and  $x = q^\kappa$  for some  $\kappa > 0$ . There exists an absolute constant  $\gamma = \gamma(\kappa, \delta) > 0$  ( $\delta$  the exponent in (22)) such that*

$$A^h[\omega_f(x, y)\alpha_f] \ll q^{-\gamma}$$

and

$$A[\alpha_f] = \frac{\dim J_0(q)}{\zeta(2)} A^h[\omega_f(x)\alpha_f] + O(q^{1-\gamma}).$$

*Proof.* Let  $r \geq 1$  be any integer. By Hölder's inequality we have (with  $s$  the complementary exponent to  $2r$ ,  $(2r)^{-1} + s^{-1} = 1$ )

$$\begin{aligned} A^h[\omega_f(x, y)\alpha_f] &= \sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*}^h \omega_f(x, y)\alpha_f \\ &= \sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*} \omega_f \omega_f(x, y)\alpha_f \\ &\leq A[\omega_f(x, y)^{2r}]^{\frac{1}{2r}} \left( \sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*} (\omega_f |\alpha_f|)^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &\leq A^{\frac{1}{2r}} A[\omega_f(x, y)^{2r}]^{\frac{1}{2r}} A^h[|\alpha_f|]^{\frac{1}{s}} \end{aligned}$$

where we have denoted

$$A = \max_{f \in S_2(q)^*} \omega_f |\alpha_f|.$$

Take now  $r$  large enough so that  $x^r \geq q^{11}$ . Then Lemma 3 gives

$$A[\omega_f(x, y)^{2r}]^{\frac{1}{2r}} \ll (\log q)^D$$

for some  $D = D(\kappa) > 0$ , while we have, from (23) and (22) respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} A^{\frac{1}{2r}} &\ll q^{-\gamma_0} \quad \text{for some } \gamma_0 = \gamma_0(\kappa, \delta) > 0, \\ A^h[|\alpha_f|] &\ll (\log q)^C \quad \text{for some absolute constant } C > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the proposition, the last equality being an immediate corollary of the formula

$$A[\alpha_f] = \frac{\dim J_0(q)}{\zeta(2)} A^h[L(\text{Sym}^2 f, 1)\alpha_f] + O((\log q)^3 A^h[|\alpha_f|])$$

and the decomposition

$$L(\text{Sym}^2 f, 1) = \omega_f(x) + \omega_f(x, y) + O(q^2 y^{-1})$$

applied with  $y = q^3$ .  $\square$

## 4 Upper bound for the analytic rank of $J_0(q)$

In this section we prove Theorem 1, via the equivalent form of Theorem 2.

### 4.1 Reduction to the density theorem

The explicit formulae, discovered in essence by Riemann, and later extended and formalized by Weil, have been used first by Mestre in studying abelian varieties. We use the following variant (compare [Br1], [PP], [Kow]).

**Proposition 3.** Let  $\psi : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a  $C^\infty$  even function with compact support, and  $\hat{\psi}, \hat{\psi}(s) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \psi(x)e^{sx}dx$ , its Laplace transform, which is an entire function. Then for any primitive form  $f \in S_2(q)^*$  we have

$$\sum_{\rho} \hat{\psi}(\rho - \frac{1}{2}) = \psi(0) \log q - 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{b_f(n)}{\sqrt{n}} \Lambda(n) \psi(\log n) + \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{(1/2)} 2 \left( \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(s + \frac{1}{2}) - \log 2\pi \right) \hat{\psi}(s - \frac{1}{2}) ds \quad (29)$$

the summation on the left-hand side being extended over all zeros  $\rho$  of  $L(f, s)$  in the critical strip – those with  $0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(s) \leq 1$  – counted with multiplicity. The coefficients  $b_f(n)$  are defined in (10).

In this chapter,  $\rho$  will always designate such a “non-trivial” zero of  $L(f, s)$ , and we always write

$$\rho = \beta + i\gamma$$

so  $\gamma = \operatorname{Im}(\rho)$ ,  $\beta = \operatorname{Re}(\rho)$ . For any  $\alpha$  with  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , and any real numbers  $t_1 \leq t_2$ , we define  $N(f; \alpha, t_1, t_2)$  to be the number of zeros  $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$  of  $L(f, s)$ , counted with multiplicity, such that

$$\beta \geq \alpha, \quad t_1 \leq \gamma \leq t_2,$$

and for any  $t > 0$  we let

$$N(f; \alpha, t) = N(f; \alpha, -t, t), \quad N(f, t) = N(f; 0, t).$$

The standard theory of  $L$ -functions gives the asymptotic formula

$$N(f, t) \sim \frac{t}{2\pi} \left( \log \frac{qt}{2\pi e} \right) + O(\log qt).$$

In particular we are led to expect that the number of zeros (with multiplicity) of  $L(f, s)$  within distance  $\sim (\log q)^{-1}$  of  $\frac{1}{2}$  should be absolutely bounded, at least on average over  $f$ . Hence if we (over)count all those zeros, not only  $\rho = \frac{1}{2}$ , Theorem 2 should still remain valid.

We fix a test function  $\psi$ , which is assumed to be even, non negative, compactly supported in  $[-1, 1]$ , with  $\psi(0) = 1$ , and such that  $\hat{\psi}(s)$  satisfies the positivity condition

$$\operatorname{Re}(\hat{\psi}(s)) \geq 0 \quad (30)$$

for all  $s \in \mathbf{C}$  with  $|\operatorname{Re}(s)| \leq 1$ .

For  $\lambda > 0$ , let  $\psi_\lambda(x) = \psi(x/\lambda)$  so that

$$\hat{\psi}_\lambda(s) = \lambda \hat{\psi}(\lambda s).$$

We will take  $\lambda = \theta \log q$  with  $\theta > 0$  a fixed parameter (small enough) to be determined later. This parameter  $\lambda$  will be used to effect a localization in detecting the zeros around  $\frac{1}{2}$  in the explicit formula.

The crucial assumption on  $\psi$  is of course (30). Such test functions were constructed by Poitou and others for the purpose of obtaining lower-bounds for the discriminant of number fields [Poi].

**Remark** In [KM1], a specific test function  $F$  is used, which had been constructed previously by Perelli and Pomykala [PP]. However in our situation, it is not actually necessary to use it (this was observed by Pomykala).

By integration by parts one infers that for any integer  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\hat{\psi}(s) \ll_k \frac{1}{(1 + |\operatorname{Im}(s)|)^k} e^{\operatorname{Re}(s)} \quad (31)$$

where the implied constant depends only on  $k$  (and on the specific choice of  $\psi$ ).

Let  $q$  be prime and  $f \in S_2(q)^*$  a primitive form of level  $q$ . Applying the explicit formula (29) to  $f$  with the test function  $\psi_\lambda$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{\rho} \hat{\psi}_\lambda(\rho - \tfrac{1}{2}) = \log q - 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{b_f(n)}{\sqrt{n}} \Lambda(n) \psi_\lambda(\log n) + O(1).$$

We have estimated the integral in (29) by

$$\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{(1/2)} 2 \left( \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(s + \tfrac{1}{2}) - \log 2\pi \right) \hat{\psi}_\lambda(s - \tfrac{1}{2}) ds \ll \lambda \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (1 + |u|) \hat{\psi}(\lambda i u) du \ll 1$$

uniformly in  $\lambda$  (we have used  $\Gamma'/\Gamma(s) \ll \log |s|$  for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 1$ , and (31)).

Then we isolate the multiplicity of the zero at  $\frac{1}{2}$ . We further distinguish among the remaining zeros  $\rho$  between those which are close to  $\frac{1}{2}$ , precisely those with  $|\beta - \frac{1}{2}| \leq \lambda^{-1}$ , and the others. On the right-hand side, we use the fact that  $\Lambda$  is supported on powers of primes, and put the primes apart from the squares and higher powers. This way we rewrite the outcome of the explicit formula:

$$\lambda \hat{\psi}(0) \operatorname{ord}_{s=\frac{1}{2}} L(f, s) + \Xi_1(f, \lambda) + \Xi_2(f, \lambda) = \log q - 2S_1(f, \lambda) - 2S_2(f, \lambda) + O(1) \quad (32)$$

with:

$$\Xi_1(f, \lambda) = \lambda \sum_{|\beta - \frac{1}{2}| \leq \lambda^{-1}} \hat{\psi}(\lambda(\rho - \tfrac{1}{2})), \quad \Xi_2(f, \lambda) = \lambda \sum_{|\beta - \frac{1}{2}| > \lambda^{-1}} \hat{\psi}(\lambda(\rho - \tfrac{1}{2})) \quad (33)$$

$$S_1(f, \lambda) = \sum_p \frac{\lambda_f(p)}{\sqrt{p}} (\log p) \psi_\lambda(\log p), \quad S_2(f, \lambda) = \sum_{n \geq 2} \sum_p \frac{\lambda_f(p^n)}{p^{n/2}} (\log p) \psi_\lambda(\log p^n). \quad (34)$$

Each term will be treated separately. First, since  $\psi$  has compact support in  $[-1, 1]$ , and  $|b_f(n)| \leq 2$  for all  $n$ , we have

$$S_2(f, \lambda) \ll \sum_{p \leq \exp(\lambda/2)} \frac{\log p}{p} + \sum_{3 \leq n \leq \lambda} \sum_{\log p \leq \lambda/n} \frac{\log p}{p^{n/2}} \ll \lambda.$$

Now, in (32), we take the real part. For a zero  $\rho$  appearing in  $\Xi_1(f, \lambda)$ , we have  $|\operatorname{Re} \lambda(\rho - \frac{1}{2})| \leq 1$ , hence

$$\Xi_1(f, \lambda) \geq 0$$

by the positivity property (30) of the test function  $\psi$ . Therefore we can drop this term by positivity and get

$$\lambda \hat{\psi}(0) \operatorname{ord}_{s=\frac{1}{2}} L(f, s) \leq \log q - 2S_1(f, \lambda) + \operatorname{Re}(\Xi_2(f, \lambda)) + O(\lambda).$$

Intuitively, this application of positivity should not affect the chances of proving the result being sought, since the number of zeros dropped in the sum  $\Xi_1(f, \lambda)$ , on average over  $f$ , should be bounded.

Performing the average over  $f$ , we have consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \hat{\psi}(0) \sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*} \text{ord}_{s=\frac{1}{2}} L(f, s) &\leq (\log q) \dim J_0(q) - 2 \sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*} S_1(f, \lambda) \\ &+ \sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*} \text{Re}(\Xi_2(f, \lambda)) + O(\lambda q). \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

**Lemma 7.** *Assume  $\theta < \frac{3}{4}$ . There exists a constant  $\delta = \delta(\theta) > 0$  such that*

$$\sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*} S_1(f, \lambda) \ll q^{1-\delta}. \quad (36)$$

*Proof.* We write

$$\sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*} S_1(f, \lambda) = A[S_1(f, \lambda)]$$

and proceed to estimate this by the method of Section 3 (as an illustration of this technique). We might also quote [Br1], where the trace formula is used to prove the corresponding result.

We need to check the conditions (22) and (23) to apply Proposition 2. The individual bound (23) is easy. We have

$$S_1(f, \lambda) = \sum_p \frac{\lambda_f(p)}{\sqrt{p}} \psi_\lambda(\log p).$$

The support of  $\psi$  limits the summation to primes with  $\log p \leq \lambda$ , i.e.  $p \leq q^\theta$ , so

$$S_1(f, \lambda) \ll \sum_{p \leq q^\theta} p^{-1/2} \ll q^{\theta/2}.$$

On the other hand,  $\omega_f \ll (\log q)q^{-1}$  by (20), and (23) follows.

We next estimate the harmonic average  $A^h[|S_1(f, \lambda)|]$ . Since the sum  $S_1(f, \lambda)$  is real, Cauchy's inequality implies

$$A^h[|S_1(f, \lambda)|] \leq A^h[S_1(f, \lambda)^2]^{1/2} A^h[1]^{1/2} \ll A^h[S_1(f, \lambda)^2]^{1/2}.$$

Now we compute

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(f, \lambda)^2 &= \sum_{p, p'} \frac{\lambda_f(p)\lambda_f(p')}{\sqrt{pp'}} (\log p)(\log p') \psi_\lambda(\log p) \psi_\lambda(\log p') \\ &= \sum_p \frac{\lambda_f(p)^2}{p} (\log p)^2 \psi_\lambda(\log p)^2 + \sum_{p \neq p'} \frac{\lambda_f(pp')}{\sqrt{pp'}} (\log p)(\log p') \psi_\lambda(\log p) \psi_\lambda(\log p') \end{aligned}$$

so (using  $\lambda_f(p)^2 = \lambda_f(p^2) + 1$ , which is true for all primes  $p$  occurring in the summation since  $\psi_\lambda(\log p) = 0$  for  $\log p > \lambda$ , i.e. for  $p > q^\theta$ ) we get

$$\begin{aligned} A^h[S_1(f, \lambda)^2] &= \sum_p \frac{(\log p)^2}{p} \psi_\lambda(\log p)^2 (\Delta(1, 1) + \Delta(1, p^2)) \\ &+ \sum_{p \neq p'} \frac{(\log p)(\log p')}{\sqrt{pp'}} \psi_\lambda(\log p) \psi_\lambda(\log p') \Delta(1, pp'). \end{aligned}$$

Since evidently  $pp' \neq 1$ , we obtain from (12)

$$A^h[S_1(f, \lambda)^2] \ll \sum_{p \leq q^\theta} \frac{(\log p)^2}{p} + \frac{(\log q)^2}{q^{3/2}} \left| \sum_{p \leq q^\theta} (\log p) \right|^2 \ll (\log q)^2$$

since  $2\theta < \frac{3}{2}$ .

From Proposition 2 of Chapter 3, we conclude that there exists a constant  $\delta = \delta(\theta)$  such that

$$A[S_1(f, \lambda)] = \frac{\dim J_0(q)}{\zeta(2)} A^h[\omega_f(x) S_1(f, \lambda)] + O(q^{1-\delta})$$

with  $x = q^\kappa$ ,  $\kappa < \frac{1}{4}$  being a (small) parameter to be chosen below.

From the definition of  $\omega_f(x)$ , we derive

$$\begin{aligned} A^h[\omega_f(x) S_1(f, \lambda)] &= \sum_{d\ell^2 \leq x} \frac{\lambda_f(d^2)}{d\ell^2} \sum_p \frac{\lambda_f(p)}{\sqrt{p}} (\log p) \psi_\lambda(\log p) \\ &= \sum_{d\ell^2 \leq x} \frac{1}{d\ell^2} \sum_p \frac{(\log p)}{\sqrt{p}} \psi_\lambda(\log p) \Delta(p, d^2) \\ &\ll \frac{(\log q)^2}{q^{3/2}} \sum_{d\ell^2 \leq x} \frac{1}{\ell^2} \sum_{p \leq q^\theta} (\log p) \\ &\ll (\log q)^2 q^{\theta + \kappa - \frac{3}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

and the lemma follows by taking  $\kappa$  small enough that the exponent is negative.  $\square$

Thus it only remains to estimate the contribution of  $\Xi_2$ , the sum over zeros not too close to  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Of course, on the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis, those do not exist. Then, taking the upper bound above (35), we immediately obtain a weak form of Brumer's result, namely

$$\sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*} \text{ord}_{s=\frac{1}{2}} L(f, s) \ll \dim J_0(q)$$

for  $q$  prime. Up to this point, the treatment is basically the same as Brumer's. But handling  $\Xi_2$  without appealing to the Riemann Hypothesis is precisely the crux of the matter. It will be possible to show that if there are zeros in the region  $|\beta - \frac{1}{2}| > \lambda^{-1}$ , then they are very few in number, in a very precise sense, which we now describe.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $q$  be a prime number. There exists an absolute constant  $A > 0$  such that for any real numbers  $t_1, t_2$  with*

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 &< t_2 \\ t_2 - t_1 &\geq \frac{1}{\log q}, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2} + (\log q)^{-1}$  and any  $c, 0 < c < \frac{1}{4}$ , one has

$$\sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*} N(f; \alpha, t_1, t_2) \ll (1 + |t_1| + |t_2|)^A q^{1-c(\alpha-\frac{1}{2})} (\log q) (t_2 - t_1). \quad (37)$$

The implied constant depends only on  $c$ .

The bulk of this section will be devoted to proving this result.

**Remark** In this density theorem, only the  $q$ -aspect is taken into consideration, and this statement is indeed trivial with respect to  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ . However, it is important (as the deduction of the upper bound from the density theorem shows) that the bounds obtained be at most polynomial in the imaginary part. Thus in notations such as  $(1 + |t|)^B$  that will occur, the  $B$  is some absolute constant, the value of which is not important, and may vary from line to line.

Assuming Theorem 4, we can now estimate  $\Xi_2$ . By the symmetry of the zeros, it is enough to consider those in the first quadrant. Subdividing the region  $[\lambda^{-1}, \frac{1}{2}] \times \mathbf{R}^+$  into small squares of side  $\lambda^{-1}$ , namely

$$R(m, n) = \left[ \frac{m}{\lambda}, \frac{m+1}{\lambda} \right] \times \left[ \frac{n}{\lambda}, \frac{n+1}{\lambda} \right]$$

with  $1 \leq m \leq \lambda$ ,  $0 \leq n$ , we estimate the contribution  $\Xi_2^1$  of those zeros:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*} \operatorname{Re}(\Xi_2^1(f, \lambda)) &\leq \lambda \sum_{m=1}^{\lambda} \sum_{n \geq 0} N(f; \frac{1}{2} + \frac{n}{\lambda}, \frac{m}{\lambda}, \frac{m+1}{\lambda}) \sup_{s \in R(m, n)} |\hat{\psi}(\lambda s)| \\ &\ll \lambda \sum_{m=1}^{\lambda} \sum_{n \geq 0} (1 + \frac{n+1}{\lambda})^A q^{1-c\frac{n}{\lambda}} (\log q) \lambda^{-1} e^{m+1} (1+n)^{-k} \\ &\ll q(\log q) \end{aligned}$$

if we choose  $\theta < c$ , and  $k \geq A + 3$ . Hence from (35), dividing out by  $\lambda$ , we deduce Theorem 2.

## 4.2 Reduction to second moment estimates

Theorem 4 is the analogue of a result of Selberg [Sel, Th. 4] for Dirichlet characters. We will borrow the general principle from this paper (with some simplifications also found in [Luo]), starting with a crucial lemma which will reduce the theorem to some estimates of a mollified second moment of values of  $L(f, s)$ ,  $f \in S_2(q)^*$ .

**Lemma 8.** (Selberg, [Sel, Lemma 14]). *Let  $h$  be a function holomorphic in the region*

$$\{s \in \mathbf{C} \mid \operatorname{Re}(s) \geq \alpha, t_1 \leq \operatorname{Im}(s) \leq t_2\}$$

*satisfying*

$$h(s) = 1 + o\left(\exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{t_2 - t_1} \operatorname{Re}(s)\right)\right) \quad (38)$$

*in this region, uniformly as  $\operatorname{Re}(s) \rightarrow +\infty$ . Denoting the zeros of  $f$  (in the interior of this region) by  $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} 2(t_2 - t_1) \sum_{\rho} \sin\left(\pi \frac{\gamma - t_1}{t_2 - t_1}\right) \sinh\left(\pi \frac{\beta - \alpha}{t_2 - t_1}\right) &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \sin\left(\pi \frac{t - t_1}{t_2 - t_1}\right) \log |h(\alpha + it)| dt \\ &+ \int_{\alpha}^{+\infty} \sinh\left(\pi \frac{\sigma - \alpha}{t_2 - t_1}\right) (\log |h(\sigma + it_1)| + \log |h(\sigma + it_2)|) d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

*(where the zeros are also summed with multiplicity).*

This lemma will be applied to the functions  $1 - (M(f, s)L(f, s) - 1)^2$ , where  $M(f, s)$  is a suitable mollifier for which (38) holds, for  $\alpha$  equal to  $\frac{1}{2} + (\log q)^{-1}$ . This means that  $M(f, s)$  must approximate quite closely the inverse of  $L(f, s)$ .

**Lemma 9.** *The inverse  $L(f, s)^{-1}$  is given by the Dirichlet series*

$$L(f, s)^{-1} = \sum_{m, n \geq 1} \varepsilon_q(n) \mu(m) \mu(mn)^2 \lambda_f(m) (mn^2)^{-s}$$

which is absolutely convergent for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ .

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of the Euler product expansion

$$L(f, s)^{-1} = \prod_p (1 - \lambda_f(p)p^{-s} + \varepsilon_q(p)p^{-2s})$$

by multiplicativity (every integer  $\ell \geq 1$  has a unique expression as  $\ell = mn^2r$  with  $m, n, r$  coprime in pairs,  $m$  and  $n$  squarefree and  $r$  cubefull).  $\square$

We also define, for every  $M \geq 1$ , a function  $g_M$  by

$$g_M(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \leq \sqrt{M} \\ \frac{\log M/x}{\log \sqrt{M}}, & \text{if } \sqrt{M} \leq x \leq M \\ 0, & \text{if } x > M. \end{cases} \quad (39)$$

Then for  $M$  fixed and any integer  $1 \leq m \leq M$ , we let

$$x_m(s) = \frac{\mu(m)}{m^{s-\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\varepsilon_q(n) \mu(mn)^2}{n^{2s}} g_M(mn) \quad (40)$$

and we define the mollifier

$$M(f, s) = \sum_{m \leq M} \frac{x_m(s)}{\sqrt{m}} \lambda_f(m). \quad (41)$$

In the context of  $GL(2)$ , mollifiers were first used by Hafner [Haf].

We observe that  $M(f, s)$  is a Dirichlet polynomial of length at most  $M$ , with coefficients

$$c_f(\ell) = \sum_{mn^2=\ell} \varepsilon_q(n) \mu(m) \mu(mn)^2 \lambda_f(m) g_M(mn) \quad (42)$$

and by Deligne's bound, they are bounded by

$$|c_f(\ell)| \leq \sum_{m|\ell} \tau(m) \leq \tau(\ell)^2. \quad (43)$$

From the definition, it follows easily that namely for  $M = q^\Delta$  with  $\Delta > 0$ , we have

$$M(f, s)L(f, s) = 1 + O((\log q)^{15} q^{\Delta(1-\sigma)/2}) \quad (44)$$

uniformly for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = \sigma \rightarrow +\infty$ .

The density theorem follows from a good estimate for the average of the second moment of  $M(f, s)L(f, s)$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq \frac{1}{2} + (\log q)^{-1}$ .

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $M = q^\Delta$  with  $\Delta < \frac{1}{4}$ , and let  $c$  be any positive real number with  $c < \Delta$ . Then there exists a constant  $B > 0$  such that for all  $q$  prime large enough*

$$\sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*} |M(f, \beta + it)L(f, \beta + it) - 1|^2 \ll (1 + |t|)^B q^{1-c(\beta-\frac{1}{2})}. \quad (45)$$

uniformly for  $\beta \geq \frac{1}{2} + (\log q)^{-1}$  and  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , the implied constant depending only on  $\Delta$  and  $c$ .

Assuming this proposition, the proof of Theorem 4 is completed as in Selberg [Sel], [Kow, 5.2], by an application of Lemma 8 to the functions  $1 - (M(f, s)L(f, s) - 1)^2$ .

### 4.3 The harmonic second moment

Proposition 4 will be proved by the method of Section 3, going through a corresponding weighted result first.

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $M = q^\Delta$  with  $\Delta < \frac{1}{4}$ , and  $\beta = \frac{1}{2} + b(\log q)^{-1}$ , where  $b > 0$  is any constant. For all  $q$  prime large enough we have*

$$\sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*}^h |M(f, \beta + it)L(f, \beta + it)|^2 \ll (1 + |t|)^B \quad (46)$$

for some absolute constant  $B > 0$ . The implied constant depends only on  $b$  and  $\Delta$ .

We write  $\beta = \frac{1}{2} + \delta$  and assume only  $\delta = b(\log q)^{-1}$ . Then we define for simplicity

$$M_2(\delta) = \sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*}^h |M(f, \beta + it)L(f, \beta + it)|^2 \quad (47)$$

which we consider as a quadratic form in the coefficients  $x_m = x_m(\beta + it)$  of the mollifier. To emphasize this viewpoint, it will be convenient to simply write  $x_m$  and  $M(f)$  while performing transformations to facilitate the ultimate estimations.

Let  $f \in S_2(q)^*$  and  $\beta = \frac{1}{2} + \delta$  with  $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$  be given. Choose an integer  $N \geq 1$  (which will have to be large enough,  $N = 2$  works already) and a real polynomial  $G$  satisfying

$$G(-s) = G(s), \quad (48)$$

$$G(-N) = \dots = G(-1) = 0 \quad (49)$$

and having no zeros for  $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \operatorname{Re}(s) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .

Let  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  be a fixed real number. Define the entire function  $Z(f, s)$  by

$$Z(f, s) = \Lambda(f, s + \frac{1}{2} + it)\Lambda(f, s + \frac{1}{2} - it)$$

which satisfies the functional equation

$$Z(f, s) = Z(f, -s).$$

Since the Fourier coefficients  $\lambda_f(n)$  of  $f$  are real, we have

$$|\Lambda(f, \beta + it)|^2 = Z(f, \beta). \quad (50)$$

We now consider the complex integral

$$\begin{aligned} I_\delta &= \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{(2)} Z(f, s) G(s+it) G(s-it) \frac{ds}{s-\delta} \\ &= \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{(2)} L(f, s + \frac{1}{2} + it) L(f, s + \frac{1}{2} - it) H(s) \left(\frac{\sqrt{q}}{2\pi}\right)^{2s+1} \frac{ds}{s-\delta} \end{aligned}$$

(defined, as a function of  $\delta$ , for all  $\delta \in \mathbf{R}$ ) with

$$H(s) = G(s+it)G(s-it)\Gamma(s+1+it)\Gamma(s+1-it).$$

This integral is absolutely convergent (by Stirling's formula). From (49), zeros of the polynomial  $G$  cancel the first poles of the  $\Gamma$  function, so  $H$  is holomorphic for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > -N - 1$ . Next, normalizing  $G$ , we can assume that  $H(\delta) = 1$ . The Gamma function has exponential decay in vertical strips, while  $G$  has polynomial growth; in fact, by Stirling's formula,  $H$  satisfies (uniformly in vertical strips)

$$H(s) \ll (1 + |t| + |\operatorname{Im}(s)|)^B e^{-\pi|\operatorname{Im}(s)|}, \text{ for some constant } B > 0.$$

We can shift the contour of integration to the line  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = -2$ ; only a simple pole at  $s = \delta$  appears while shifting, with residue

$$\operatorname{Res}_{s=\delta} Z(f, s) G(s+it) G(s-it) \frac{1}{s-\delta} = \left(\frac{q}{4\pi^2}\right)^\beta H(\delta) |L(f, \beta+it)|^2$$

by (50).

On the line  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = -2$ , the integral is seen to be

$$\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{(-2)} Z(f, s) G(s+it) G(s-it) \frac{ds}{s-\delta} = -I_{-\delta}$$

by the change of variable  $s \mapsto -s$ , using the functional equation of  $Z(f, s)$  and the symmetry  $G(s) = G(-s)$ . Hence we have the formula

$$\left(\frac{q}{4\pi^2}\right)^\beta H(\delta) |L(f, \beta+it)|^2 = I_\delta + I_{-\delta}. \quad (51)$$

On the other hand, using the Hecke relation (6) one has in the region of absolute convergence the identity

$$L(f, s+it)L(f, s-it) = \zeta_q(2s) \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_f(n) \eta_t(n) n^{-s},$$

where

$$\eta_t(n) = \sum_{ab=n} \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{it}. \quad (52)$$

We may then integrate term by term to obtain

$$I_\delta = \left(\frac{q}{4\pi^2}\right)^{1/2} \sum_n \frac{\lambda_f(n)}{n^{1/2}} \eta_t(n) W_\delta\left(\frac{4\pi^2 n}{q}\right)$$

where

$$W_\delta(y) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{(2)} H(s) \zeta_q(1+2s) y^{-s} \frac{ds}{s-\delta} \quad (53)$$

Finally one gets

$$\left(\frac{q}{4\pi^2}\right)^\delta H(\delta) |L(f, \beta + it)|^2 = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_f(n)}{\sqrt{n}} \eta_t(n) U\left(\frac{4\pi^2 n}{q}\right) \quad (54)$$

where now

$$U(y) = W_\delta(y) + W_{-\delta}(y) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{(2)} H(s) \zeta_q(1+2s) y^{-s} \frac{2s ds}{(s-\delta)(s+\delta)}. \quad (55)$$

We conclude this section by listing the basic properties of the test function  $U$  and the arithmetic function  $\eta_t$ . These should be skipped and consulted when referred to later.

**Lemma 10.** *For  $\delta \neq 0$ , we have*

$$U(y) = H(\delta) \zeta_q(1+2\delta) y^{-\delta} + H(-\delta) \zeta_q(1-2\delta) y^\delta + O(y^N (1+|t|)^B) \quad (56)$$

for  $0 \leq y \leq 1$  and

$$U(y) \ll_j y^{-j} (1+|t|)^B, \text{ for all } j \geq 1 \quad (57)$$

for  $y \geq 1$ , ( $B$  depending on  $j$ ).

*Proof.* This follows easily by shifting the line of integration either to the right to  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = j$ , or to the left to  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = -N - \delta$  and by computing the residues.  $\square$

**Lemma 11.** *For all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , the arithmetic function  $\eta_t$  is real valued. It satisfies the identities*

$$\eta_t(n) \eta_t(m) = \sum_{d|(n,m)} \eta_t\left(\frac{nm}{d^2}\right) \quad (58)$$

$$\eta_t(nm) = \sum_{d|(n,m)} \mu(d) \eta_t\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \eta_t\left(\frac{m}{d}\right) \quad (59)$$

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \eta_t(n) n^{-s} = \zeta(s-it) \zeta(s+it) \quad (60)$$

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \eta_t(n)^2 n^{-s} = \frac{\zeta(s-2it) \zeta(s)^2 \zeta(s+2it)}{\zeta(2s)} \quad (61)$$

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \eta_t(n^2) n^{-s} = \frac{\zeta(s-2it) \zeta(s) \zeta(s+2it)}{\zeta(2s)} \quad (62)$$

and the estimate

$$|\eta_t(n)| \leq \tau(n). \quad (63)$$

*Proof.* Everything can be checked elementarily by direct computations, but it may as well be deduced from the fact that  $\eta_t(n)$  is a Hecke eigenvalue for the operator  $T(n)$  acting on the derivative at  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  of the non-holomorphic Eisenstein series  $E(z, s)$  of level 1.  $\square$

We now come to the mollifier  $M(f)$ . By multiplicativity of the coefficients  $\lambda_f(n)$ , once more, we have

$$|M(f)|^2 = \sum_b \frac{1}{b} \sum_{m_1, m_2} \frac{\lambda_f(m_1 m_2)}{\sqrt{m_1 m_2}} x_{bm_1} \overline{x_{bm_2}}$$

so that by (54), the second moment  $M_2(\delta)$  is given by

$$\left(\frac{q}{4\pi^2}\right)^\delta H(\delta) M_2(\delta) = \sum_b \frac{1}{b} \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{m_1, m_2} \frac{\eta_t(n)}{\sqrt{m_1 m_2 n}} x_{bm_1} \overline{x_{bm_2}} U\left(\frac{4\pi^2 n}{q}\right) \Delta(m_1 m_2, n)$$

where  $\Delta$  is the Delta-symbol. We recall that (by (12))

$$\Delta(m, n) = \delta(m, n) + O((mn)^{1/2} (\log q)^2 q^{-3/2})$$

for  $m, n \leq q$ , where the implied constant is absolute.

Using (40) to estimate  $x_m$ ,

$$x_m \ll \zeta(1 + 2\delta) m^{-\delta}$$

the contribution to  $M_2(\delta)$  of the remainder term of  $\Delta(m, n)$  is at most

$$\frac{(\log q)^2}{q^{3/2}} \sum_b \frac{1}{b} \left| \sum_{bm \leq M} \tau(m) x_{bm} \right|^2 \left| \sum_{n \geq 1} U\left(\frac{4\pi^2 n}{q}\right) \right| \ll_\epsilon (1 + |t|)^B (qM)^\epsilon \frac{M^2}{q^{1/2}} \quad (64)$$

We now study the ‘‘diagonal contribution’’ where  $n = m_1 m_2$ , namely the sum  $M'(\delta)$  defined by the equality

$$\left(\frac{q}{4\pi^2}\right)^\delta H(\delta) M'(\delta) = \sum_b \frac{1}{b} \sum_{m_1, m_2} \frac{\eta_t(m_1 m_2)}{m_1 m_2} x_{bm_1} \overline{x_{bm_2}} U\left(\frac{4\pi^2 m_1 m_2}{q}\right).$$

Inserting (56), we have

$$\left(\frac{q}{4\pi^2}\right)^\delta H(\delta) M'(\delta) = \left(\frac{q}{4\pi^2}\right)^\delta H(\delta) M''(\delta) + O_\epsilon((1 + |t|)^B (qM)^\epsilon q^{-1/2} M^2) \quad (65)$$

where the sum  $M''(\delta)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{q}{4\pi^2}\right)^\delta H(\delta) M''(\delta) &= \left(\frac{q}{4\pi^2}\right)^\delta H(\delta) \zeta_q(1 + 2\delta) \sum_b \frac{1}{b} \sum_{m_1, m_2} \frac{\eta_t(m_1 m_2)}{(m_1 m_2)^{1+\delta}} x_{bm_1} \overline{x_{bm_2}} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{q}{4\pi^2}\right)^{-\delta} H(-\delta) \zeta_q(1 - 2\delta) \sum_b \frac{1}{b} \sum_{m_1, m_2} \frac{\eta_t(m_1 m_2)}{(m_1 m_2)^{1-\delta}} x_{bm_1} \overline{x_{bm_2}} \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

and the error term has been estimated by

$$(1 + |t|)^B \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{b \leq M} \frac{1}{b} \left| \sum_{bm \leq M} \frac{\tau(m)}{\sqrt{m}} x_{bm} \right|^2 \ll (1 + |t|)^B (qM)^\epsilon q^{-1/2} M^2.$$

#### 4.4 Diagonalization and estimation of the second moment

First,  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  can be separated in (66) by means of the Möbius inversion formula (59), so

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{q}{4\pi^2}\right)^\delta H(\delta)M''(\delta) = \\ & \left(\frac{q}{4\pi^2}\right)^\delta H(\delta)\zeta_q(1+2\delta) \sum_b \frac{1}{b} \sum_a \frac{\mu(a)}{a^{2(1+\delta)}} \sum_{m_1, m_2} \frac{\eta_t(m_1)\eta_t(m_2)}{(m_1m_2)^{1+\delta}} x_{abm_1} \overline{x_{abm_2}} \\ & + \left(\frac{q}{4\pi^2}\right)^{-\delta} H(-\delta)\zeta_q(1-2\delta) \sum_b \frac{1}{b} \sum_a \frac{\mu(a)}{a^{2(1-\delta)}} \sum_{m_1, m_2} \frac{\eta_t(m_1)\eta_t(m_2)}{(m_1m_2)^{1-\delta}} x_{abm_1} \overline{x_{abm_2}} \end{aligned}$$

and we can collect the single variable  $k = ab$ , introducing the arithmetic function

$$\nu_\delta(k) = \sum_{ab=k} \frac{\mu(a)}{a^{1+2\delta}}$$

to derive

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{q}{4\pi^2}\right)^\delta H(\delta)M''(\delta) &= \left(\frac{q}{4\pi^2}\right)^\delta H(\delta)\zeta_q(1+2\delta) \sum_k \frac{\nu_\delta(k)}{k} \left| \sum_m \frac{\eta_t(m)}{m^{1+\delta}} x_{km} \right|^2 \\ &+ \left(\frac{q}{4\pi^2}\right)^{-\delta} H(-\delta)\zeta_q(1-2\delta) \sum_k \frac{\nu_{-\delta}(k)}{k} \left| \sum_m \frac{\eta_t(m)}{m^{1-\delta}} x_{km} \right|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

Following Selberg, we observe that for  $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$ , the inequalities

$$-\zeta_q(1-2\delta) \geq 0, \quad H(-\delta) = |\Gamma(1-\delta+it)G(-\delta+it)|^2 > 0, \quad \text{and } \nu_{-\delta}(k) = \prod_{p|k} (1-p^{-1+2\delta}) \geq 0$$

hold. Hence, by positivity

$$M''(\delta) \leq \zeta_q(1+2\delta) \sum_k \frac{\nu_\delta(k)}{k} \left| \sum_m \frac{\eta_t(m)}{m^{1+\delta}} x_{km} \right|^2. \quad (68)$$

Let

$$y_k = \sum_m \frac{\eta_t(m)}{m^{1+\delta}} x_{km} \quad (69)$$

(which is supported on squarefree integers  $k \leq M$ ).

**Proposition 6.** *Assume  $\delta = b(\log q)^{-1}$  for some (absolute) constant  $b > 0$  and  $M = q^\Delta$  with  $\Delta < \frac{1}{4}$ . Then for  $k$  squarefree,  $k \leq M$ , we have*

$$k^{\delta+it} \xi(k) y_k \ll \frac{1}{\log q}$$

where

$$\xi(k) = \prod_{p|k} (1-p^{-1/2}).$$

**Remark** This saving of a factor  $\log q$  is the critical moment. It will come essentially from cancellation due to the oscillations of the Möbius function, or in other words, from the Prime Number Theorem.

*Proof.* We proceed as in [Luo]. From the definition (40), for  $s = \beta + it = \frac{1}{2} + \delta + it$ , we have

$$x_{km} = \frac{\mu(k)}{k^{\delta+it}} \times \frac{\mu(m)}{m^{\delta+it}} \sum_n \frac{\mu(kmn)^2}{n^{1+2\delta+2it}} g_M(kmn)$$

(there is no  $\varepsilon_q(n)$  since  $n \leq kmn \leq M < q$ ). Therefore

$$y_k = \frac{\mu(k)}{k^{\delta+it}} \sum_{m,n} \frac{\mu(kmn)^2 \mu(m) \eta_t(m) n^{-it}}{(mn)^{1+2\delta+it}} g_M(kmn).$$

Assume first that  $1 \leq k \leq \sqrt{M}$  (and of course  $k$  is squarefree). We use the following integral formula: for all  $\ell \geq 1$

$$g_M(k\ell) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{(2)} \frac{(\sqrt{M}/k)^s (M^{s/2} - 1)}{\log \sqrt{M}} \ell^{-s} \frac{ds}{s^2}. \quad (70)$$

which follows from

$$\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{(2)} y^s \frac{ds}{s^2} = \begin{cases} \log y, & \text{if } y \geq 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } 0 < y \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$k^{\delta+it} y_k = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{(2)} L_k(s + 1 + 2\delta + it) \frac{(\sqrt{M}/k)^s (M^{s/2} - 1)}{\log \sqrt{M}} \frac{ds}{s^2} \quad (71)$$

with the *ad-hoc* Dirichlet series

$$L_k(s) = \sum_{\ell \geq 1} \mu(k\ell)^2 \left( \sum_{mn=\ell} \mu(m) \eta_t(m) n^{-it} \right) \ell^{-s}$$

which is easily computed. Indeed, the inner sum is the coefficient of  $\ell^{-s}$  in the product

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(s + it) \sum_{m \geq 1} \mu(m) \eta_t(m) m^{-s} &= \prod_p (1 - p^{-s-it})^{-1} (1 - p^{-s}(p^{it} + p^{-it})) \\ &\quad \text{(by multiplicativity and the definition of } \eta_t) \\ &= \prod_p \left( 1 - p^{-s+it} (1 - p^{-s-it})^{-1} \right) \\ &= \prod_p \left( 1 - p^{-s+it} \sum_{j \geq 0} p^{-j(s+it)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and  $L_k(s)$  is obtained from this Dirichlet series by taking the subseries restricted to integers prime to  $k$  and squarefree (this is the effect of inserting  $\mu(k\ell)^2$  in a Dirichlet series). This gives the very simple answer

$$L_k(s) = \zeta_k(s - it)^{-1}.$$

From the theorems of Hadamard and de la Vallée-Poussin,  $\zeta(s)$  has no zeros on the line  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 1$  and more precisely the estimate

$$\zeta(s)^{-1} \ll \log(2 + |\operatorname{Im}(s)|) \quad (72)$$

holds with an absolute implied constant (see [Tit, ch. 3]) uniformly for

$$\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 1 - \frac{D}{\log(2 + |\operatorname{Im}(s)|)}$$

( $D > 0$  being another absolute constant).

Let  $r$  be small enough so that the circle  $|s| \leq r$  is included in this zero-free region, and  $0 < r < \frac{1}{2}$  (of course, any  $r < \frac{1}{2}$  will do, the Riemann Hypothesis being numerically valid in such a range!). In (71), we shift the integration to the contour  $C$  consisting of the vertical line  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 0$  from  $-i\infty$  to  $-ir$ , followed by the half-circle  $s = re(x)$  for  $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ , and then again the line  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 0$  from  $ir$  to  $i\infty$ . By the choice of  $r$ , this is permissible; the contour shift passes through a unique simple pole at  $s = 0$  (simple because of the zero of  $s \mapsto M^{s/2} - 1$ ). Thus from the formula for  $L_k(s)$  we get

$$k^{\delta+it} y_k = \zeta_k(1 + 2\delta)^{-1} + \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_C \frac{\zeta(s + 1 + 2\delta)^{-1}}{\prod_{p|k} (1 - p^{-(s+1+2\delta)})} \frac{(\sqrt{M}/k)^s (M^{s/2} - 1) ds}{\log \sqrt{M} s^2}.$$

The integral over  $C$  is now estimated. Using (72), the part from  $ir$  to  $i\infty$  is dominated by

$$\frac{1}{\log M} \left| \int_r^{+\infty} \frac{\zeta(1 + 2\delta + iu)^{-1}}{\prod_{p|k} (1 - p^{-(1+2\delta+iu)})} (\sqrt{M}/k)^{iu} (M^{iu/2} - 1) \frac{du}{u^2} \right| \ll \frac{1}{\log q} \frac{1}{\xi(k)}$$

since clearly

$$\prod_{p|k} (1 - p^{-1-2\delta})^{-1} \leq \xi(k)^{-1}.$$

The same holds without change for the other vertical half-line. For the semi-circle, we use the fact that  $k \leq \sqrt{M}$  so that

$$(\sqrt{M}/k)^s (M^{s/2} - 1) \ll 1$$

on this semi-circle where  $\operatorname{Re}(s) < 0$ , and similarly the product over primes dividing  $k$  is dominated by its value at  $s = -r$  which is

$$\prod_{p|k} (1 - p^{r-1-\delta})^{-1} \leq \xi(k)^{-1}$$

since  $r < \frac{1}{2}$ . Hence the same bound holds again. Now we collect the results and we use the assumption that  $\delta = b(\log q)^{-1}$ , which implies

$$\zeta(1 + 2\delta)^{-1} \ll (\log q)^{-1}.$$

Hence for  $k \leq \sqrt{M}$  we obtain immediately the desired bound

$$\xi(k) k^{1+\delta} y_k \ll \frac{1}{\log q}.$$

This is also true in the case  $\sqrt{M} \leq k \leq M$ : we use a similar reasoning, replacing (70) by the other formula

$$g_M(k\ell) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{(2)} \frac{(M/k)^s}{\log \sqrt{M}} \ell^{-s} \frac{ds}{s^2}$$

and using the same contour shift. This finishes the proof.  $\square$

From the previous proposition and (68) we have

$$\begin{aligned} M''(\delta) &\leq \zeta_q(1+2\delta) \sum_{k \leq M} \frac{\nu_\delta(k)}{k} |y_k|^2 \ll \frac{\zeta_q(1+2\delta)}{(\log q)^2} \sum_{k \leq M} \frac{\mu(k)^2 \nu_\delta(k)}{\xi(k)^2} k^{-(1+2\delta)} \\ &\ll \frac{1}{\log q} \sum_{k \leq M} \frac{\mu(k)^2 \nu_\delta(k)}{\xi(k)^2} k^{-(1+2\delta)} \end{aligned}$$

Now the above last sum is a partial sum for a Dirichlet serie admitting analytic continuation to  $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 7/8$  with a simple pole as  $s = 1$  and therefore

$$\sum_{k \leq M} \frac{\mu(k)^2}{\xi(k)^2} k^{-(1+2\delta)} \ll \log q.$$

To conclude the proof of Proposition 5 we look back to the error terms (64) and (65) introduced in going from the original second moment  $M_2(\delta)$  to  $M'(\delta)$  and then to  $M''(\delta)$ , and we see that they bring a total contribution which is

$$\ll q^{-\gamma} (1 + |t|)^B$$

for some  $\gamma = \gamma(\Delta) > 0$  if  $M = q^\Delta$  with  $\Delta < \frac{1}{4}$ .

#### 4.5 Harmonic average and natural average, II

Having estimated  $A^h[|M(f, \beta + it)L(f, \beta + it)|^2]$ , we now apply Proposition 2 to study

$$A[|M(f, \beta + it)L(f, \beta + it)|^2].$$

The notations and assumptions are the same as at the beginning of the previous Section: recall that  $\beta = \frac{1}{2} + \delta$ , and  $M = q^\Delta$  with  $\Delta < \frac{1}{4}$ .

First we check the conditions: (22) is contained in Proposition 5, while for (23), we have

**Lemma 12.** *For all  $f \in S_2(q)^*$ , it holds*

$$\omega_f |M(f, \beta + it)L(f, \beta + it)|^2 \ll q^{-\frac{1}{4}} (1 + |t|)^2$$

for all  $\beta$  with  $\beta \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , the implied constant being absolute.

*Proof.* Using (43), the trivial bound for  $M(f, \beta + it)$  is

$$M(f, \beta + it) \ll \sqrt{M} (\log q)^3$$

while the convexity bound for  $L(f, s)$  on the critical line gives

$$L(f, \beta + it) \ll_\varepsilon q^{\frac{1}{4} + \varepsilon} (1 + |t|)^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}$$

for  $\beta \geq \frac{1}{2}$ . Since on the other hand we have  $\omega_f \ll (\log q)q^{-1}$  from (20), the result follows.  $\square$

Hence Proposition 2 with  $x = q^\kappa$ , for any  $\kappa > 0$ , gives the equality

$$A[|M(f, \beta + it)L(f, \beta + it)|^2] = \frac{\dim J_0(q)}{\zeta(2)} A^h[\omega_f(x)|M(f, \beta + it)L(f, \beta + it)|^2] + O((1 + |t|)^B q^{1-\gamma}) \quad (73)$$

for some  $\gamma = \gamma(\Delta, \kappa) > 0$  (the dependence in  $t$  of the error term has to be checked by looking back at the proof of the proposition).

We let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_2(\delta) &= A^h[\omega_f(x)|M(f, \beta + it)L(f, \beta + it)|^2] \\ &= \sum_{d\ell^2 \leq x} \frac{1}{d\ell^2} \sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*}^h \lambda_f(d^2) |M(f, \beta + it)L(f, \beta + it)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Computing as before we get

$$\left(\frac{q}{4\pi^2}\right)^\delta H(\delta) \mathcal{M}_2(\delta) = \sum_b \frac{1}{b} \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{m_1, m_2} \frac{\eta_t(n)}{\sqrt{m_1 m_2 n}} x_{bm_1} \overline{x_{bm_2}} U\left(\frac{4\pi^2 n}{q}\right) \Delta^n(m_1 m_2, n)$$

where we put

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^n(m, n) &= \sum_{\ell \leq x} A^h[\rho_f(\ell) \lambda_f(m) \lambda_f(n)] \\ &= \sum_{d\ell^2 \leq x} \sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*}^h \lambda_f(d^2) \lambda_f(m) \lambda_f(n) \\ &= \sum_{d\ell^2 \leq x} \frac{1}{d\ell^2} \sum_{r|(d^2, m)} \delta\left(\frac{md^2}{r^2}, n\right) + O\left((\log q)^3 \frac{x\sqrt{mn}}{q^{3/2}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

by (12) again. The error term yields a contribution which, by the same computation as in (64), is at most

$$\ll_\epsilon (qMx)^\epsilon \frac{xM^{2(1-\delta)}}{\sqrt{q}} (1 + |t|)^B \ll_\kappa (1 + |t|)^B q^{-\gamma} \quad (74)$$

for some  $\gamma > 0$ , if  $\kappa$  is taken small enough.

The diagonal contribution  $n = md^2 r^{-2}$  is

$$\sum_b \frac{1}{b} \sum_{m_1, m_2} \frac{x_{bm_1} \overline{x_{bm_2}}}{m_1 m_2} \sum_{d\ell^2 \leq x} (d\ell)^{-2} \sum_{r|(m_1 m_2, d^2)} r \eta_t\left(\frac{m_1 m_2 d^2}{r^2}\right) U\left(\frac{4\pi^2 m_1 m_2 d^2}{qr^2}\right)$$

and we use (56) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{q}{4\pi^2}\right)^\delta H(\delta) \mathcal{M}_2(\delta) &= \left(\frac{q}{4\pi^2}\right)^\delta H(\delta) \zeta_q(1 + 2\delta) \mathcal{M}(\delta) \\ &+ \left(\frac{q}{4\pi^2}\right)^{-\delta} H(-\delta) \zeta_q(1 - 2\delta) \mathcal{M}(-\delta) + O((1 + |t|)^B q^{-\gamma}) \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

for some  $\gamma > 0$ , where the sum  $\mathcal{M}(\delta)$  is

$$\mathcal{M}(\delta) = \sum_b \frac{1}{b} \sum_{m_1, m_2} \frac{x_{bm_1} \overline{x_{bm_2}}}{(m_1 m_2)^{1+\delta}} \sum_{d\ell^2 \leq x} \frac{1}{d^{2+2\delta} \ell^2} \sum_{r|(m_1 m_2, d^2)} r^{1+2\delta} \eta_t \left( \frac{m_1 m_2 d^2}{r^2} \right). \quad (76)$$

We will first compute the inner sum, showing in particular that we can now again extend the summation over  $d, \ell$  to all integers, and then we compute this complete series.

We define a function  $u(s, r)$  for  $s \in \mathbf{C}$  and  $r \geq 1$  an integer by

$$u(s, r) = \sum_{ab=r} \mu(a) b^s = \prod_{p|r} (p^s - 1)$$

and a function  $v_x(s, r)$ , supported on cubefree integers  $r$ , by

$$v_x(s, r) = \sum_{\substack{d\ell^2 \leq x \\ r|d^2}} \ell^{-2} d^{-2s} \eta_t \left( \frac{d^2}{r} \right) \quad (77)$$

we also denote by  $v(s, r)$  the function obtained by removing the constraint  $d\ell^2 \leq x$  in the definition of  $v_x(s, r)$ .

From the formula (59), we have for every integers  $m$  and  $n$

$$\sum_{r|(m, n)} r^s \eta_t \left( \frac{mn}{r^2} \right) = \sum_{r|(m, n)} u(s, r) \eta_t \left( \frac{m}{r} \right) \eta_t \left( \frac{n}{r} \right)$$

hence

$$\sum_{d\ell^2 \leq x} \frac{1}{d^{2+2\delta} \ell^2} \sum_{r|(m_1 m_2, d^2)} r^{1+2\delta} \eta_t \left( \frac{m_1 m_2 d^2}{r^2} \right) = \sum_{r|m_1 m_2} \eta_t \left( \frac{m_1 m_2}{r} \right) u(1+2\delta, r) v_x(1+\delta, r). \quad (78)$$

We define two multiplicative functions  $N$  and  $M$  by

$$N(r) = \prod_{p|r} p, \quad M(r) = \prod_{p||r} p.$$

**Lemma 13.** *For all cubefree integers  $r \geq 1$ , and  $s$  with  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = \sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ , we have*

$$v_x(s, r) = v(s, r) + O\left( \frac{(\log x)^3 \tau(r)}{N(r)^{2\sigma - \frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{x}} \right). \quad (79)$$

Moreover

$$v(s, 1) = \frac{\zeta(2)\zeta(2s)\zeta(2s+2it)\zeta(2s-2it)}{\zeta(4s)}$$

and for all  $r \geq 1$

$$v(s, r) = v(s, 1) N(r)^{-2s} \prod_{p||r} \frac{\eta_t(p)}{1+p^{-2s}}$$

*Proof.* The point is that for a cubefree integer  $r$  and any  $d \geq 1$ , we have  $r \mid d^2$  if and only if  $N(r) \mid d$ . Since

$$r = M(r) \frac{N(r)^2}{M(r)^2} = \frac{N(r)^2}{M(r)}$$

we can write

$$\begin{aligned} v_x(s, r) &= \sum_{\substack{d\ell^2 \leq x \\ N(r) \mid d}} \ell^{-2} d^{-2s} \eta_t\left(\frac{d^2}{r}\right) \\ &= N(r)^{-2s} \sum_{d\ell^2 \leq x/N(r)} \ell^{-2} d^{-2s} \eta_t(M(r)d^2). \end{aligned}$$

and similarly without constraint for  $v(s, r)$ . Now, putting  $y = x/N(r)$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d\ell^2 > x/N(r)} \ell^{-2} d^{-2s} \eta_t(M(r)d^2) &\ll \tau(M(r)) \left( \sum_{\ell^2 < y} \ell^{-2} \sum_{d > y/\ell^2} \tau(d^2) d^{-2\sigma} + \sum_{\ell^2 > y} \ell^{-2} \right) \\ &\ll \tau(r) (\log x)^3 y^{-1/2} \end{aligned}$$

and this gives the first formula.

To compute  $v(s, r)$  (which is a kind of “non-primitive” symmetric square for  $\eta_t$ ), we define

$$v'(s, r) = \sum_{d \geq 1} \eta_t(M(r)d^2) d^{-2s}$$

so that  $v(s, r) = \zeta(2)N(r)^{-2s}v'(s, r)$ . We denote by  $Z(s)$  the full symmetric square given by (62), and by  $Z_p$  its  $p$ -factor.

Every integer  $d$  has a unique expression  $d = d_1 d_2$  with  $d_1 \mid M(r)^\infty$  and  $(d_2, M(r)) = 1$  so by multiplicativity we get

$$\begin{aligned} v'(s, r) &= \left( \sum_{(d, M(r))=1} \eta_t(d^2) d^{-2s} \right) \left( \sum_{d \mid M(r)^\infty} \eta_t(M(r)d^2) d^{-2s} \right) \\ &= Z(2s) \prod_{p \mid r} Z_p(2s)^{-1} \times \prod_{p \mid r} \sum_{k \geq 0} \eta_t(p^{2k+1}) p^{-2ks}. \end{aligned}$$

Again by multiplicativity,

$$\eta_t(p^{2k+1}) = \eta_t(p)\eta_t(p^{2k}) - \eta_t(p^{2k-1})$$

for  $k \geq 1$ , so that

$$(1 + p^{-2s}) \sum_{k \geq 0} \eta_t(p^{2k+1}) p^{-2ks} = \eta_t(p) Z_p(2s)$$

which yields

$$v'(s, r) = Z(2s) \prod_{p \mid r} \frac{\eta_t(p)}{1 + p^{-2s}}.$$

This gives the lemma, since

$$v(s, 1) = Z(2s) = \frac{\zeta(2)\zeta(2s)\zeta(2s+2it)\zeta(2s-2it)}{\zeta(4s)}$$

from (62).  $\square$

Let now  $w_x(s, m)$  be the function defined for  $s \in \mathbf{C}$  and  $m \geq 1$  by

$$w_x(s, r) = \sum_{r|m} \eta_t\left(\frac{m}{r}\right) u(2s-1, r) v_x(s, r), \quad (80)$$

and let  $w(s, m)$  be the same with  $v(s, r)$  replacing  $v_x(s, r)$ . Then from (78) and (76) comes the formula

$$\mathcal{M}(\delta) = \sum_b \frac{1}{b} \sum_{m_1, m_2} \frac{w_x(1+\delta, m)}{(m_1 m_2)^{1+\delta}} x_{bm_1} \overline{x_{bm_2}}. \quad (81)$$

**Lemma 14.** *Assume that  $\delta = b(\log q)^{-1}$  for some constant  $b > 0$ . Then*

$$\mathcal{M}(\delta) = \sum_b \frac{1}{b} \sum_{m_1, m_2} \frac{w(1+\delta, m_1 m_2)}{(m_1 m_2)^{1+\delta}} x_{bm_1} \overline{x_{bm_2}} + O(q^{-\gamma})$$

for some  $\gamma = \gamma(\kappa, \Delta) > 0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are squarefree, the product  $m_1 m_2$ , and any divisor thereof, is always cubefree. So we use (79) to replace  $v_x(1+\delta, r)$  by  $v(1+\delta, r)$ . This gives first

$$w(1+\delta, m) = w_x(1+\delta, m) + O\left(\frac{\tau(m)^3 (\log x)^3}{\sqrt{x}}\right)$$

because the error term is bounded by

$$\sum_{r|m} \tau\left(\frac{m}{r}\right) |u(1+2\delta, r)| \frac{(\log x)^3 \tau(r)}{N(r)^{\frac{3}{2}+2\delta} \sqrt{x}} \ll \frac{\tau(m)^3 (\log x)^3}{\sqrt{x}}$$

by the estimate

$$|u(1+2\delta, r)| = \left| \prod_{p|r} (p^{1+2\delta} - 1) \right| \leq N(r)^{1+2\delta}.$$

Then inserting this inside  $\mathcal{M}(\delta)$  gives the result.  $\square$

## 4.6 End of evaluation of the second moment

After Lemma 14, we now want to “diagonalize” the quadratic form  $\mathcal{M}(\delta)$  (more precisely, its main term). This is done by the following transformations (compare [KM3], [Kow], where more complicated expressions involving functions which are “less multiplicative” than  $v$  occur).

We have seen that  $v(1+\delta, r)$  is the product of a constant and a multiplicative function, and by Dirichlet convolution it follows that  $w(1+\delta, m)$  is also:

$$w(1+\delta, m) = v(1+\delta, 1) \overline{w}(m)$$

with  $\overline{w}$  multiplicative.

We extract the common divisor of  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  and remove the ensuing coprimality condition by Möbius inversion:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_b \frac{1}{b} \sum_{m_1, m_2} \frac{w(1 + \delta, m_1 m_2)}{(m_1 m_2)^{1+\delta}} x_{bm_1} \overline{x_{bm_2}} &= v(1 + \delta, 1) \sum_b \frac{1}{b} \sum_{m_1, m_2} \frac{\overline{w}(m_1 m_2)}{(m_1 m_2)^{1+\delta}} x_{bm_1} \overline{x_{bm_2}} \\
&= v(1 + \delta, 1) \sum_b \frac{1}{b} \sum_a \frac{\overline{w}(a^2)}{a^{2(1+\delta)}} \sum_{(m_1, m_2)=1} \frac{\overline{w}(m_1) \overline{w}(m_2)}{(m_1 m_2)^{1+\delta}} x_{abm_1} \overline{x_{abm_2}} \\
&= v(1 + \delta, 1) \sum_b \frac{1}{b} \sum_a \frac{\overline{w}(a^2)}{a^{2(1+\delta)}} \sum_d \frac{\mu(d) \overline{w}(d)^2}{d^{2(1+\delta)}} \sum_{m_1, m_2} \frac{\overline{w}(m_1) \overline{w}(m_2)}{(m_1 m_2)^{1+\delta}} x_{adbm_1} \overline{x_{adbm_2}} \\
&= v(1 + \delta, 1) \sum_k \frac{\tilde{\nu}_\delta(k)}{k} \left| \sum_m \frac{\overline{w}(m)}{m^{1+\delta}} x_{km} \right|^2 \tag{82}
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\tilde{\nu}_\delta(k) = \sum_{abd=k} \frac{\mu(d) \overline{w}(d)^2 \overline{w}(a^2)}{(ad)^{1+2\delta}}.$$

Remember that  $\tilde{\nu}_\delta(k)$  also depends on  $t$  (through  $\eta_t$  involved in  $\overline{w}$ ).

**Lemma 15.** *There exists an absolute constant  $b > 0$  such that if  $|\delta| \leq b(\log q)^{-1}$  then*

$$\tilde{\nu}_\delta(k) \geq 0$$

for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  and all  $k < q$ , and

$$v(1 + \delta, 1) \gg 1$$

for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ .

*Proof.* By multiplicativity it is enough to consider  $k = p$  prime,  $p < q$ . Then  $e^{-2b} \leq p^{2\delta} \leq e^{2b}$ . We have

$$\nu_\delta(p) = 1 + \frac{1}{p^{1+2\delta}} (\overline{w}(p^2) - \overline{w}(p)^2)$$

and by direct computation, from Lemma 13 and the definition of  $w(1 + \delta, m)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{w}(p) &= \eta_t(p) + (p^{1+2\delta} - 1) p^{-2(1+\delta)} \frac{\eta_t(p)}{1 + p^{-2(1+\delta)}} \\
&= \eta_t(p) \frac{p^{2+2\delta} + p^{1+2\delta}}{p^{2+2\delta} + 1}
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{w}(p^2) &= \eta_t(p^2) + \eta_t(p)^2 \frac{p^{1+2\delta} - 1}{p^{2+2\delta} + 1} + \frac{p^{1+2\delta} - 1}{p^{2+2\delta}} \\
&= \eta_t(p)^2 \frac{p^{2+2\delta} + p^{1+2\delta}}{p^{2+2\delta} + 1} - 1 + \frac{p^{1+2\delta} - 1}{p^{2+2\delta}}
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\overline{w}(p^2) - \overline{w}(p)^2 = -\eta_t(p)^2 \frac{p^{2+2\delta} + p^{1+2\delta}}{p^{2+2\delta} + 1} \frac{p^{1+2\delta} - 1}{p^{2+2\delta} + 1} - 1 + \frac{p^{1+2\delta} - 1}{p^{2+2\delta}}.$$

For  $p$  large enough, the result is now clear, uniformly in  $\delta$ , and we leave to the reader the choice of her argument for dealing with small primes. For instance, note that for  $p = 2$ ,  $\delta = 0$ , we obtain

$$\bar{w}(p^2) - \bar{w}(p)^2 \geq -4\frac{6}{25} - 1 + \frac{1}{4} > -2,$$

and the result is now clear by continuity.  $\square$

We can now conclude.

**Proposition 7.** *Assume that  $\delta = b(\log q)^{-1}$  with  $b > 0$  a fixed constant such that the previous lemma applies. Then for  $M = q^\Delta$  with  $\Delta < 1/4$ , one has*

$$\sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*} |M(f, \beta + it)L(f, \beta + it)|^2 \ll q(1 + |t|)^B$$

for some absolute constant  $B \geq 0$ . The implied constant depends only on  $\Delta$ .

*Proof.* From Lemma 15, and the computation of  $\mathcal{M}(\delta)$  and the subsequent diagonalization of the main term, we see that for  $q$  large enough we have

$$\mathcal{M}(-\delta) \geq 0$$

hence, using the same trick as before that  $\zeta_q(1 - 2\delta) \leq 0$ , we get by positivity the inequality

$$\mathcal{M}_2(\delta) \leq \zeta(1 + 2\delta)\mathcal{M}(\delta) \ll v(1 + \delta, 1)\zeta(1 + 2\delta) \sum_k \frac{\tilde{\nu}_\delta(k)}{k} \left| \sum_m \frac{\bar{w}(m)}{m^{1+\delta}} x_{km} \right|^2.$$

Now, in terms of the linear forms  $y_k$  introduced in (69), we can write

$$\sum_m \frac{\bar{w}(m)}{m^{1+\delta}} x_{km} = \sum_{a,b} \frac{\eta_t(a)\eta_t(b)u(1 + \delta, b)}{(ab)^{1+\delta}(b^{2(1+\delta)} + 1)} x_{abk} = \sum_b \frac{u(1 + \delta, b)\eta_t(b)}{b^{1+\delta}(b^{2(1+\delta)} + 1)} y_{bk}$$

since for squarefree  $n$  we have  $N(n) = n$ . But  $u(1 + \delta, b) \leq b^{1+2\delta}$  for  $b$  squarefree, and Proposition 6 gives immediately

$$\sum_m \frac{\bar{w}(m)}{m^{1+\delta}} x_{km} \ll \frac{1}{k^{(1+\delta)\xi(k)}} \frac{1}{\log q}$$

and then the proof is completed as for the harmonic average, going back to (73) to conclude.  $\square$

Proposition 4 is an easy consequence of this estimate near the critical line. Indeed, it first immediately provides the bound

$$\sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*} |M(f, \beta + it)L(f, \beta + it) - 1|^2 \ll q(1 + |t|)^B \quad (83)$$

for  $\beta = \frac{1}{2} + b(\log q)^{-1}$ . On the other hand, for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = \sigma > 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{f \in S_2(q)^*} |M(f, s)L(f, s) - 1|^2 \ll q^{1-\Delta(1-\sigma)}(\log q)^{30} \quad (84)$$

as a consequence of the trivial individual bound of Lemma 44.

Finally by means of (83), (84) and the convexity principle of Phragmen-Lindelöf (for subharmonic functions), Proposition 4 follows.

## References

- [Br1] Brumer, A.: *The rank of  $J_0(N)$* , Astérisque 228, SMF (1995), 41–68.
- [Du] Duke, W.: *The critical order of vanishing of automorphic  $L$ -functions with high level*, Invent. Math. 119 (1995), 165–174.
- [D-K] Duke, W. and Kowalski, E.: *A problem of Linnik for elliptic curves and mean-value estimates for automorphic representations*, to appear in Invent. Math.
- [GHL] Goldfeld, D., Hoffstein, J. and Lieman, D.: *An effective zero-free region*, Ann. of Math. 140 (1994), 177–181.
- [Haf] Hafner, J-L.: *On the zeros (à la Selberg) of Dirichlet series attached to certain cusp forms*, Topics in analytic number theory (Austin, Tex., 1982), 127–164, Univ. Texas Press, Austin, Tex., 1985.
- [H-L] Hoffstein, J. and Lockhart, P.: *Coefficients of Maass forms and the Siegel zero* (with an appendix by D. Goldfeld, J. Hoffstein and D. Lieman), Ann. of Math. (2) 140 (1994), 161–181.
- [ILS] Luo, W., Iwaniec, H. and Sarnak, P.: *Low lying zeros for families of  $L$ -functions*, preprint (1998).
- [IS] Iwaniec, H. and Sarnak, P.: *The non-vanishing of central values of automorphic  $L$ -functions and Siegel’s zeros*, preprint (1997).
- [Iw] Iwaniec, H.: *Topics in classical modular forms*, Grad. Studies in Math. 17, A.M.S (1997).
- [KL] Kolyvagin, V. and Logachev, D.: *Finiteness of the Shafarevich-Tate group and the group of rational points for some modular abelian varieties*, Leningrad Math. J., 1, No. 5 (1990), 1229–1253.
- [KM1] Kowalski, E. and Michel, P.: *Sur le rang de  $J_0(q)$* , Préprint de l’Université d’Orsay, 53 (1997), also available at <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Algebraic-Number-Theory>, preprint No 73.
- [KM2] Kowalski, E. and Michel, P.: *Sur les zéros des fonctions  $L$  automorphes de grand niveau*, Préprint de l’Université d’Orsay, 54 (1997), also available at <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Algebraic-Number-Theory>, preprint No 74.
- [KM3] Kowalski, E. and Michel, P.: *A lower bound for the rank of  $J_0(q)$* , to appear in Acta Arithmetica.
- [KM4] Kowalski, E. and Michel, P.: *Explicit upper bound for the rank of  $J_0(q)$* , to appear in Israel J. of Math.
- [KMV1] Kowalski, E., Michel, P. and VanderKam, J. M.: *Non-vanishing of higher derivatives of automorphic  $L$ -functions*, Preprint 1999, Université Montpellier II.

- [Kow] Kowalski, E.: *The rank of the jacobian of modular curves: analytic methods*, Thesis, Rutgers University, May 1998 (available at <http://www.princeton.edu/~ekowalsk/These/these.html>).
- [KS] Katz, N. and Sarnak, P.: *Random matrices, Frobenius eigenvalues, and monodromy*, Colloquium Publications, A.M.S (1998).
- [Luo] Luo, W.: *Zeros of Hecke L-functions associated with cusp forms*, Acta Arith. 71, No.2 (1995), 139–158.
- [Me] Merel, L.: *Bornes pour la torsion des courbes elliptiques sur les corps de nombres*, Invent. Math 124 (1996), 437–450.
- [Mes] Mestre, J.-F.: *Formules explicites et minoration de conducteurs de variétés algébriques*, Comp. Math. 58 (1986), 209–232.
- [Mur] Murty, R.: *The analytic rang of  $J_0(N)$* , (K. Dilcher Edt.) CMS Conf. Proc. 15, 263-277 (1995).
- [Poi] Poitou, G.: *Sur les petits discriminants*, Séminaire Delange-Pisot-Poitou, 18e année (1976/77), Théorie des nombres, Fasc. 1, Exp. No. 6, 18 pp., Secrétariat Math., Paris, 1977.
- [PP] Perelli, A. and Pomykala, J.: *Averages over twisted elliptic L-functions*, Acta Arith. 80, No 2 (1997), 149–163.
- [Sel] Selberg, A.: *Contributions to the theory of Dirichlet's L-functions*, Skr. Norske Vid. Akad. Oslo. I. (1946), 1–62, or Collected Papers, vol. 1, Springer Verlag, Berlin, (1989), 281–340.
- [Sh1] Shimura, G.: *Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions*, Iwanami Shoten and Princeton Univ. Press (1971).
- [Sh2] Shimura, G.: *The special values of zeta functions associated with cusp forms*, Comm. Pure and Appl. Math. 29 (1976), 783–804.
- [Tit] Titchmarsh, E.C.: *The theory of the Riemann Zeta-function*, Second edition (revised by D. R. Heath-Brown), Oxford University Press, 1986.
- [Vdk] Vanderkam, J.: *The rank of quotients of  $J_0(N)$* , to appear in Duke Math. Journal.
- [Wei] Weil, A.: *On some exponential sums*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 34 (1948), 204–207.

Philippe MICHEL, cc 051, Mathématiques  
 Université Montpellier II  
 Place Eugène Bataillon  
 34095 Montpellier Cedex 5  
 FRANCE  
 email: michel@darboux.math.univ-montp2.fr

Emmanuel Kowalski  
 Math. Dept. - Fine Hall

Washington Road  
Princeton, NJ 08544-1000  
USA  
email: [ekowalsk@math.princeton.edu](mailto:ekowalsk@math.princeton.edu)