

Nazarov's uncertainty principle in higher dimension

Philippe Jaming

MAPMO-Fédération Denis Poisson
Université d'Orléans
FRANCE

<http://www.univ-orleans.fr/mapmo/membres/jaming>
Philippe.Jaming@gmail.com

Budapest, September 2007

Outline of talk

- 1 The problem**
 - Definitions
 - Motivation
 - Benedicks-Amrein-Berthier-Nazarov Theorem
- 2 Benedicks's proof**
 - Benedick's proof
 - Random Periodization
 - Second proof: scaling
- 3 Proof of Nazarov**
 - Turan type Lemma
- 4 Almost time & band-limited functions**
- 5 The Umbrella Theorem**
- 6 References**

Definitions

Definition

Let S, Σ subsets of \mathbb{R}^d .

- (S, Σ) is an annihilating pair if

$$\text{supp } f \subset S \quad \& \quad \text{supp } \widehat{f} \subset \Sigma \quad \Rightarrow \quad f = 0;$$

- (S, Σ) is a strong annihilating pair if $\exists C = C(S, \Sigma)$ s.t.
 $\forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^2 dx \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus S} |f(x)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Sigma} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right).$$

Definitions

Definition

Let S, Σ subsets of \mathbb{R}^d .

- (S, Σ) is an annihilating pair if

$$\text{supp } f \subset S \quad \& \quad \text{supp } \widehat{f} \subset \Sigma \quad \Rightarrow \quad f = 0;$$

- (S, Σ) is a strong annihilating pair if $\exists C = C(S, \Sigma)$ s.t.
 $\forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^2 dx \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus S} |f(x)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Sigma} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right).$$

Definitions

Definition

Let S, Σ subsets of \mathbb{R}^d .

- (S, Σ) is an annihilating pair if

$$\text{supp } f \subset S \quad \& \quad \text{supp } \widehat{f} \subset \Sigma \quad \Rightarrow \quad f = 0;$$

- (S, Σ) is a strong annihilating pair if $\exists C = C(S, \Sigma)$ s.t.
 $\forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^2 dx \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus S} |f(x)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Sigma} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right).$$

Definitions

Definition

Let S, Σ subsets of \mathbb{R}^d .

- (S, Σ) is a annihilating pair if

$$\text{supp } f \subset S \quad \& \quad \text{supp } \widehat{f} \subset \Sigma \quad \Rightarrow \quad f = 0;$$

- (S, Σ) is a strong annihilating pair if $\exists D = D(S, \Sigma)$ s.t.
 $\forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), \text{supp } \widehat{f} \subset S$

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq D \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Sigma} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi$$

Motivation

— sampling theory : how well is a function time and band limited ?

— PDE's...

Motivation

- sampling theory : how well is a function time and band limited ?
- PDE's...

Questions

Question

Given $S, \Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^d$

- *is (S, Σ) weakly/strongly annihilating ?*
- *estimate $C(S, \Sigma)$ in terms of geometric quantities depending on S and Σ !*

Questions

Question

Given $S, \Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^d$

- *is (S, Σ) weakly/strongly annihilating?*
- *estimate $C(S, \Sigma)$ in terms of geometric quantities depending on S and Σ !*

Questions

Question

Given $S, \Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^d$

- *is (S, Σ) weakly/strongly annihilating ?*
- *estimate $C(S, \Sigma)$ in terms of geometric quantities depending on S and Σ !*

Main Theorem

Theorem

Let $S, \Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ have finite measure. Then

- (Benedicks 1974-1985) (S, Σ) is weakly annihilating.
- (Amrein-Berthier 1977) (S, Σ) is strongly annihilating.
- (Nazarov $d = 1$ 1993) $C(S, \Sigma) \leq ce^{c|S||\Sigma|}$
- (J. $d \geq 2$ 2007) $C(S, \Sigma) \leq ce^{c \min(|S||\Sigma|, |S|^{1/d}\omega(\Sigma), \omega(S)|\Sigma|^{1/d})}$
 $\omega(S) = \text{mean width of } S.$

Main Theorem

Theorem

Let $S, \Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ have finite measure. Then

- (Benedicks 1974-1985) (S, Σ) is weakly annihilating.
- (Amrein-Berthier 1977) (S, Σ) is strongly annihilating.
- (Nazarov $d = 1$ 1993) $C(S, \Sigma) \leq ce^{c|S||\Sigma|}$
- (J. $d \geq 2$ 2007) $C(S, \Sigma) \leq ce^{c \min(|S||\Sigma|, |S|^{1/d}\omega(\Sigma), \omega(S)|\Sigma|^{1/d})}$
 $\omega(S) = \text{mean width of } S.$

Main Theorem

Theorem

Let $S, \Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ have finite measure. Then

- (Benedicks 1974-1985) (S, Σ) is weakly annihilating.
- (Amrein-Berthier 1977) (S, Σ) is strongly annihilating.
- (Nazarov $d = 1$ 1993) $C(S, \Sigma) \leq ce^{c|S||\Sigma|}$
- (J. $d \geq 2$ 2007) $C(S, \Sigma) \leq ce^{c \min(|S||\Sigma|, |S|^{1/d}\omega(\Sigma), \omega(S)|\Sigma|^{1/d})}$
 $\omega(S) = \text{mean width of } S.$

Main Theorem

Theorem

Let $S, \Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ have finite measure. Then

- (Benedicks 1974-1985) (S, Σ) is weakly annihilating.
- (Amrein-Berthier 1977) (S, Σ) is strongly annihilating.
- (Nazarov $d = 1$ 1993) $C(S, \Sigma) \leq ce^{c|S||\Sigma|}$
- (J. $d \geq 2$ 2007) $C(S, \Sigma) \leq ce^{c \min(|S||\Sigma|, |S|^{1/d}\omega(\Sigma), \omega(S)|\Sigma|^{1/d})}$
 $\omega(S) = \text{mean width of } S.$

Main Theorem

Theorem

Let $S, \Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ have finite measure. Then

- (Benedicks 1974-1985) (S, Σ) is weakly annihilating.
- (Amrein-Berthier 1977) (S, Σ) is strongly annihilating.
- (Nazarov $d = 1$ 1993) $C(S, \Sigma) \leq ce^{c|S||\Sigma|}$
- (J. $d \geq 2$ 2007) $C(S, \Sigma) \leq ce^{c \min(|S||\Sigma|, |S|^{1/d}\omega(\Sigma), \omega(S)|\Sigma|^{1/d})}$
 $\omega(S) = \text{mean width of } S.$

Optimal Theorem ?

Optimal Theorem ?

- $f = e^{-\pi|x|^2} = \widehat{f}$, $S = \Sigma = B(0, R)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^2 dx \leq ce^{(2\pi+\varepsilon)R^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0,R)} e^{-2\pi|x|^2} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0,R)} e^{-2\pi|\xi|^2} d\xi \right).$$

- Optimal: $C(S, \Sigma) \leq ce^{(2\pi+\varepsilon)(|S||\Sigma|)^{1/d}}$.
- The above is almost optimal if S, Σ have nice geometry!

Optimal Theorem ?

Optimal Theorem ?

- $f = e^{-\pi|x|^2} = \widehat{f}$, $S = \Sigma = B(0, R)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^2 dx \leq ce^{(2\pi+\varepsilon)R^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0,R)} e^{-2\pi|x|^2} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0,R)} e^{-2\pi|\xi|^2} d\xi \right).$$

- Optimal: $C(S, \Sigma) \leq ce^{(2\pi+\varepsilon)(|S||\Sigma|)^{1/d}}$.
- The above is almost optimal if S, Σ have nice geometry!

Optimal Theorem ?

Optimal Theorem ?

- $f = e^{-\pi|x|^2} = \widehat{f}$, $S = \Sigma = B(0, R)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^2 dx \leq ce^{(2\pi+\varepsilon)R^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0,R)} e^{-2\pi|x|^2} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0,R)} e^{-2\pi|\xi|^2} d\xi \right).$$

- Optimal: $C(S, \Sigma) \leq ce^{(2\pi+\varepsilon)(|S||\Sigma|)^{1/d}}$.
- The above is almost optimal if S, Σ have nice geometry!

Optimal Theorem ?

Optimal Theorem ?

- $f = e^{-\pi|x|^2} = \widehat{f}$, $S = \Sigma = B(0, R)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^2 dx \leq ce^{(2\pi+\varepsilon)R^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0,R)} e^{-2\pi|x|^2} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B(0,R)} e^{-2\pi|\xi|^2} d\xi \right).$$

- Optimal: $C(S, \Sigma) \leq ce^{(2\pi+\varepsilon)(|S||\Sigma|)^{1/d}}$.
- The above is almost optimal if S, Σ have nice geometry!

$|S|, |\Sigma| < +\infty$, $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $\text{supp } f \subset S$ & $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subset \Sigma$.

1 WLOG $|S| = 1/2$

2 $\int_{[0,1]} \sum_k \chi_\Sigma(\xi + k) d\xi = |\Sigma| < +\infty \Rightarrow$

for a.a. $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, $\text{Card} \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : \xi + k \in \Sigma\}$ finite

3 $\int_{[0,1]} \sum_k \chi_S(x + k) dx = |S| = 1/2 \Rightarrow \exists F \subset [0, 1], |F| > 0$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{=0 \text{ or } \geq 1}$
 s.t. $\forall x \in F, k \in \mathbb{Z}, f(x + k) = 0$.

$|S|, |\Sigma| < +\infty$, $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $\text{supp } f \subset S$ & $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subset \Sigma$.

1 WLOG $|S| = 1/2$

2 $\int_{[0,1]} \sum_k \chi_\Sigma(\xi + k) d\xi = |\Sigma| < +\infty \Rightarrow$

for a.a. $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, $\text{Card } \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : \xi + k \in \Sigma\}$ finite

3 $\int_{[0,1]} \underbrace{\sum_k \chi_S(x + k)}_{=0 \text{ or } \geq 1} dx = |S| = 1/2 \Rightarrow \exists F \subset [0,1], |F| > 0$

s.t. $\forall x \in F, k \in \mathbb{Z}, f(x + k) = 0$.

$|S|, |\Sigma| < +\infty, f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), \text{supp } f \subset S \text{ \& } \text{supp } \hat{f} \subset \Sigma.$

1 WLOG $|S| = 1/2$

2 $\int_{[0,1]} \sum_k \chi_\Sigma(\xi + k) d\xi = |\Sigma| < +\infty \Rightarrow$

for a.a. $\xi \in \mathbb{R}, \text{Card } \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : \xi + k \in \Sigma\}$ finite

3 $\int_{[0,1]} \underbrace{\sum_k \chi_S(x + k)}_{=0 \text{ or } \geq 1} dx = |S| = 1/2 \Rightarrow \exists F \subset [0, 1], |F| > 0$

s.t. $\forall x \in F, k \in \mathbb{Z}, f(x + k) = 0.$

4 by Poisson Summation

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f(x+k) e^{2i\pi\xi(x+k)} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}(\xi+k) e^{2i\pi kx}.$$

By 2, the RHS is a trigonometric polynomial $Z(f)(x)$ in x
(for a.a. ξ)

By 3, the LHS is supported in $[0, 1] \setminus F$

5 $Z(f) = 0 \Rightarrow \widehat{f} = 0 \Rightarrow f = 0.$

4 by Poisson Summation

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f(x+k) e^{2i\pi\xi(x+k)} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}(\xi+k) e^{2i\pi kx}.$$

By 2, the RHS is a trigonometric polynomial $Z(f)(x)$ in x (for a.a. ξ)

By 3, the LHS is supported in $[0, 1] \setminus F$

5 $Z(f) = 0 \Rightarrow \widehat{f} = 0 \Rightarrow f = 0.$

Lemma (Nazarov, $d = 1$)

$\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}), \varphi \geq 0,$

$$\int_1^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \varphi(v - k) dv \approx \int_{\|x\| \geq 1} \varphi(x) dx$$

Lemma (Nazarov, $d = 1$)

$\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\varphi \geq 0$,

$$\int_{SO(d)} \int_1^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} \varphi(v \rho(k)) \, dv \, d\nu_d(\rho) \simeq \int_{\|x\| \geq 1} \varphi(x) \, dx$$

Random Periodization 2 : Proof

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{SO(d)} \int_1^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} \varphi(v \rho(k)) \, dv \, d\nu_d(\rho) \\
& \simeq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} \int_{1 \leq \|x\| \leq 2} \varphi(\|k\|x) \, dx \\
& \simeq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{\|k\|^d} \int_{\|k\| \leq \|x\| \leq 2\|k\|} \varphi(x) \, dx \\
& \simeq \int_{\|x\| \geq 1} \varphi(x) \sum_{\|k\| \leq \|x\| \leq 2\|k\|} \frac{1}{\|k\|^d} \, dx
\end{aligned}$$

Random Periodization 2 : Proof

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{SO(d)} \int_1^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} \varphi(v \rho(k)) \, dv \, d\nu_d(\rho) \\
& \approx \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} \int_{1 \leq \|x\| \leq 2} \varphi(\|k\|x) \, dx \\
& \approx \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{\|k\|^d} \int_{\|k\| \leq \|x\| \leq 2\|k\|} \varphi(x) \, dx \\
& \approx \int_{\|x\| \geq 1} \varphi(x) \sum_{\|k\| \leq \|x\| \leq 2\|k\|} \frac{1}{\|k\|^d} \, dx
\end{aligned}$$

Random Periodization 2 : Proof

$$\int_{SO(d)} \int_1^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} \varphi(v \rho(k)) \, dv \, d\nu_d(\rho)$$

$$\approx \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} \int_{1 \leq \|x\| \leq 2} \varphi(\|k\|x) \, dx$$

$$\approx \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{\|k\|^d} \int_{\|k\| \leq \|x\| \leq 2\|k\|} \varphi(x) \, dx$$

$$\approx \int_{\|x\| \geq 1} \varphi(x) \sum_{\|k\| \leq \|x\| \leq 2\|k\|} \frac{1}{\|k\|^d} \, dx$$

Random Periodization 2 : Proof

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{SO(d)} \int_1^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} \varphi(v \rho(k)) \, dv \, d\nu_d(\rho) \\
& \approx \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} \int_{1 \leq \|x\| \leq 2} \varphi(\|k\|x) \, dx \\
& \approx \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{\|k\|^d} \int_{\|k\| \leq \|x\| \leq 2\|k\|} \varphi(x) \, dx \\
& \approx \int_{\|x\| \geq 1} \varphi(x) \sum_{\|k\| \leq \|x\| \leq 2\|k\|} \frac{1}{\|k\|^d} \, dx
\end{aligned}$$

Random Periodization 2 : Proof

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{SO(d)} \int_1^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} \varphi(v \rho(k)) \, dv \, d\nu_d(\rho) \\
& \approx \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} \int_{1 \leq \|x\| \leq 2} \varphi(\|k\|x) \, dx \\
& \approx \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{\|k\|^d} \int_{\|k\| \leq \|x\| \leq 2\|k\|} \varphi(x) \, dx \\
& \approx \int_{\|x\| \geq 1} \varphi(x) \underbrace{\sum_{\|k\| \leq \|x\| \leq 2\|k\|} \frac{1}{\|k\|^d}}_{\text{bdd above \& bellow}} \, dx
\end{aligned}$$

Second proof: scaling

$|S|, |\Sigma| < +\infty, f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), \text{supp } f \subset S \text{ \& } \text{supp } \hat{f} \subset \Sigma.$

① WLOG $|S| = C_0$ small enough (see below)

② $\int_1^2 \sum_{k \neq 0} \chi_\Sigma(vk) dv \leq C|\Sigma| < +\infty \Rightarrow$

for a.a. $v \in [1, 2], \text{Card } \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : vk \in \Sigma\}$ finite

③ $\int_0^1 \int_1^2 \underbrace{\sum_k \chi_S((x+k)/v)}_{=0 \text{ or } \geq 1} dv dx \leq (C_1 + 2)|S| := 1/2$ if C_0

small enough $\Rightarrow \exists F \subset [0, 1], \exists V \subset [1, 2], |F| > 0, |V| > 0$

s.t. $\forall x \in F, v \in V, k \in \mathbb{Z}, f((x+k)/v) = 0.$

Second proof: scaling

$|S|, |\Sigma| < +\infty, f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), \text{supp } f \subset S \text{ \& } \text{supp } \hat{f} \subset \Sigma.$

① WLOG $|S| = C_0$ small enough (see bellow)

② $\int_1^2 \sum_{k \neq 0} \chi_\Sigma(vk) dv \leq C|\Sigma| < +\infty \Rightarrow$

for a.a. $v \in [1, 2], \text{Card } \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : vk \in \Sigma\}$ finite

③ $\int_0^1 \int_1^2 \underbrace{\sum_k \chi_S((x+k)/v)}_{=0 \text{ or } \geq 1} dv dx \leq (C_1 + 2)|S| := 1/2$ if C_0

small enough $\Rightarrow \exists F \subset [0, 1], \exists V \subset [1, 2], |F| > 0, |V| > 0$

s.t. $\forall x \in F, v \in V, k \in \mathbb{Z}, f((x+k)/v) = 0.$

Second proof: scaling

$|S|, |\Sigma| < +\infty, f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), \text{supp } f \subset S \text{ \& } \text{supp } \hat{f} \subset \Sigma.$

① WLOG $|S| = C_0$ small enough (see below)

② $\int_1^2 \sum_{k \neq 0} \chi_\Sigma(vk) dv \leq C|\Sigma| < +\infty \Rightarrow$

for a.a. $v \in [1, 2], \text{Card } \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : vk \in \Sigma\}$ finite

③ $\int_0^1 \int_1^2 \underbrace{\sum_k \chi_S((x+k)/v)}_{=0 \text{ or } \geq 1} dv dx \leq (C_1 + 2)|S| := 1/2$ if C_0

small enough $\Rightarrow \exists F \subset [0, 1], \exists V \subset [1, 2], |F| > 0, |V| > 0$

s.t. $\forall x \in F, v \in V, k \in \mathbb{Z}, f((x+k)/v) = 0.$

4 by Poisson Summation

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{v}} f((x+k)/v) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sqrt{v} \widehat{f}(vk) e^{2i\pi kx}.$$

By 2, the RHS is a trigonometric polynomial $P_v(x)$ in x (for a.a. v)

By 3, the LHS is supported in $[0, 1] \setminus F$ for some v .

5 $P_v(x) = 0 \Rightarrow \widehat{f} = 0$ outside $[-1, 1] \Rightarrow f = 0$.

6 The polynomial has $\leq 4C|\Sigma|$ for at least 3/4 of the v 's.

4 by Poisson Summation

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{v}} f((x+k)/v) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sqrt{v} \widehat{f}(vk) e^{2i\pi kx}.$$

By 2, the RHS is a trigonometric polynomial $P_v(x)$ in x (for a.a. v)

By 3, the LHS is supported in $[0, 1] \setminus F$ for some v .

5 $P_v(x) = 0 \Rightarrow \widehat{f} = 0$ outside $[-1, 1] \Rightarrow f = 0$.

6 The polynomial has $\leq 4C|\Sigma|$ for at least 3/4 of the v 's.

4 by Poisson Summation

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{v}} f((x+k)/v) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sqrt{v} \widehat{f}(vk) e^{2i\pi kx}.$$

By 2, the RHS is a trigonometric polynomial $P_v(x)$ in x (for a.a. v)

By 3, the LHS is supported in $[0, 1] \setminus F$ for some v .

5 $P_v(x) = 0 \Rightarrow \widehat{f} = 0$ outside $[-1, 1] \Rightarrow f = 0$.

6 The polynomial has $\leq 4C|\Sigma|$ for at least 3/4 of the v 's.

Lemma (Nazarov, $d = 1$, Fontes-Merz $d \geq 2$)

- $p(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d) = \sum_{k_1=0}^{m_1} \cdots \sum_{k_d=0}^{m_d} c_{k_1, \dots, k_d} e^{2i\pi(r_{1,k_1}\theta_1 + \cdots + r_{d,k_d}\theta_d)}$ a trigonometric polynomial in d variables.

- $E \subset \mathbb{T}^d$,

- Then

$$\sup_{(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d) \in \mathbb{T}^d} |p(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d)| \leq \left(\frac{14d}{|E|} \right)^{m_1 + \cdots + m_d} \sup_{(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d) \in E} |p(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d)|.$$

— $\text{ord } p := m_1 + \cdots + m_d$ is called *the order of p* .

Lemma (Nazarov, $d = 1$, Fontes-Merz $d \geq 2$)

- $p(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d) = \sum_{k_1=0}^{m_1} \cdots \sum_{k_d=0}^{m_d} c_{k_1, \dots, k_d} e^{2i\pi(r_{1,k_1}\theta_1 + \cdots + r_{d,k_d}\theta_d)}$ a trigonometric polynomial in d variables.

- $E \subset \mathbb{T}^d$,

- Then

$$\sup_{(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d) \in \mathbb{T}^d} |p(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d)| \leq \left(\frac{14d}{|E|} \right)^{m_1 + \cdots + m_d} \sup_{(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d) \in E} |p(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d)|.$$

— $\text{ord } p := m_1 + \cdots + m_d$ is called *the order of p* .

Lemma (Nazarov, $d = 1$, Fontes-Merz $d \geq 2$)

- $p(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d) = \sum_{k_1=0}^{m_1} \cdots \sum_{k_d=0}^{m_d} c_{k_1, \dots, k_d} e^{2i\pi(r_{1,k_1}\theta_1 + \cdots + r_{d,k_d}\theta_d)}$ a trigonometric polynomial in d variables.

- $E \subset \mathbb{T}^d$,

- Then

$$\sup_{(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d) \in \mathbb{T}^d} |p(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d)| \leq \left(\frac{14d}{|E|} \right)^{m_1 + \cdots + m_d} \sup_{(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d) \in E} |p(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d)|.$$

— $\text{ord } p := m_1 + \cdots + m_d$ is called *the order of p* .

Lemma (Nazarov, $d = 1$, Fontes-Merz $d \geq 2$)

- $$p(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d) = \sum_{k_1=0}^{m_1} \cdots \sum_{k_d=0}^{m_d} c_{k_1, \dots, k_d} e^{2i\pi(r_{1,k_1}\theta_1 + \cdots + r_{d,k_d}\theta_d)} \quad a$$

trigonometric polynomial in d variables.

- $$E \subset \mathbb{T}^d,$$

- Then

$$\sup_{(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d) \in \mathbb{T}^d} |p(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d)| \leq \left(\frac{14d}{|E|} \right)^{m_1 + \cdots + m_d} \sup_{(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d) \in E} |p(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d)|.$$

— $\text{ord } p := m_1 + \cdots + m_d$ is called *the order of p* .

Lemma (Nazarov, $d = 1$, Fontes-Merz $d \geq 2$)

- $$p(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d) = \sum_{k_1=0}^{m_1} \cdots \sum_{k_d=0}^{m_d} c_{k_1, \dots, k_d} e^{2i\pi(r_{1,k_1}\theta_1 + \cdots + r_{d,k_d}\theta_d)} \quad a$$

trigonometric polynomial in d variables.

- $$E \subset \mathbb{T}^d,$$

- Then

$$\sup_{(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d) \in \mathbb{T}^d} |p(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d)| \leq \left(\frac{14d}{|E|} \right)^{m_1 + \cdots + m_d} \sup_{(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d) \in E} |p(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d)|.$$

— $\text{ord } p := m_1 + \cdots + m_d$ is called *the order of p* .

Lemma (Nazarov, $d = 1$, Fontes-Merz $d \geq 2$)

- $$p(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d) = \sum_{k_1=0}^{m_1} \cdots \sum_{k_d=0}^{m_d} c_{k_1, \dots, k_d} e^{2i\pi(r_{1,k_1}\theta_1 + \cdots + r_{d,k_d}\theta_d)} \quad a$$

trigonometric polynomial in d variables.

- $$E \subset \mathbb{T}^d,$$

- Then

$$\sup_{(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d) \in \mathbb{T}^d} |p(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d)| \leq \left(\frac{14d}{|E|} \right)^{m_1 + \cdots + m_d} \sup_{(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d) \in E} |p(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d)|.$$

— $\text{ord } p := m_1 + \cdots + m_d$ is called *the order of p* .

Lemma

- Σ be a relatively compact open set with $0 \in \Sigma$
- $\Lambda = \Lambda(\rho, v) := \{v^t \rho(j) : j \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ a random lattice
- $\mathcal{M}_{\rho, v} = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d : v^t \rho(k) \in \Sigma\} = \Lambda \cap \Sigma$
- then

$$\mathbb{E}_{\rho, v}(\text{ord } \mathcal{M}_{\rho, v} - d) \leq C\omega(\Sigma).$$

remark

If order \rightarrow size of support, $\mathbb{E}_{\rho, v}(\text{Card } \mathcal{M}_{\rho, v} - d) \leq C|\Sigma|.$

Lemma

- Σ be a relatively compact open set with $0 \in \Sigma$
- $\Lambda = \Lambda(\rho, v) := \{v^t \rho(j) : j \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ a random lattice
- $\mathcal{M}_{\rho, v} = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d : v^t \rho(k) \in \Sigma\} = \Lambda \cap \Sigma$
- then

$$\mathbb{E}_{\rho, v}(\text{ord } \mathcal{M}_{\rho, v} - d) \leq C\omega(\Sigma).$$

remark

If order \rightarrow size of support, $\mathbb{E}_{\rho, v}(\text{Card } \mathcal{M}_{\rho, v} - d) \leq C|\Sigma|.$

Lemma

- Σ be a relatively compact open set with $0 \in \Sigma$
- $\Lambda = \Lambda(\rho, \nu) := \{\nu^t \rho(j) : j \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ a random lattice
- $\mathcal{M}_{\rho, \nu} = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \nu^t \rho(k) \in \Sigma\} = \Lambda \cap \Sigma$
- then

$$\mathbb{E}_{\rho, \nu}(\text{ord } \mathcal{M}_{\rho, \nu} - d) \leq C\omega(\Sigma).$$

remark

If order \rightarrow size of support, $\mathbb{E}_{\rho, \nu}(\text{Card } \mathcal{M}_{\rho, \nu} - d) \leq C|\Sigma|.$

Lemma

- Σ be a relatively compact open set with $0 \in \Sigma$
- $\Lambda = \Lambda(\rho, \nu) := \{\nu^t \rho(j) : j \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ a random lattice
- $\mathcal{M}_{\rho, \nu} = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \nu^t \rho(k) \in \Sigma\} = \Lambda \cap \Sigma$
- then

$$\mathbb{E}_{\rho, \nu}(\text{ord } \mathcal{M}_{\rho, \nu} - d) \leq C\omega(\Sigma).$$

remark

If order \rightarrow size of support, $\mathbb{E}_{\rho, \nu}(\text{Card } \mathcal{M}_{\rho, \nu} - d) \leq C|\Sigma|.$

Lemma

- Σ be a relatively compact open set with $0 \in \Sigma$
- $\Lambda = \Lambda(\rho, \nu) := \{\nu^t \rho(j) : j \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ a random lattice
- $\mathcal{M}_{\rho, \nu} = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \nu^t \rho(k) \in \Sigma\} = \Lambda \cap \Sigma$
- then

$$\mathbb{E}_{\rho, \nu}(\text{ord } \mathcal{M}_{\rho, \nu} - d) \leq C\omega(\Sigma).$$

remark

If order \rightarrow size of support, $\mathbb{E}_{\rho, \nu}(\text{Card } \mathcal{M}_{\rho, \nu} - d) \leq C|\Sigma|$.

Lemma

- Σ be a relatively compact open set with $0 \in \Sigma$
- $\Lambda = \Lambda(\rho, \nu) := \{\nu^t \rho(j) : j \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ a random lattice
- $\mathcal{M}_{\rho, \nu} = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \nu^t \rho(k) \in \Sigma\} = \Lambda \cap \Sigma$
- then

$$\mathbb{E}_{\rho, \nu}(\text{ord } \mathcal{M}_{\rho, \nu} - d) \leq C\omega(\Sigma).$$

remark

If order \rightarrow size of support, $\mathbb{E}_{\rho, \nu}(\text{Card } \mathcal{M}_{\rho, \nu} - d) \leq C|\Sigma|.$

Scale to have $|S| = 2^{-d-1}$ and take $f \in L^2$ with $\text{supp } f \subset S$

$$\text{Set } \Gamma_{\rho,v}(t) = \frac{1}{v^{d/2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f\left(\frac{\rho(k+t)}{v}\right)$$

Set $E_{\rho,v} = \{t \in [0, 1] : \Gamma_{\rho,v}(t) = 0\}$

$$\Gamma_{\rho,v}(t) = v^{d/2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \widehat{f}(v^t \rho(m)) e^{2i\pi m t} \quad (\text{Poisson summation})$$

$$= \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}_{\rho,v}} + \sum_{m \notin \mathcal{M}_{\rho,v}} := P_{\rho,v} + R_{\rho,v}$$

with $\mathcal{M}_{\rho,v} = \{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d : v^t \rho(m) \in \Sigma\}$

Scale to have $|S| = 2^{-d-1}$ and take $f \in L^2$ with $\text{supp } f \subset S$

$$\text{Set } \Gamma_{\rho,v}(t) = \frac{1}{v^{d/2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f\left(\frac{\rho(k+t)}{v}\right)$$

Set $E_{\rho,v} = \{t \in [0, 1] : \Gamma_{\rho,v}(t) = 0\}$

$$\Gamma_{\rho,v}(t) = v^{d/2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \widehat{f}(v^t \rho(m)) e^{2i\pi m t} \quad (\text{Poisson summation})$$

$$= \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}_{\rho,v}} + \sum_{m \notin \mathcal{M}_{\rho,v}} := P_{\rho,v} + R_{\rho,v}$$

with $\mathcal{M}_{\rho,v} = \{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d : v^t \rho(m) \in \Sigma\}$

Scale to have $|S| = 2^{-d-1}$ and take $f \in L^2$ with $\text{supp } f \subset S$

$$\text{Set } \Gamma_{\rho,v}(t) = \frac{1}{v^{d/2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f\left(\frac{\rho(k+t)}{v}\right)$$

Set $E_{\rho,v} = \{t \in [0, 1] : \Gamma_{\rho,v}(t) = 0\}$

$$\Gamma_{\rho,v}(t) = v^{d/2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \widehat{f}(v^t \rho(m)) e^{2i\pi m t} \text{ (Poisson summation)}$$

$$= \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}_{\rho,v}} + \sum_{m \notin \mathcal{M}_{\rho,v}} := P_{\rho,v} + R_{\rho,v}$$

with $\mathcal{M}_{\rho,v} = \{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d : v^t \rho(m) \in \Sigma\}$

Scale to have $|S| = 2^{-d-1}$ and take $f \in L^2$ with $\text{supp } f \subset S$

$$\text{Set } \Gamma_{\rho, \nu}(t) = \frac{1}{\nu^{d/2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f\left(\frac{\rho(k+t)}{\nu}\right)$$

Set $E_{\rho, \nu} = \{t \in [0, 1] : \Gamma_{\rho, \nu}(t) = 0\}$

$$\Gamma_{\rho, \nu}(t) = \nu^{d/2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \widehat{f}(\nu^t \rho(m)) e^{2i\pi m t} \quad (\text{Poisson summation})$$

$$= \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}_{\rho, \nu}} + \sum_{m \notin \mathcal{M}_{\rho, \nu}} := P_{\rho, \nu} + R_{\rho, \nu}$$

with $\mathcal{M}_{\rho, \nu} = \{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \nu^t \rho(m) \in \Sigma\}$

From the Lattice averaging lemma, one can choose ρ, ν s.t.

$$— \|R_{\rho, \nu}\|_2^2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Sigma} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \text{ (w.h.p)}$$

$$— \text{ord } P_{\rho, \nu} \leq C(\omega(\Sigma) + d) \text{ (w.h.p)}$$

$$— |E_{\rho, \nu}| \geq 1/2 \text{ (certain)}$$

$$— \hat{f}(0) \leq |P_{\rho, \nu}(0)| \text{ (certain).}$$

ρ, ν s.t. all 4 properties hold.

From the Lattice averaging lemma, one can choose ρ, ν s.t.

$$— \|R_{\rho, \nu}\|_2^2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Sigma} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \text{ (w.h.p)}$$

$$— \text{ord } P_{\rho, \nu} \leq C(\omega(\Sigma) + d) \text{ (w.h.p)}$$

$$— |E_{\rho, \nu}| \geq 1/2 \text{ (certain)}$$

$$— \widehat{f}(0) \leq |P_{\rho, \nu}(0)| \text{ (certain).}$$

ρ, ν s.t. all 4 properties hold.

From the Lattice averaging lemma, one can choose ρ, ν s.t.

$$— \|R_{\rho, \nu}\|_2^2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Sigma} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \text{ (w.h.p)}$$

$$— \text{ord } P_{\rho, \nu} \leq C(\omega(\Sigma) + d) \text{ (w.h.p)}$$

$$— |E_{\rho, \nu}| \geq 1/2 \text{ (certain)}$$

$$— \widehat{f}(0) \leq |P_{\rho, \nu}(0)| \text{ (certain).}$$

ρ, ν s.t. all 4 properties hold.

On $E_{\rho,v}$, we have $\Gamma_{\rho,v} = 0$, thus $P_{\rho,v} = -R_{\rho,v}$ so

$$\int_{E_{\rho,v}} |P_{\rho,v}(t)|^2 dt = \int_{E_{\rho,v}} |R_{\rho,v}(t)|^2 dt \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Sigma} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi$$

So $E := \{t \in E_{\rho,v} : |P_{\rho,v}(t)|^2 \leq 4C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Sigma} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi\}$ has $|E| \geq 1/4$.

On $E_{\rho,v}$, we have $\Gamma_{\rho,v} = 0$, thus $P_{\rho,v} = -R_{\rho,v}$ so

$$\int_{E_{\rho,v}} |P_{\rho,v}(t)|^2 dt = \int_{E_{\rho,v}} |R_{\rho,v}(t)|^2 dt \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Sigma} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi$$

So $E := \{t \in E_{\rho,v} : |P_{\rho,v}(t)|^2 \leq 4C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Sigma} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi\}$ has $|E| \geq 1/4$.

$$\begin{aligned}
|\widehat{f}(0)|^2 &\leq |\widehat{P_{\rho,v}}(0)|^2 \leq \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\widehat{P_{\rho,v}}(k)| \right)^2 \leq \left(\sup_{x \in \mathbb{T}^d} |P_{\rho,v}(x)| \right)^2 \\
&\leq \left[\left(\frac{14d}{|E|} \right)^{\text{ord} P_{\rho,v}-1} \sup_{x \in E} |P_{\rho,v}(x)| \right]^2 \\
&\leq \left[\left(\frac{14d}{1/4} \right)^{\text{ord} P_{\rho,v}-1} \left(4C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Sigma} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \right]^2 \\
&\leq C e^{C\omega(\Sigma)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Sigma} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

Apply to $f \rightarrow f_y(x) = f(x)e^{-2i\pi xy}$, $\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma_y = \Sigma - y$ and integrate over $y \in \Sigma$ QED

Almost time & band-limited functions: Landau-Slepian-Pollak

$$\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon, T, \Omega} := \left\{ f \in L^2 : \int_{|t| > T} |f(t)|^2 dt < \varepsilon \|f\|^2 \right. \\ \left. \& \int_{|\xi| > \Omega} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi < \varepsilon \|f\|^2 \right\}$$

Theorem (Landau-Slepian-Pollak)

\exists an orthonormal system $\{\gamma_k\}_{k=0,1,\dots}$ s.t., $\forall f \in \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon, T, \Omega}$,

$$\|f - P_{4T\Omega} f\| \leq 7\|f\|.$$

$f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ $\text{supp } \widehat{f} \subset [-\Omega, \Omega]$, $h < \pi/\Omega$

$$f(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f(kh) \text{sinc} \frac{\pi}{h}(t - kh).$$

Almost time & band-limited functions: Landau-Slepian-Pollak

$$\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon, T, \Omega} := \left\{ f \in L^2 : \int_{|t| > T} |f(t)|^2 dt < \varepsilon \|f\|^2 \right. \\ \left. \& \int_{|\xi| > \Omega} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi < \varepsilon \|f\|^2 \right\}$$

Theorem (Landau-Slepian-Pollak)

\exists an orthonormal system $\{\gamma_k\}_{k=0,1,\dots}$ s.t., $\forall f \in \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon, T, \Omega}$,

$$\|f - P_{4T\Omega} f\| \leq 7\|f\|.$$

$f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ $\text{supp } \widehat{f} \subset [-\Omega, \Omega]$, $h < \pi/\Omega$

$$f(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f(kh) \text{sinc} \frac{\pi}{h}(t - kh).$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon, T, \Omega} := \left\{ f \in L^2 : \int_{|t| > T} |f(t)|^2 dt < \varepsilon \|f\|^2 \right. \\ \left. \& \int_{|\xi| > \Omega} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi < \varepsilon \|f\|^2 \right\}$$

Theorem (Landau-Slepian-Pollak)

\exists an orthonormal system $\{\gamma_k\}_{k=0,1,\dots}$ s.t., $\forall f \in \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon, T, \Omega}$,

$$\|f - P_{4T\Omega} f\| \leq 7\|f\|.$$

$f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ $\text{supp } \widehat{f} \subset [-\Omega, \Omega]$, $h < \pi/\Omega$

$$f(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f(kh) \text{sinc} \frac{\pi}{h}(t - kh).$$

The Umbrella Theorem

The Umbrella Theorem (J.-Powell)

$\varphi, \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. $\exists N = N(\varphi, \psi)$ s.t.

- $(e_k)_{k \in I} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$ orthonormal
- $\forall k \in I, |e_k| \leq \varphi$ and $|\hat{e}_k| \leq \psi$,

then $\#I \leq N$.

The Umbrella Theorem

The Umbrella Theorem (J.-Powell)

$\varphi, \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. $\exists N = N(\varphi, \psi)$ s.t.

- $(e_k)_{k \in I} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$ orthonormal
- $\forall k \in I, |e_k| \leq \varphi$ and $|\hat{e}_k| \leq \psi$,

then $\#I \leq N$.

The Umbrella Theorem

The Umbrella Theorem (J.-Powell)

$\varphi, \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. $\exists N = N(\varphi, \psi)$ s.t.

- $(\mathbf{e}_k)_{k \in I} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$ orthonormal
- $\forall k \in I, |\mathbf{e}_k| \leq \varphi$ and $|\widehat{\mathbf{e}}_k| \leq \psi$,

then $\#I \leq N$.

The Umbrella Theorem

The Umbrella Theorem (J.-Powell)

$\varphi, \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. $\exists N = N(\varphi, \psi)$ s.t.

- $(e_k)_{k \in I} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$ orthonormal
- $\forall k \in I, |e_k| \leq \varphi$ and $|\hat{e}_k| \leq \psi$,

then $\#I \leq N$.

The Umbrella Theorem

The Umbrella Theorem (J.-Powell)

$\varphi, \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. $\exists N = N(\varphi, \psi)$ s.t.

- $(e_k)_{k \in I} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$ orthonormal
- $\forall k \in I, |e_k| \leq \varphi$ and $|\hat{e}_k| \leq \psi$,

then $\#I \leq N$.

Proof

- $\varepsilon > 0$ et $T, \Omega > 0$ s.t.

$$\int_{|x|>T} |\varphi(x)|^2 dx < \varepsilon \quad , \quad \int_{|x|>\Omega} |\psi(x)|^2 dx < \varepsilon$$

- Landau-Pollak-Slepian, $\exists \{\gamma_k\}$ o.n.b. $(e_k) \simeq P_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{[4T\Omega]}} e_k$
- coordinates of the e_k 's in $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{[4T\Omega]} \rightarrow$ "spherical code" in $\mathbb{C}^{4T\Omega} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{8T\Omega}$.
- A spherical code is finite !

Proof

- $\varepsilon > 0$ et $T, \Omega > 0$ s.t.

$$\int_{|x|>T} |\varphi(x)|^2 dx < \varepsilon \quad , \quad \int_{|x|>\Omega} |\psi(x)|^2 dx < \varepsilon$$

- Landau-Pollak-Slepian, $\exists \{\gamma_k\}$ o.n.b. $(e_k) \simeq P_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{[4T\Omega]}} e_k$
- coordinates of the e_k 's in $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{[4T\Omega]} \rightarrow$ "spherical code" in $\mathbb{C}^{4T\Omega} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{8T\Omega}$.
- A spherical code is finite !

Proof

- $\varepsilon > 0$ et $T, \Omega > 0$ s.t.

$$\int_{|x|>T} |\varphi(x)|^2 dx < \varepsilon \quad , \quad \int_{|x|>\Omega} |\psi(x)|^2 dx < \varepsilon$$

- Landau-Pollak-Slepian, $\exists \{\gamma_k\}$ o.n.b. $(e_k) \simeq P_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{[4T\Omega]}} e_k$
- coordinates of the e_k 's in $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{[4T\Omega]} \rightarrow$ "spherical code" in $\mathbb{C}^{4T\Omega} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{8T\Omega}$.
- A spherical code is finite !

Proof

- $\varepsilon > 0$ et $T, \Omega > 0$ s.t.

$$\int_{|x|>T} |\varphi(x)|^2 dx < \varepsilon \quad , \quad \int_{|x|>\Omega} |\psi(x)|^2 dx < \varepsilon$$

- Landau-Pollak-Slepian, $\exists \{\gamma_k\}$ o.n.b. $(e_k) \simeq P_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{[4T\Omega]}} e_k$
- coordinates of the e_k 's in $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{[4T\Omega]} \rightarrow$ "spherical code" in $\mathbb{C}^{4T\Omega} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{8T\Omega}$.
- A spherical code is finite !

Estimates on spherical codes

$(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_N) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ $[-\alpha, \alpha]$ -spherical code if $\langle \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j \rangle \in [-\alpha, \alpha]$.

- (linear independence) $\alpha < \frac{1}{d} \Rightarrow N \leq d$;
- (volume counting) $N \leq \left(\frac{2-\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)^d$;
- (Delsarte-Goethals-Siedel) $\alpha < \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}$ $N \leq \frac{1-\alpha^2}{1-\alpha^2/d} d$ and equality only possible for $\{-\alpha, \alpha\}$ -codes.
- (Siedel) $\{-\alpha, \alpha\}$ -codes have cardinality $\leq \frac{d(d-1)}{2}$ (best $\geq \frac{2}{9}d^2$)
- DSG bound met for some α 's.
- (J - Guedon 20??) $\alpha = d^{(-1+\gamma)/2}/6$, $\gamma > 0$ then $N_{max} \geq e^{d^\gamma/C}$.

Estimates on spherical codes

$(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_N) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ $[-\alpha, \alpha]$ -spherical code if $\langle \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j \rangle \in [-\alpha, \alpha]$.

- (linear independence) $\alpha < \frac{1}{d} \Rightarrow N \leq d$;
- (volume counting) $N \leq \left(\frac{2-\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)^d$;
- (Delsarte-Goethals-Siedel) $\alpha < \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}$ $N \leq \frac{1-\alpha^2}{1-\alpha^2/d} d$ and equality only possible for $\{-\alpha, \alpha\}$ -codes.
- (Siedel) $\{-\alpha, \alpha\}$ -codes have cardinality $\leq \frac{d(d-1)}{2}$ (best $\geq \frac{2}{9}d^2$)
- DSG bound met for some α 's.
- (J - Guedon 20??) $\alpha = d^{(-1+\gamma)/2}/6$, $\gamma > 0$ then $N_{max} \geq e^{d^\gamma/C}$.

Estimates on spherical codes

$(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_N) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ $[-\alpha, \alpha]$ -spherical code if $\langle \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j \rangle \in [-\alpha, \alpha]$.

- (linear independence) $\alpha < \frac{1}{d} \Rightarrow N \leq d$;
- (volume counting) $N \leq \left(\frac{2-\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)^d$;
- (Delsarte-Goethals-Siedel) $\alpha < \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}$ $N \leq \frac{1-\alpha^2}{1-\alpha^2 d} d$ and equality only possible for $\{-\alpha, \alpha\}$ -codes.
- (Siedel) $\{-\alpha, \alpha\}$ -codes have cardinality $\leq \frac{d(d-1)}{2}$ (best $\geq \frac{2}{9}d^2$)
- DSG bound met for some α 's.
- (J - Guedon 20??) $\alpha = d^{(-1+\gamma)/2}/6$, $\gamma > 0$ then $N_{max} \geq e^{d^\gamma/C}$.

Estimates on spherical codes

$(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_N) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ $[-\alpha, \alpha]$ -spherical code if $\langle \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j \rangle \in [-\alpha, \alpha]$.

- (linear independence) $\alpha < \frac{1}{d} \Rightarrow N \leq d$;
- (volume counting) $N \leq \left(\frac{2-\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)^d$;
- (Delsarte-Goethals-Siedel) $\alpha < \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}$ $N \leq \frac{1-\alpha^2}{1-\alpha^2 d} d$ and equality only possible for $\{-\alpha, \alpha\}$ -codes.
- (Siedel) $\{-\alpha, \alpha\}$ -codes have cardinality $\leq \frac{d(d-1)}{2}$ (best $\geq \frac{2}{9}d^2$)
- DSG bound met for some α 's.
- (J - Guedon 20??) $\alpha = d^{(-1+\gamma)/2}/6$, $\gamma > 0$ then $N_{max} \geq e^{d^\gamma/C}$.

Estimates on spherical codes

$(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_N) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ $[-\alpha, \alpha]$ -spherical code if $\langle \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j \rangle \in [-\alpha, \alpha]$.

- (linear independence) $\alpha < \frac{1}{d} \Rightarrow N \leq d$;
- (volume counting) $N \leq \left(\frac{2-\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)^d$;
- (Delsarte-Goethals-Siedel) $\alpha < \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}$ $N \leq \frac{1-\alpha^2}{1-\alpha^2 d} d$ and equality only possible for $\{-\alpha, \alpha\}$ -codes.
- (Siedel) $\{-\alpha, \alpha\}$ -codes have cardinality $\leq \frac{d(d-1)}{2}$ (best $\geq \frac{2}{9}d^2$)
- DSG bound met for some α 's.
- (J - Guedon 20??) $\alpha = d^{(-1+\gamma)/2}/6$, $\gamma > 0$ then $N_{max} \geq e^{d^\gamma/C}$.

Estimates on spherical codes

$(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_N) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ $[-\alpha, \alpha]$ -spherical code if $\langle \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j \rangle \in [-\alpha, \alpha]$.

- (linear independence) $\alpha < \frac{1}{d} \Rightarrow N \leq d$;
- (volume counting) $N \leq \left(\frac{2-\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)^d$;
- (Delsarte-Goethals-Siedel) $\alpha < \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}$ $N \leq \frac{1-\alpha^2}{1-\alpha^2 d} d$ and equality only possible for $\{-\alpha, \alpha\}$ -codes.
- (Siedel) $\{-\alpha, \alpha\}$ -codes have cardinality $\leq \frac{d(d-1)}{2}$ (best $\geq \frac{2}{9}d^2$)
- DSG bound met for some α 's.
- (J - Guedon 20??) $\alpha = d^{(-1+\gamma)/2}/6$, $\gamma > 0$ then $N_{max} \geq e^{d^\gamma/C}$.

- W. O. AMREIN & A. M. BERTHIER *On support properties of L^p -functions and their Fourier transforms*. J. Functional Analysis **24** (1977) 258–267.
- M. BENEDICKS *On Fourier transforms of functions supported on sets of finite Lebesgue measure*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **106** (1985) 180–183.
- F. L. NAZAROV *Local estimates for exponential polynomials and their applications to inequalities of the uncertainty principle type. (Russian)* Algebra i Analiz **5** (1993) 3–66; translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. **5** (1994) 663–717.
- PH. JAMING *Nazarov's uncertainty principle in higher dimension* J. Approx. Theory (2007) available in Arxiv.
- PH. JAMING & A. POWELL, *Uncertainty principles for orthonormal bases*. J. Funct. Anal., **243** (2007), 611–630.