

An upper bound for the theta function

Pascal Autissier

December 16, 2016

Abstract: This is an appendix to a paper by Parent [5]. I give a new upper bound for the norm of the classical theta function on any complex abelian variety.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14K25, 14K20.

1 Result

Let g be a positive integer. Write \mathbb{H}_g for the Siegel space of symmetric matrices $Z \in M_g(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\text{Im}Z$ is positive definite. To every $Z \in \mathbb{H}_g$ is associated the theta function defined by

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{C}^g \quad \theta_Z(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \exp(i\pi {}^t m Z m + 2i\pi {}^t m z) \quad ,$$

and its norm defined by

$$\forall z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}^g \quad \|\theta_Z(z)\| = \sqrt[4]{\det Y} \exp(-\pi {}^t y Y^{-1} y) |\theta_Z(z)| \quad ,$$

where $Y = \text{Im}Z$.

My contribution here is the following:

Proposition 1.1: *Let $Z \in \mathbb{H}_g$ and assume that Z is Siegel-reduced. Put $c_g = \frac{g+2}{2}$ if $g \leq 3$ and $c_g = \frac{g+2}{2} \left(\frac{g+2}{\pi\sqrt{3}}\right)^{g/2}$ if $g \geq 4$. The upper bound $\|\theta_Z(z)\| \leq c_g (\det \text{Im}Z)^{1/4}$ holds for every $z \in \mathbb{C}^g$.*

This result is used by Parent [5] to bound the height of quadratic points on modular curves. Let us remark that $c_g \leq g^{g/2}$ for every $g \geq 2$. In comparison, Edixhoven and de Jong (see [1] page 231) obtained statement 1.1 with c_g replaced by 2^{3g^3+5g} .

2 Proof

Fix a positive integer g . Denote by \mathbb{S}_g the set of symmetric matrices $Y \in M_g(\mathbb{R})$ that are positive definite. Let us recall a special case of the functional equation for the theta

function (see equation (5.6) of [4] page 195): for every $Y \in \mathbb{S}_g$ and every $z \in \mathbb{C}^g$, one has

$$\theta_{iY^{-1}}(-iY^{-1}z) = \sqrt{\det Y} \exp(\pi {}^t z Y^{-1} z) \theta_{iY}(z) \quad . \quad (1)$$

Lemma 2.1: *Let $Z \in \mathbb{H}_g$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^g$. Putting $Y = \text{Im}Z$, one has the inequality $\|\theta_Z(z)\| \leq \|\theta_{iY}(0)\| = \theta_{iY}(0) \sqrt[4]{\det Y}$.*

Proof: Put $y = \text{Im}z$. One has

$$|\theta_Z(z)| = \left| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \exp(i\pi {}^t m Z m + 2i\pi {}^t m z) \right| \leq \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \left| \exp(i\pi {}^t m Z m + 2i\pi {}^t m y) \right| = \theta_{iY}(iy) \quad ,$$

that is, $\|\theta_Z(z)\| \leq \|\theta_{iY}(iy)\|$.

The functional equation (1) gives $\|\theta_{iY^{-1}}(Y^{-1}y)\| = \|\theta_{iY}(iy)\|$, and one deduces

$$\|\theta_Z(z)\| \leq \|\theta_{iY^{-1}}(Y^{-1}y)\| \quad . \quad (2)$$

Applying again (2) with Z replaced by iY^{-1} and z by $Y^{-1}y$, one gets $\|\theta_{iY^{-1}}(Y^{-1}y)\| \leq \|\theta_{iY}(0)\|$. Whence the result. \square

Let $Y \in \mathbb{S}_g$. Define $\lambda(Y) = \min_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^g - \{0\}} {}^t m Y m$. For every $t \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$, put

$$f_Y(t) = \theta_{itY}(0) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \exp(-\pi t {}^t m Y m) \quad .$$

Lemma 2.2: *Let $Y \in \mathbb{S}_g$ and put $\lambda = \lambda(Y)$. The following properties hold.*

(α) *The function $\mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that maps t to $t^{g/2} f_Y(t)$ is increasing.*

(β) *One has the estimate $f_Y\left(\frac{g+2}{2\pi\lambda}\right) \leq \frac{g+2}{2}$.*

Proof: (α) The functional equation (1) implies $\sqrt{\det Y} t^{g/2} f_Y(t) = f_{Y^{-1}}(1/t)$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$; conclude by remarking that $f_{Y^{-1}}$ is decreasing.

(β) Part α gives $\frac{d}{dt}[t^{g/2} f_Y(t)] \geq 0$, that is, $\frac{g}{2t} f_Y(t) \geq -f_Y'(t)$ for every $t > 0$. On the other hand,

$$-\frac{1}{\pi} f_Y'(t) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^g} {}^t m Y m \exp(-\pi t {}^t m Y m) \geq \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^g - \{0\}} \lambda \exp(-\pi t {}^t m Y m) = \lambda [f_Y(t) - 1] \quad .$$

One infers $\frac{g}{2t} f_Y(t) \geq \pi \lambda [f_Y(t) - 1]$. Choosing $t = \frac{g+2}{2\pi\lambda}$, one obtains the result. \square

Proposition 2.3: *Let $Y \in \mathbb{S}_g$. Putting $\lambda = \lambda(Y)$, one has the upper bound*

$$\theta_{iY}(0) \leq \frac{g+2}{2} \max \left[\left(\frac{g+2}{2\pi\lambda} \right)^{g/2}, 1 \right] \quad .$$

Proof: Put $t = \frac{g+2}{2\pi\lambda}$. If $t \geq 1$, then lemma 2.2. α implies the inequality $f_Y(1) \leq t^{g/2} f_Y(t)$. If $t \leq 1$, then $f_Y(1) \leq f_Y(t)$ since f_Y is decreasing. In any case, one obtains $\theta_{iY}(0) = f_Y(1) \leq \max(t^{g/2}, 1) f_Y(t)$. Conclude by applying lemma 2.2. β . \square

Now, to prove proposition 1.1 from lemma 2.1 and proposition 2.3, it suffices to observe that if $Z \in \mathbb{H}_g$ is Siegel-reduced, then $\lambda(\text{Im}Z) \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$ (see lemma 15 of [2] page 195).

References

- [1] *B. Edixhoven, R. de Jong:* Bounds for Arakelov invariants of modular curves. *Annals of math. studies* **176** (2011), p. 217-256.
- [2] *J. Igusa:* Theta functions. *Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften* **194** (1972).
- [3] *A. Javanpeykar:* Polynomial bounds for Arakelov invariants of Belyi curves. With an appendix by P. Bruin. *Algebra and number theory* **8** (2014), p. 89-140.
- [4] *D. Mumford:* Tata lectures on theta I. *Progress in math.* **28** (1983).
- [5] *P. Parent:* Heights on square of modular curves. Preprint (2016); arXiv:1606.09553.
- [6] *R. Wilms:* New explicit formulas for Faltings' delta-invariant. *Inventiones math.* (2016), *to appear*.

Pascal Autissier. I.M.B., universit e de Bordeaux, 351, cours de la Lib eration, 33405 Talence cedex, France.

pascal.autissier@math.u-bordeaux.fr