



ELSEVIER

Nonlinear Analysis 56 (2004) 213–226

**Nonlinear  
Analysis**

www.elsevier.com/locate/na

# Solutions for a quasilinear Schrödinger equation: a dual approach

Mathieu Colin<sup>a</sup>, Louis Jeanjean<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Département de Mathématiques, Université Paris-Sud, Bâtiment 425, Orsay Cedex, 91405, France*

<sup>b</sup>*Equipe de Mathématiques (UMR CNRS 6623), Université de Franche-Comté,  
16 Route de Gray, Besançon 25030, France*

Received 12 June 2003; accepted 15 September 2003

## Abstract

We consider quasilinear stationary Schrödinger equations of the form

$$-\Delta u - \Delta(u^2)u = g(x, u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (1)$$

Introducing a change of unknown, we transform the search of solutions  $u(x)$  of (1) into the search of solutions  $v(x)$  of the semilinear equation

$$-\Delta v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 2f^2(v)}} g(x, f(v)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (2)$$

where  $f$  is suitably chosen. If  $v$  is a classical solution of (2) then  $u = f(v)$  is a classical solution of (1). Variational methods are then used to obtain various existence results.

© 2003 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

*MSC:* Primary: 35J60; Secondary: 58E05

*Keywords:* Quasilinear Schrödinger equations; Minimax methods

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we deal with equations of the form

$$-\Delta u - \Delta(u^2)u = g(x, u), \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N). \quad (1.1)$$

These equations model several physical phenomena but until recently little had been done to prove rigorously the existence of solutions.

\* Corresponding author.

*E-mail addresses:* mathieu.colin@math.u-psud.fr (M. Colin), jeanjean@math.univ-fcomte.fr (L. Jeanjean).

A major difficulty associated with (1.1) is the following; one may seek to obtain solutions by looking for critical points of the associated “natural” functional,  $J : H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 u^2 \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x, u) \, dx,$$

where  $G(x, s) = \int_0^s g(x, t) \, dt$ . However except when  $N = 1$  this functional is not defined on all  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

The first existence results for equations of the form of (1.1) are, up to our knowledge, due to [12,7]; papers to which we refer for a presentation of the physical motivations of studying (1.1). In [12,7], however, the main existence results are obtained, through a constrained minimization argument, only up to an unknown Lagrange multiplier.

Subsequently a general existence result for (1.1) was derived in [8]. To overcome the undefiniteness of  $J$  the idea in [8] is to introduce a change of variable and to rewrite the functional  $J$  with this new variable. Then critical points are search in an associated Orlicz space (see [8] for details).

The aim of the present paper is to give a simple and shorter proof of the results of [8], which do not use Orlicz spaces, but rather is developed in the usual  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  space. The fact that we work in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  also permit to cover a different class of nonlinearities. In particular we give full treatment of the autonomous case and for nonautonomous problems we do not assume that,

$$s \rightarrow \frac{g(x, s)}{s} : ]0, \infty[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is nondecreasing in } s.$$

Following the strategy developed in [4] on a related problem, we also make use of a change of unknown  $v = f^{-1}(u)$  and define an associated equation that we shall call dual. If  $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is classical solution of

$$-\Delta v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 2f^2(v)}} g(x, f(v)), \tag{1.2}$$

$u = f(v)$  is a classical solution of (1.1).

Equations of form (1.2) are of semilinear elliptic type and one can try to solve them by a variational approach. In particular we shall see that, under very general conditions on  $g$ , the “natural” functional associated to (1.2),  $I : H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$I(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x, f(v)) \, dx$$

is well defined and of class  $C^1$  on  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

The dual approach is introduced in Section 2. In Section 3, we deal with autonomous problems, when (1.1) is of the form

$$-\Delta u - \Delta(u^2)u = g(u), \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{1.3}$$

Autonomous problems seems to play an important role in physical phenomena (see [3] for example) and we obtain here an existence result under assumptions we believe to

be nearly optimal. We assume that the nonlinear term  $g$  satisfies:

- (g0)  $g(s)$  is locally Hölder continuous on  $[0, \infty[$ .
- (g1)  $-\infty < \liminf_{s \rightarrow 0} g(s)/s \leq \limsup_{s \rightarrow 0} g(s)/s = -v < 0$  for  $N \geq 3$ ,  
 $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} g(s)/s = -v \in (-\infty, 0)$  for  $N = 1, 2$ .
- (g2) When  $N \geq 3$ ,  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} |g(s)|/s^{(3N+2)/(N-2)} = 0$ .  
 When  $N = 2$ , for any  $\alpha > 0$  there exists  $C_\alpha > 0$  such that  
 $|g(s)| \leq C_\alpha e^{\alpha s^2}$  for all  $s \geq 0$ .
- (g3) When  $N \geq 2$ , there exists  $\zeta_0 > 0$  such that  $G(\zeta_0) > 0$ ,  
 When  $N = 1$ , there exists  $\zeta_0 > 0$  such that  
 $G(\zeta) < 0$  for all  $\zeta \in ]0, \zeta_0[$ ,  $G(\zeta_0) = 0$  and  $g(\zeta_0) > 0$ .

**Remark 1.1.** An easy calculation shows that (g0)–(g3) are satisfied in the model case  $g(s) = |s|^2s - vs$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** Assume that (g0)–(g3) hold. Then (1.3) admits a solution  $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  having the following properties:

- (i)  $u_0 > 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .
- (ii)  $u_0$  is spherically symmetric:  $u_0(x) = u_0(r)$  with  $r = |x|$  and  $u_0$  decreases with respect to  $r$ .
- (iii)  $u_0 \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .
- (iv)  $u_0$  together with its derivatives up to order 2 have exponential decay at infinity

$$|D^\alpha u_0(x)| \leq C e^{-\delta|x|}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

for some  $C, \delta > 0$  and for  $|\alpha| \leq 2$ .

We prove Theorem 1.2 searching for a critical point of the functional  $I$ , which is here autonomous. As we shall see the existence of a critical point follows almost directly, from classical results on scalar field equations due to Berestycki–Lions [2] when  $N = 1$  or  $N \geq 3$  and Berestycki–Gallouët–Kavian [1] when  $N = 2$ .

In Section 4 we assume that (1.1) is of the form,

$$-\Delta u - \Delta(u^2)u + V(x)u = h(u). \tag{1.4}$$

We require  $V \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$  and  $h \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$ , to be Hölder continuous and to satisfy

- (V0) There exists  $V_0 > 0$  such that  $V(x) \geq V_0 > 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .
- (V1)  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) = V(\infty)$  and  $V(x) \leq V(\infty)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .
- (h0)  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} h(s)/s = 0$ .
- (h1) There exists  $p < \infty$  if  $N = 1, 2$  and  $p < (3N + 2)/(N - 2)$  if  $N \geq 3$  such that  
 $|h(s)| \leq C(1 + |s|^p)$ ,  $\forall s \in \mathbb{R}$ , for a  $C > 0$ .
- (h2) There exists  $\mu \geq 4$  such that,  $\forall s > 0$ ,

$$0 < \mu H(s) \leq h(s)s \text{ with } H(s) = \int_0^s h(t) dt.$$

Our main result is the following:

**Theorem 1.3.** *Assume that (V0)–(V1) and (h0)–(h1) hold. Then (1.4) has a positive nontrivial solution if one of the following conditions hold:*

- (1) (h2) hold with  $\mu > 4$ .
- (2) (h2) hold with  $\mu = 4$  with  $p \leq 5$  if  $N = 3$  and  $p < (3N + 4)/N$  if  $N \geq 4$  in (h1).

The proof of Theorem 1.3 also relies on the study of the functional  $I$ . We first show that  $I$  possess a mountain pass geometry and denote by  $c > 0$  the mountain pass level (see Lemma 4.2). To find a critical point the main difficulties to overcome are the possible unboundedness of the Palais–Smale (or Cerami) sequences and a lack of compactness since (1.4) is set on all  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

For the second difficulty we use some recent results presented in [9,10] which imply that, under conditions (V0)–(V1), the mountain pass level  $c > 0$  is below (if  $V \not\equiv V(\infty)$ ) the first level of possible loss of compactness (see Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 4.3).

For the first difficulty we distinguish the cases  $\mu > 4$  and  $\mu = 4$  in (h2). In the case  $\mu > 4$ , it is direct to prove that all Cerami sequences of  $I$  are bounded. To show it in the case  $\mu = 4$  is more involved and for this we make use of an idea introduced in [8].

*Notation.* Throughout the article the letter  $C$  will denote various positive constants whose exact value may change from line to line but are not essential to the analysis of the problem. Also if we take a subsequence of a sequence  $\{v_n\}$  we shall denote it again  $\{v_n\}$ .

## 2. The dual formulation

We start with some preliminary results. Let  $f$  be defined by

$$f'(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 2f^2(t)}} \quad \text{and} \quad f(0) = 0$$

on  $[0, +\infty[$  and by  $f(t) = -f(-t)$  on  $] - \infty, 0]$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** (1)  $f$  is uniquely defined,  $C^\infty$  and invertible.

(2)  $|f'(t)| \leq 1$ , for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(3)  $\frac{f(t)}{t} \rightarrow 1$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ .

(4)  $\frac{f(t)}{\sqrt{t}} \rightarrow 2^{1/4}$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ .

**Proof.** Points (1)–(3) are immediate. To see (4) we integrate

$$\int_0^t f'(s) \sqrt{1 + 2f^2(s)} \, ds = t.$$

Using the changes of variables  $x = f(s)$  and  $x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} Sh(y)$  we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}[\sinh^{-1}(\sqrt{2}f(t))] + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} \sinh 2[Sh^{-1}(\sqrt{2}f(t))] = t.$$

Thus,  $\sinh 2[Sh^{-1}(\sqrt{2}f(t))] \sim 4\sqrt{2}t$  in the sense that, as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

$$\frac{\sinh 2[\sinh^{-1}(\sqrt{2}f(t))]}{4\sqrt{2}t} \rightarrow 1.$$

We set  $a(t) = \sinh^{-1}(\sqrt{2}f(t))$ . Then  $a(t)$  satisfies  $\sinh[2a(t)] \sim 4\sqrt{2}t$  and we deduce that

$$a(t) \sim \frac{1}{2} \ln(4\sqrt{2}t + \sqrt{32t^2 + 1}).$$

Finally since  $2\sinh(t) \sim e^t$  it follows that

$$2\sqrt{2}f(t) \sim e^{(1/2)\ln(4\sqrt{2}t + \sqrt{32t^2 + 1})} \sim 2\sqrt{2} 2^{1/4} \sqrt{t}$$

and the lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** For all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2}f(t) \leq \frac{t}{\sqrt{1 + 2f^2(t)}} \leq f(t).$$

**Proof.** To establish the first inequality we need to show that, for all  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\sqrt{1 + 2f^2(t)}f(t) \leq 2t.$$

In this aim we study the function  $g: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , defined by

$$g(t) = 2t - \sqrt{1 + 2f^2(t)}f(t).$$

We have  $g(0) = 0$  and, since  $f'(t)\sqrt{1 + 2f^2(t)} = 1, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ , that  $g'(t) = 1 - 2f'^2(t)f^2(t)$ . It follows that  $g'(t) \geq 0$  since  $1 - 2f'^2(t)f^2(t) = f'^2(t)$  and the first inequality is proved. The second one is derived in a similar way.  $\square$

We now present our dual approach. For simplicity we set  $H = H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and denote by  $\|\cdot\|$  its standard norm. We assume that  $g(x, s)$  is such that  $I: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$I(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x, f(v)) dx$$

with  $G(x, s) = \int_0^s g(x, t) dt$ , is well defined and of class  $C^1$  ( $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the function previously introduced).

Let  $v \in H \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  be a critical point of  $I$ . Since  $f'^2(t)(1 + 2f^2(t)) \equiv 1$ , it satisfies

$$-\Delta v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 2f^2(v)}} g(x, f(v)). \tag{2.1}$$

We set  $u = f(v)$  (i.e.  $v = f^{-1}(u)$ ). Clearly  $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $u \in H$ . Indeed  $\nabla u = f'(v)\nabla v$  and  $|f'(t)| \leq 1, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

We have  $\nabla v = (f^{-1})'(u)\nabla u$  and

$$\Delta v = (f^{-1})''(u)|\nabla u|^2 + (f^{-1})'(u)\Delta u. \tag{2.2}$$

Since  $(f^{-1})'(t) = \frac{1}{f'[f^{-1}(t)]}$ , it follows that

$$(f^{-1})'(t) = \sqrt{1 + 2f^2(f^{-1}(t))} = \sqrt{1 + 2t^2} \text{ and } (f^{-1})''(t) = \frac{2t}{\sqrt{1 + 2t^2}}.$$

Thus, from (2.2), we deduce that

$$\Delta v = \frac{2u}{\sqrt{1 + 2u^2}}|\nabla u|^2 + \sqrt{1 + 2u^2}\Delta u$$

and consequently, from (2.1), that

$$-\frac{2u}{\sqrt{1 + 2u^2}}|\nabla u|^2 - \sqrt{1 + 2u^2}\Delta u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 2u^2}}g(x, u) = 0.$$

This can be rewrite as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 2u^2}}[(-1 - 2u^2)\Delta u - 2u|\nabla u|^2 - g(x, u)] = 0.$$

Since  $\Delta(u^2)u = 2u|\nabla u|^2 + 2u^2\Delta u$  it shows that  $u \in H \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  satisfies (1.1).

At this point it is clear that to obtain a classical solution of (1.1) it suffices to obtain a critical point of  $I$  of class  $C^2$ .

### 3. Autonomous cases

In this section (1.1) is of the form

$$-\Delta u - \Delta(u^2)u = g(u), \quad u \in H. \tag{3.1}$$

with the nonlinearity  $g$  satisfying (g0)–(g3). Because we look for positive solutions we may assume without restriction that  $g(s) = 0, \forall s \leq 0$ . Following our dual approach we shall obtain the existence of solutions for (3.1) studying the associated dual equation

$$-\Delta v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 2f^2(v)}}g(f(v)), \quad v \in H. \tag{3.2}$$

In this aim, we now recall some classical results due to Berestycki–Lions [2] and Berestycki–Gallouët–Kavian [1] on equations of the form

$$-\Delta v = k(v), \quad v \in H. \tag{3.3}$$

These authors show that the natural functional corresponding to (3.3),  $J : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$J(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(v) \, dx$$

where  $K(s) = \int_0^s k(t) dt$  is of class  $C^1$ , if  $k$  satisfies the conditions:

- (k0)  $k(s) \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$  (and  $k(s) = 0, \forall s \leq 0$ ).
- (k1)  $-\infty < \liminf_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{k(s)}{s} \leq \limsup_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{k(s)}{s} = -v < 0$  for  $N \geq 3$ ,  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{k(s)}{s} = -v \in (-\infty, 0)$  for  $N = 1, 2$ .
- (k2) When  $N \geq 3$ ,  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} |k(s)|/s^{(N+2)/(N-2)} = 0$ .  
 When  $N = 2$ , for any  $\alpha > 0$  there exists  $C_\alpha > 0$  such that
 
$$|k(s)| \leq C_\alpha e^{\alpha s^2} \quad \text{for all } s \geq 0.$$

We recall that a solution  $v \in H$  of (3.3) is said to be a least energy solution if and only if

$$J(v) = m \text{ where } m = \inf \{J(v), v \in H \setminus \{0\} \text{ is a solution of (3.3)}\}.$$

The following result is given in [2] when  $N = 1$  or  $N \geq 3$  and in [1] when  $N = 2$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume that (k0)–(k2) and (k3) hold with*

- (k3) *When  $N \geq 2$ , there exists  $\xi_0 > 0$  such that  $K(\xi_0) > 0$ .  
 When  $N = 1$ , there exists  $\xi_0 > 0$  such that*

$$K(\xi) < 0 \text{ for all } \xi \in ]0, \xi_0[, K(\xi_0) = 0 \text{ and } k(\xi_0) > 0.$$

*Then  $m > 0$  and there exists a least energy solution  $\omega(x)$  of (3.3) which satisfies:*

- (i)  $\omega > 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .
- (ii)  $\omega$  is spherically symmetric:  $\omega(x) = \omega(r)$  with  $r = |x|$  and  $\omega$  decreases with respect to  $r$ .
- (iii)  $\omega \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .
- (iv)  $\omega$  together with its derivatives up to order 2 have exponential decay at infinity

$$|D^\alpha \omega(x)| \leq C e^{-\delta|x|}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

*for some  $C, \delta > 0$  and for  $|\alpha| \leq 2$ .*

Now observe that Eq. (3.2) is of the form  $-\Delta v = k(v)$  with

$$k(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 2f^2(s)}} g(f(s)). \tag{3.4}$$

We claim that if  $g(s)$  satisfies (g0)–(g3) then  $k(s)$  given by (3.4) satisfies (k0)–(k3). Indeed the fact that (k0) holds is trivial. Conditions (k1), (k2) follow, respectively, from Lemma 2.1(ii) and (iii). To check (k3) when  $N \geq 2$  it suffices to notice that

$$G(\xi_0) > 0 \text{ for a } \xi_0 > 0 \Leftrightarrow \exists s_0 > 0 \text{ such that } G(f(s_0)) > 0.$$

Clearly (k3) also holds when  $N = 1$ . Having proved our claim we directly obtain from Theorem 3.1.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Assume that (g0)–(g2) hold. Then the functional  $I: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by*

$$I(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(f(v)) \, dx$$

*is well defined and of class  $C^1$ . If in addition  $g$  satisfies (g3) then (3.2) has a least energy solution  $\omega(x)$  which possesses the properties (i)–(iv) of Theorem 3.1.*

At this point turning back to Eq. (3.1), Theorem 1.2 follows directly from Theorem 3.2 and the properties of  $f$  (see Lemma 2.1).

**Remark 3.3.** In [2] the authors justify the growth restriction (k2) considering the special nonlinearities  $k(s) = \lambda|s|^{p-1}s - ms$  where  $\lambda, m > 0$ . They show that in this case (3.3) has no solution when  $p \geq (N + 2)/(N - 2)$ . In contrast, Theorem 3.1 says that solutions of (3.1) do exist for all  $1 < p < (3N + 2)/(N - 2)$ .

In the next section we shall use the fact that the least energy solution  $\omega(x)$  given in Theorem 3.2 has a mountain pass characterization. Indeed, in [9] for  $N \geq 2$  and in [10] for  $N = 1$ , Theorem 3.1 is complemented in the following way:

**Theorem 3.4.** *Assume that (k0)–(k3) hold. Then setting*

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], H), \gamma(0) = 0 \text{ and } J(\gamma(1)) < 0\},$$

*we have  $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$  and  $b = m$  with*

$$b \equiv \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} J(\gamma(t)).$$

*Moreover for any least energy solution  $\omega(x)$  as given in Theorem 3.1, there exists a path  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $\gamma(t)(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $t \in (0, 1]$  satisfying  $\omega \in \gamma([0, 1])$  and*

$$\max_{t \in [0, 1]} J(\gamma(t)) = b.$$

**Remark 3.5.** In [9,10] it is also proved that under (k0)–(k2) there exists  $\alpha_0 > 0, \delta_0 > 0$  such that

$$J(v) \geq \alpha_0 \|v\|^2 \text{ when } \|v\| \leq \delta_0.$$

#### 4. Nonautonomous cases

In this section we assume that (1.1) is of the form

$$-\Delta u - \Delta(u^2)u + V(x)u = h(u), \quad u \in H. \tag{4.1}$$

with the potential  $V(x)$  satisfying (V0)–(V1) and the nonlinearity  $h(s)$ , (h0)–(h2). Here again we use our dual approach and first look to critical points of  $I: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$I(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 + V(x)f^2(v) \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(f(v)) \, dx.$$

Namely for solutions  $v \in H$  of

$$-\Delta v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 2f^2(v)}}[-V(x)f(v) + h(f(v))]. \tag{4.2}$$

From Section 3 we readily deduce that  $I$  is well defined and of class  $C^1$  under conditions (V0)–(V1) and (h0)–(h1). Let us show that  $I$  has a mountain pass geometry, in the sense that,

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], H), \gamma(0) = 0 \text{ and } I(\gamma(1)) < 0\} \neq \emptyset,$$

and

$$c \equiv \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} I(\gamma(t)) > 0.$$

For this we first mention a direct consequence of (h2).

**Remark 4.1.** The function  $t \rightarrow H(st)t^{-4}$  is increasing on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , for all  $s > 0$ . In particular there is  $C > 0$  such that  $H(s) \geq Cs^4$  for  $s \geq 1$  and  $\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} h(s)s^{-1} = \infty$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** Under (V0)–(V1) and (h0)–(h2)  $I$  has a mountain pass geometry.

**Proof.** From the assumptions (V0)–(V1) we have

$$k_1(s) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 2f^2(v)}}[-V(x)f(v) + h(f(v))] \leq k_2(s),$$

where

$$k_1(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 2f^2(v)}}[-V(\infty)f(v) + h(f(v))]$$

and

$$k_2(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 2f^2(v)}}[-V_0f(v) + h(f(v))].$$

The nonlinearities  $k_1(s)$  and  $k_2(s)$  both satisfy assumptions (k0)–(k3). Thus, from Remark 3.5, we deduce (considering  $k_2(s)$ ) that there exists  $\alpha_0 > 0$ ,  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that

$$I(v) \geq \alpha_0 \|v\|^2 \text{ when } \|v\| \leq \delta_0. \tag{4.3}$$

Namely the origin is a strict local minimum. Also since the functional corresponding to  $k_1(s)$  is negative at some point we deduce that  $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** Assume that (V0)–(V1) and (h0)–(h2) hold. Let  $\{v_n\} \subset H$  be a bounded Palais–Smale sequence for  $I$  at level  $c > 0$ . Then, up to a subsequence,  $v_n \rightharpoonup v \neq 0$  with  $I'(v) = 0$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\{v_n\}$  is bounded, we can assume that, up to a subsequence,  $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ . Let us prove that  $I'(v) = 0$ . Noting that  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is dense in  $H$ , it suffices to check that

$I'(v)\varphi = 0$  for all  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . But we readily have, using Lebesgue’s Theorem, that

$$\begin{aligned} I'(v_n)\varphi - I'(v)\varphi &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla(v_n - v)\nabla\varphi \, dx \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{-f(v_n)}{\sqrt{1 + 2f^2(v_n)}} + \frac{f(v)}{\sqrt{1 + 2f^2(v)}} \right) V(x)\varphi \, dx \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{h(f(v_n))}{\sqrt{1 + 2f^2(v_n)}} - \frac{h(f(v))}{\sqrt{1 + 2f^2(v)}} \right) \varphi \, dx \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

since  $v_n \rightharpoonup v$  weakly in  $H$  and strongly in  $L_{loc}^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for  $q \in [2, 2N/(N - 2)[$  if  $N \geq 3$ ,  $q \geq 2$  if  $N = 1, 2$ . Thus recalling that  $I'(v_n) \rightarrow 0$  we indeed have  $I'(v) = 0$ . At this point if  $v \neq 0$  the lemma is proved. Thus we assume that  $v = 0$ . We claim that in this case  $\{v_n\}$  is also a Palais–Smale sequence for the functional  $\tilde{I}: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\tilde{I}(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\infty)f^2(v) \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(f(v)) \, dx$$

at the level  $c > 0$ . Indeed, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\tilde{I}(v_n) - I(v_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [V(\infty) - V(x)]f^2(v_n) \, dx \rightarrow 0$$

since  $V(x) \rightarrow V(\infty)$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $|f(s)| \leq |s|, \forall s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $v_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Also, for the same reasons, we have

$$\sup_{\|u\| \leq 1} |(\tilde{I}'(v_n) - I'(v_n), u)| = \sup_{\|u\| \leq 1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{f(v_n)u}{\sqrt{1 + 2f^2(v_n)}} [V(\infty) - V(x)] \, dx \right| \rightarrow 0.$$

Next we claim that the situation: For all  $R > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{y+B_R} v_n^2 \, dx = 0$$

which we will refer to as the vanishing case cannot occurs. From (h0)–(h1) and Lemma 2.1,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $C_\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$h(f(s))f(s) \leq \varepsilon s^2 + C_\varepsilon |s|^{(p+1)/2} \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.4}$$

Thus, for any  $v \in H$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(f(v))f(v) \, dx \leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v^2 \, dx + C_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{(p+1)/2} \, dx \tag{4.5}$$

and using Lemma 2.2 we see that  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(f(v_n)) \frac{v_n}{\sqrt{1 + 2f^2(v_n)}} \, dx &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(f(v_n))f(v_n) \, dx \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n^2 \, dx + C_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{(p+1)/2} \, dx \right] \\ &\leq \varepsilon \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n^2 \, dx \end{aligned}$$

because, if  $\{v_n\}$  vanish,  $v_n \rightarrow 0$  strongly in  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for any  $q \in ]2, 2N/(N - 2)[$  (a proof of this result is given in Lemma 2.18 of [5] and is a special case of Lemma I.1 of [11]). We then deduce that,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(f(v_n)) \frac{v_n}{\sqrt{1 + 2f^2(v_n)}} \, dx = 0.$$

This implies, since  $I'(v_n)v_n \rightarrow 0$ , that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_n|^2 + V(x)f^2(v_n) \, dx \rightarrow 0$$

in contradiction with the fact that  $I(v_n) \rightarrow c > 0$ . Thus  $\{v_n\}$  does not vanish and there exists  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $R < \infty$  and  $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{y_n + B_R} v_n^2 \, dx \geq \alpha > 0.$$

Let  $\tilde{v}_n(x) = v_n(x + y_n)$ . Since  $\{v_n\}$  is a Palais–Smale sequence for  $\tilde{I}$ ,  $\{\tilde{v}_n\}$  also. Arguing as in the case of  $\{v_n\}$  we get that  $\tilde{v}_n \rightharpoonup \tilde{v}$ , up to a subsequence, with  $\tilde{I}'(\tilde{v}) = 0$ . Since  $\{\tilde{v}_n\}$  is nonvanishing we also have that  $\tilde{v} \neq 0$ .

Now observe that, because of Lemma 2.2, for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$f^2(\tilde{v}_n) - \frac{f(\tilde{v}_n)\tilde{v}_n}{\sqrt{1 + 2f^2(\tilde{v}_n)}} \geq 0,$$

also, because of condition (h2), for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{h(f(\tilde{v}_n))\tilde{v}_n}{\sqrt{1 + 2f^2(\tilde{v}_n)}} - H(f(\tilde{v}_n)) \geq 0.$$

Indeed, for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{h(f(\tilde{v}_n))\tilde{v}_n}{\sqrt{1 + 2f^2(\tilde{v}_n)}} \geq \frac{1}{2} h(f(\tilde{v}_n))f(\tilde{v}_n) \geq \frac{\mu}{4} H(f(\tilde{v}_n)) \geq H(f(\tilde{v}_n)).$$

Thus, from Fatou’s lemma, we get

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \tilde{I}(\tilde{v}_n) - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{I}'(\tilde{v}_n)\tilde{v}_n \right] \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ f^2(\tilde{v}_n) - \frac{f(\tilde{v}_n)\tilde{v}_n}{\sqrt{1 + 2f^2(\tilde{v}_n)}} \right] V(\infty) \, dx \\ &\quad + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{h(f(\tilde{v}_n))\tilde{v}_n}{\sqrt{1 + 2f^2(\tilde{v}_n)}} - H(f(\tilde{v}_n)) \right] \, dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ f^2(\tilde{v}) - \frac{f(\tilde{v})\tilde{v}}{\sqrt{1 + 2f^2(\tilde{v})}} \right] V(\infty) \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{h(f(\tilde{v}))\tilde{v}}{\sqrt{1 + 2f^2(\tilde{v})}} - H(f(\tilde{v})) \right] \, dx \\ &= \tilde{I}(\tilde{v}) - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{I}'(\tilde{v})\tilde{v} = \tilde{I}(\tilde{v}). \end{aligned}$$

Namely  $\tilde{v} \neq 0$  is a critical point of  $\tilde{I}$  satisfying  $\tilde{I}(\tilde{v}) \leq c$ . We deduce that the least energy level  $\tilde{m}$  for  $\tilde{I}$  satisfies  $\tilde{m} \leq c$ . We denote by  $\tilde{\omega}$  a least energy solution as provided by Theorem 3.1. Now applying Theorem 3.4 to the functional  $\tilde{I}$  we can find a path  $\gamma(t) \in C([0, 1], H)$  such that  $\gamma(t)(x) > 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \forall t \in (0, 1], \gamma(0) = 0, \tilde{I}(\gamma(1)) < 0, \tilde{\omega} \in \gamma([0, 1])$  and

$$\max_{t \in [0,1]} \tilde{I}(\gamma(t)) = \tilde{I}(\tilde{\omega}).$$

Without restriction we can assume that  $V(x) \leq V(\infty)$  but  $V \not\equiv V(\infty)$  in (V1) (otherwise there is nothing to prove). Thus

$$I(\gamma(t)) < \tilde{I}(\gamma(t)) \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, 1]$$

and it follows that

$$c \leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} I(\gamma(t)) < \max_{t \in [0,1]} \tilde{I}(\gamma(t)) \leq c.$$

This is a contradiction and the lemma is proved.  $\square$

At this point to end the proof of Theorem 1.3 we just need to show that there exists a Palais–Smale sequence for  $I$  as in Lemma 4.3. From Lemma 4.2 we know (see [6]) that  $I$  possesses a Cerami sequence at the level  $c > 0$ . Namely a sequence  $\{v_n\} \subset H$  such that

$$I(v_n) \rightarrow c \text{ and } \|I'(v_n)\|_{H^{-1}}(1 + \|v_n\|) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

**Lemma 4.4.** *Assume that (V0)–(V1) and (h0)–(h2) hold. Then all Cerami sequences for  $I$  at the level  $c > 0$  are bounded in  $H$ .*

**Proof.** First we observe that if a sequence  $\{v_n\} \subset H$  satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_n|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)f^2(v_n) dx \quad \text{is bounded} \tag{4.6}$$

then it is bounded in  $H$ . To see this we just need to show that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n^2 dx$  is bounded. We write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n^2 dx = \int_{\{x: |v_n(x)| \leq 1\}} v_n^2 dx + \int_{\{x: |v_n(x)| > 1\}} v_n^2 dx.$$

By Remark 4.1, there exists a  $C > 0$  such that  $H(s) \geq Cs^4$  for all  $s \geq 1$  and thus, because of the behavior of  $f$  at infinity, for a  $C > 0, H(f(s)) \geq Cs^2$ , for all  $s \geq 1$ . It follows that

$$\int_{\{x: |v_n(x)| > 1\}} v_n^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{C} \int_{\{x: |v_n(x)| > 1\}} H(f(v_n)) dx \leq \frac{1}{C} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(f(v_n)) dx.$$

Also, for a  $C > 0$ , since  $f(s) \geq Cs$  for all  $s \in [0, 1]$ , (see Lemma 2.1) we also have

$$\int_{\{x: |v_n(x)| \leq 1\}} v_n^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{C} \int_{\{x: |v_n(x)| \leq 1\}} f^2(v_n) dx \leq \frac{1}{C} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f^2(v_n) dx.$$

At this point the boundedness of  $\{v_n\} \subset H$  is clear.

Now let  $\{v_n\} \subset H$  be an arbitrary Cerami sequence for  $I$  at the level  $c > 0$ . We have for any  $\phi \in H$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_n|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) f^2(v_n) \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(f(v_n)) \, dx = c + o(1), \tag{4.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I'(v_n)\phi &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla v_n \nabla \phi \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) \frac{f(v_n)\phi}{\sqrt{1 + 2f^2(v_n)}} \, dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{h(f(v_n))\phi}{\sqrt{1 + 2f^2(v_n)}} \, dx. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Choosing  $\phi = \phi_n = \sqrt{1 + 2f^2(v_n)} f(v_n)$  we have, from Lemma 2.1,  $\|\phi_n\|_2 \leq C \|v_n\|_2$  and

$$|\nabla \phi_n| = \left( 1 + \frac{2f^2(v_n)}{1 + 2f^2(v_n)} \right) |\nabla v_n| \leq 2 |\nabla v_n|.$$

Thus  $\|\phi_n\| \leq C \|v_n\|$  and, in particular, recording that  $\{v_n\} \subset H$  is a Cerami sequence

$$\begin{aligned} I'(v_n)\phi_n &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( 1 + \frac{2f^2(v_n)}{1 + 2f^2(v_n)} \right) |\nabla v_n|^2 \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) f^2(v_n) \, dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(f(v_n)) f(v_n) \, dx = o(1). \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Now using (h2) it follows computing (4.7) –  $1/\mu$ (4.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\mu} \left( 1 + \frac{2f^2(v_n)}{1 + 2f^2(v_n)} \right) \right) |\nabla v_n|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) f^2(v_n) \, dx \\ &\leq c + o(1). \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Since  $1 + 2f^2(v_n)/(1 + 2f^2(v_n)) \leq 2$ , if  $\mu > 4$  we immediately deduce that (4.6) hold and thus  $\{v_n\} \subset H$  is bounded. If  $\mu = 4$  we obtain from (4.10)

$$\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\nabla v_n|^2}{1 + 2f^2(v_n)} \, dx + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) f^2(v_n) \, dx \leq c + o(1). \tag{4.11}$$

Denoting  $u_n = f(v_n)$ , we have  $|\nabla v_n|^2 = (1 + 2f^2(v_n)) |\nabla u_n|^2$  and (4.7), (4.11) give

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 + 2u_n^2) |\nabla u_n|^2 \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) u_n^2 \, dx - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(u_n) \, dx = 2c + o(1). \tag{4.12}$$

$$\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) u_n^2 \, dx \leq c + o(1). \tag{4.13}$$

From (4.13) we see that  $\{u_n\} \subset H$  is bounded. Thus since, by (h0)–(h1),

$$H(s) \leq |s|^2 + C|s|^{p+1} \tag{4.14}$$

we see, from the Sobolev embedding, that if  $p \leq (N + 2)/(N - 2)$  then  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(u_n) \, dx$  is bounded and from (4.12) we get (4.6). When  $N = 3$  the condition corresponds to

$p \leq 5$ . In the case where we assume  $p < (3N + 4)/N$  let us show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(u_n) \, dx = o\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^2 |\nabla u_n|^2 \, dx\right) \text{ if } \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^2 |\nabla u_n|^2 \, dx \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.15)$$

Using Holder inequality, we have for  $\theta = (N - 2)(p - 1)/(2N + 4)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{p+1} \, dx \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^2 \, dx\right)^{1-\theta} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{4N/(N-2)} \, dx\right)^\theta.$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n^2|^{2N/(N-2)} \, dx\right)^\theta &\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla(u_n^2)|^2 \, dx\right)^{\theta N/(N-2)} \\ &= C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^2 |\nabla u_n|^2 \, dx\right)^{\theta N/(N-2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\theta N/(N - 2) < 1$  since  $p < (3N + 4)/N$ . Recalling (4.14) and the boundedness of  $\{u_n\}$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  this proves (4.15). Thus from (4.12) we see that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(u_n) \, dx$  is bounded and thus (4.6) hold. At this point the lemma is proved.  $\square$

## References

- [1] H. Berestycki, T. Gallouët, O. Kavian, Equations de Champs scalaires euclidiens non linéaires dans le plan, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math.* 297 (5) (1983) 307–310.
- [2] H. Berestycki, P.L. Lions, Nonlinear scalar field equations I, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 82 (1983) 313–346.
- [3] L. Brizkik, A. Eremko, B. Piette, W.J. Zakrzewski, Electron self-trapping in a discrete two-dimensional lattice, *Physica D* 159 (2001) 71–90.
- [4] M. Colin, Stability of standing waves for a quasilinear Schrödinger equation in space dimension 2, *Adv. Differential Equations* 8 (1) (2003) 1–28.
- [5] V. Coti Zelati, P.H. Rabinowitz, Homoclinic type solutions for a semilinear elliptic PDE on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* XIV (1992) 1217–1269.
- [6] I. Ekeland, *Convexity methods in Hamiltonian Mechanics*, Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [7] J.-Q. Liu, Z.-Q. Wang, Soliton solutions for quasilinear Schrödinger equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 131 (2003) 441–448.
- [8] J.-Q. Liu, Y.-Q. Wang, Z.-Q. Wang, Soliton solutions for quasilinear Schrödinger equations, II, *J. Differential Equation* 187 (2003) 473–493.
- [9] L. Jeanjean, K. Tanaka, A remark on least energy solutions in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 131 (2003) 2399–2408.
- [10] L. Jeanjean, K. Tanaka, A note on a mountain pass characterization of least energy solutions, *Adv. Nonlinear Studies*, to appear.
- [11] P.L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case. Part I and II, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire* 1 (1984) 109–145 and 223–283.
- [12] M. Poppenberg, K. Schmitt, Z.-Q. Wang, On the existence of soliton solutions to quasilinear Schrödinger equations, *Calc. Var.* 14 (2002) 329–344.