

# Incompressible Navier-Stokes limit of the Boltzmann equation



Isabelle Tristani  
CNRS, École Normale Supérieure  
*with I. Gallagher*

Webinar Kinetic and fluid equations  
for collective behavior  
French-Korean IRL in Mathematics  
*25th June 2021*

- 1) Introduction
- 2) Main result and known results
- 3) Ideas of the proof

## Description of a system of particles

Different scales to describe a system composed by a large number of indistinguishable components such as gases:

### **Microscopic**

Individual behavior of each component?  
Newton's equations

### **Mesoscopic**

Evolution of the density of particles?  
Boltzmann, Landau, Fokker-Planck... equations

### **Macroscopic**

Evolution of observable quantities?  
Euler, Navier-Stokes... equations

## Kinetic theory

- System described by the evolution of the density of particles  $f = f(t, x, v) \geq 0$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$  the time,  $x \in \Omega = \mathbb{T}^d$  or  $\mathbb{R}^d$  the position and  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$  the velocity.

$f(t, x, v) dx dv =$  quantity of particles in the volume element  $dx dv$  centered in  $(x, v) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d$ .

- No external force or interaction: Free transport equation

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = 0.$$

- If interaction between particles or with a background medium, equation of kind

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = \underbrace{\mathcal{C}(f)}_{\text{collision term}}.$$

- Maxwell (1867), Boltzmann (1872): Boltzmann collision operator for neutral particles (gaz).

# The Boltzmann equation

## Boltzmann equation

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = Q(f, f) \quad (\text{B})$$

$$\underbrace{(v', v_*')}_{\text{before collision}} \rightleftharpoons \underbrace{(v, v_*)}_{\text{after collision}}$$

- Conservation of momentum and energy:

$$v + v_* = v' + v_*', \quad |v|^2 + |v_*|^2 = |v'|^2 + |v_*'|^2.$$

- Parametrization of  $(v', v_*')$  by an element  $\sigma \in \mathbf{S}^{d-1}$ .

$$v' = \frac{v + v_*}{2} + \frac{|v - v_*|}{2} \sigma, \quad v_*' = \frac{v + v_*}{2} - \frac{|v - v_*|}{2} \sigma, \quad \sigma \in \mathbf{S}^{d-1}.$$

- Boltzmann collision operator for **hard spheres**:

$$Q(g, f)(v) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{S}^{d-1}} \underbrace{|v - v_*|}_{\text{collision kernel}} \left( \underbrace{f(v') g(v_*')}_{\text{"appearing"}} - \underbrace{f(v) g(v_*)}_{\text{"disappearing"}} \right) d\sigma dv_*.$$

## Basic properties

For  $\phi = \phi(v)$  a test function,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} Q(f, f) \phi \, dv = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{S}^{d-1}} |v - v_*| f f_* (\phi' + \phi'_* - \phi - \phi_*) \, d\sigma \, dv_* \, dv.$$

– Conservation of mass, momentum and energy:

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} Q(f, f)(v) (1, v_i, |v|^2) \, dv = 0.$$

– Entropy inequality (H-Theorem):

$$D(f) := - \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} Q(f, f)(v) \log f(v) \, dv \geq 0,$$

$$D(f) = 0 \Leftrightarrow f = \mu = \text{Maxwellian (Gaussian in } v) \quad (\text{s.t. } Q(\mu, \mu) = 0).$$

## Rescaling and linearization

- Rescaling in time and space:  $(t, x, v) \rightarrow (t/\varepsilon^2, x/\varepsilon, v)$  where  $\varepsilon$  is the Knudsen number.
- We fix the following centered and normalized Maxwellian:

$$M(v) := (2\pi)^{-d/2} e^{-|v|^2/2}.$$

- Linearization around  $M$  of order  $\varepsilon$ :  $f^\varepsilon = M + \varepsilon\sqrt{M}g^\varepsilon$ .

### Rescaled Boltzmann equation

$$\partial_t g^\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} v \cdot \nabla_x g^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} Lg^\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Gamma(g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon) \quad (\text{B}_\varepsilon)$$

with

$$\Gamma(f_1, f_2) := \frac{1}{2\sqrt{M}} \left( Q(\sqrt{M}f_1, \sqrt{M}f_2) + Q(\sqrt{M}f_2, \sqrt{M}f_1) \right)$$

and

$$Lf := \Gamma(\sqrt{M}, f).$$

## Formal convergence

-  $x \varepsilon^2$  and  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ :  $Lg = 0$ .

- We deduce that

$$g \in \text{Ker } L = \text{Span} \left\{ \sqrt{M}, v_1 \sqrt{M}, \dots, v_d \sqrt{M}, |v|^2 \sqrt{M} \right\}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow g(x, v) = \sqrt{M(v)} \left( \rho_g(x) + u_g(x) \cdot v + \frac{1}{2} (|v|^2 - d) \theta_g(x) \right)$$

with

$$\rho_g(x) := \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} g(x, v) \sqrt{M(v)} \, dv, \quad u_g(x) := \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} v g(x, v) \sqrt{M(v)} \, dv,$$

$$\theta_g(x) := \frac{1}{d} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} (|v|^2 - d) g(x, v) \sqrt{M(v)} \, dv.$$

- **Local conservation laws** (equations satisfied by  $\rho_{g^\varepsilon}$ ,  $u_{g^\varepsilon}$  and  $\theta_{g^\varepsilon}$ ) and then  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

- For example, the first local conservation law gives:

$$\partial_t \rho_{g^\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nabla_x \cdot u_{g^\varepsilon} = 0 \rightsquigarrow \nabla_x \cdot u_g = 0.$$



## Fluid system - I

### Incompressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier system

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u - \nu_1 \Delta u = -\nabla p \\ \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta - \nu_2 \Delta \theta = 0 \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0 \\ \nabla(\rho + \theta) = 0 \end{array} \right. \quad (\text{NSF})$$

with  $(\rho, u, \theta, p) = (\text{mass, velocity, temperature, pressure})$  and  $\nu_i$  the viscosity coefficients fully determined by  $L$ .

## Fluid system - II

### Theorem

For  $(\rho_{\text{in}}, u_{\text{in}}, \theta_{\text{in}}) \in H^{\frac{d}{2}-1}(\Omega)$ ,  $\exists!$  maximal time  $T^* > 0$ ,

$$\exists! (\rho, u, \theta) \in L^\infty([0, T], H^{\frac{d}{2}-1}(\Omega)) \cap L^2([0, T], H^{\frac{d}{2}}(\Omega))$$

solution to (NSF) for all times  $T < T^*$ . It satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\rho, u, \theta)\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty([0, T], H^{\frac{d}{2}-1}(\Omega))} + \|(\nabla \rho, \nabla u, \nabla \theta)\|_{L^2([0, T], H^{\frac{d}{2}-1}(\Omega))} \\ \lesssim C\left(\|(\rho_{\text{in}}, u_{\text{in}}, \theta_{\text{in}})\|_{H^{\frac{d}{2}-1}(\Omega)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Leray, Fujita-Kato, Chemin, Chemin-Lerner, Bahouri-Chemin-Danchin etc...

Globally well-posed in 2D, and in 3D for small data for example.

- 1) Introduction
- 2) Main result and known results
- 3) Ideas of the proof

## Well prepared data (WP)

For  $f = f(x, v)$ , we define

$$\rho_f(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(x, v) \sqrt{M(v)} \, dv, \quad u_f(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} v f(x, v) \sqrt{M(v)} \, dv,$$
$$\theta_f(x) = \frac{1}{d} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} (|v|^2 - d) f(x, v) \sqrt{M(v)} \, dv.$$

(WP<sub>1</sub>):  $f \in \text{Ker } L$  i.e.

$$f(x, v) = \sqrt{M(v)} \left( \rho_f(x) + u_f(x) \cdot v + \frac{1}{2} (|v|^2 - d) \theta_f(x) \right).$$

(WP<sub>2</sub>):  $\nabla_x \cdot u_f = 0$  and  $\rho_f + \theta_f = 0$ .

## Main result - I

- $\rho_{\text{in}}, u_{\text{in}}, \theta_{\text{in}} \in H^\ell(\Omega)$ ,  $\ell > d/2$  satisfying (WP<sub>2</sub>)
  - +  $\rho_{\text{in}}, u_{\text{in}}, \theta_{\text{in}} \in L^1(\Omega)$  if  $\Omega = \mathbf{R}^2$ ,
  - +  $\rho_{\text{in}}, u_{\text{in}}, \theta_{\text{in}}$  are mean free if  $\Omega = \mathbf{T}^d$ .
- Consider

$$(\rho, u, \theta) \in L^\infty([0, T], H^\ell(\Omega)) \cap L^2([0, T], H^{\ell+1}(\Omega))$$

the unique solution to (NSF) associated with initial data  $(\rho_{\text{in}}, u_{\text{in}}, \theta_{\text{in}})$  on a time interval  $[0, T]$ .

- Set

$$g_{\text{in}}(x, v) := \sqrt{M(v)} \left( \rho_{\text{in}}(x) + u_{\text{in}}(x) \cdot v + \frac{1}{2}(|v|^2 - d)\theta_{\text{in}}(x) \right),$$

and define on  $[0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^d$

$$g(t, x, v) := \sqrt{M(v)} \left( \rho(t, x) + u(t, x) \cdot v + \frac{1}{2}(|v|^2 - d)\theta(t, x) \right).$$

## Main result - II

### Theorem (WP data in the whole space or the torus)

$\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$  s.t.  $\forall \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0, \exists! g^\varepsilon \in L^\infty([0, T], X)$  solution to  $(B_\varepsilon)$  with initial data  $g_{\text{in}}$  and it satisfies

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|g^\varepsilon - g\|_{L^\infty([0, T], X)} = 0.$$

Moreover, if the solution  $(\rho, u, \theta)$  to (NSF) is defined on  $\mathbf{R}^+$ , then  $\varepsilon_0$  depends only on the initial data and not on  $T$  and there holds

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|g^\varepsilon - g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^+, X)} = 0.$$

$X := L_v^\infty H_x^\ell(\langle v \rangle^k)$ ,  $k > d/2 + 1$  defined by

$$\|f\|_X := \sup_{v \in \mathbf{R}^d} \langle v \rangle^k \|f(\cdot, v)\|_{H_x^\ell}.$$

## Known results

- **Framework of weak solutions** (DiPerna-Lions for Boltzmann equation and Leray for Navier-Stokes): Bardos-Golse-Levermore (90s), Lions, Masmoudi, Saint-Raymond etc...
  - “Obtain a theorem that only requires a priori estimates given by the physics: Mass, energy and entropy.”
- **Framework of strong solutions :**
  - + De Masi-Esposito-Lebowitz (90’), Bardos-Ukai (91’),
  - + Guo (06’), Briant (15’), Briant-Merino-Mouhot (18’) etc...

- 1) Introduction
- 2) Main result and known results
- 3) Ideas of the proof



## Rewriting the problem - Duhamel formula

- $U^\varepsilon(t)$  semigroup associated with  $-\varepsilon^{-1}v \cdot \nabla_x + \varepsilon^{-2}L$ .
- We rewrite the rescaled Boltzmann equation

$$\partial_t g^\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}v \cdot \nabla_x g^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}Lg^\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\Gamma(g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon) \quad (\text{B}_\varepsilon)$$

with Duhamel formula:

$$g^\varepsilon(t) = U^\varepsilon(t)g_{\text{in}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t U^\varepsilon(t-s)\Gamma(g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon)(s) ds}_{\Psi^\varepsilon(t)(g^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon)}$$

- In some sense,  $U^\varepsilon(t) \rightarrow U(t)$  and  $\Psi^\varepsilon(t) \rightarrow \Psi(t)$  so that the limit  $g$  writes

$$g(t) = U(t)g_{\text{in}} + \Psi(t)(g, g).$$

## Rewriting the problem - Fixed point argument

- Introduction of  $h^\varepsilon := g^\varepsilon - g$ .
- $h^\varepsilon$  satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} h^\varepsilon(t) = & \underbrace{(U^\varepsilon(t) - U(t))g_{\text{in}} + (\Psi^\varepsilon(t) - \Psi(t))(g, g)}_{D^\varepsilon(t) = \text{source terms}} \\ & + \underbrace{2\Psi^\varepsilon(t)(g, h^\varepsilon)}_{\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon(t)h^\varepsilon = \text{linear part}} + \underbrace{\Psi^\varepsilon(t)(h^\varepsilon, h^\varepsilon)}_{\text{quadratic part}} \end{aligned}$$

↪ Fixed point argument?

$E$  Banach space,  $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{L}(E, E)$  and  $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{B}(E^2, E)$ .

If  $\|\mathcal{L}\| < 1$ , for any  $x_0 \in E$  small enough, the equation

$$x = x_0 + \mathcal{L}x + \mathcal{B}(x, x)$$

has a unique solution in the ball  $B\left(0, \frac{1 - \|\mathcal{L}\|}{2\|\mathcal{B}\|}\right)$  and there exists a constant  $C_0 > 0$  such that  $\|x\| \leq C_0\|x_0\|$ .

## Ellis and Pinsky decomposition - estimates in $H_x^\ell L_v^2$

- Fourier transform in  $x$  of  $-v \cdot \nabla_x + L$ :  $L_\xi := L - iv \cdot \xi$ .
- Decomposition of the semigroup  $U^1(t)$ :

$$U^1(t, \xi) = \sum_{j=1}^{d+2} e^{t\lambda_j(\xi)} P_j(\xi) + U^{1\#}(t, \xi)$$

with Taylor expansion of the eigenvalues  $\lambda_j(\xi)$ .

- $U^\varepsilon(t, \xi) = U^1(\varepsilon^{-2}t, \varepsilon\xi) \rightsquigarrow$  decomposition of  $U^\varepsilon(t)$ .
- Decay estimates on  $U^\varepsilon(t)$ :

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\varepsilon} U^\varepsilon(t)(I - \Pi_{L,0}) \right\|_{H_x^\ell L_v^2 \rightarrow H_x^\ell L_v^2} \leq \chi_\Omega(t).$$

## Estimate on the linear part - contraction?

Depending on the norm of  $g$ , there is no reason for  $\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon(t)$  to be a contraction!

↔ Introduction of a “filter”: For some fixed and well-chosen  $r$ ,

$$h_\lambda^\varepsilon(t) := h^\varepsilon(t) \exp\left(-\lambda \int_0^t \|g(\tau)\|^r d\tau\right), \quad \lambda > 0$$

so that

$$h_\lambda^\varepsilon(t) = D_\lambda^\varepsilon(t) + \mathcal{L}_\lambda^\varepsilon(t)h_\lambda^\varepsilon + \Psi_\lambda^\varepsilon(t)(h_\lambda^\varepsilon, h_\lambda^\varepsilon)$$

with

$$\Psi_\lambda^\varepsilon(t)(f_1, f_2) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t U^\varepsilon(t-s) \exp\left(-\lambda \int_s^t \|g\|^r\right) \Gamma(f_1, f_2)(s) ds$$

## Estimate on the linear part - stability?

- The nonlinear collision operator  $\Gamma$  induces a **loss of weight**:

$$\|\Gamma(f_1, f_2)\|_{L_v^\infty(\langle v \rangle^k)} \lesssim \|f_1\|_{L_v^\infty(\langle v \rangle^{k+1})} \|f_2\|_{L_v^\infty(\langle v \rangle^{k+1})}.$$

- **Splitting of  $L = \Gamma(\sqrt{M}, \cdot)$ :**

$$Lh = Kh - \nu(v)h \quad \text{with} \quad \nu(v) := \int_{\mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{S}^{d-1}} |v - v_*| M(v_*) \, dv_*$$

$$K : L_v^2 \rightarrow L_v^\infty \quad \text{and} \quad K : L_v^\infty(\langle v \rangle^j) \rightarrow L_v^\infty(\langle v \rangle^{j+1}), \quad j \geq 0.$$

- **Duhamel formula**  $\rightsquigarrow$  the problem boils down to perform estimates in  $H_x^\ell L_v^2$ .

## Source terms - I

$$D_1^\varepsilon(t) := (U^\varepsilon(t) - U(t))g_{\text{in}}.$$

Ellis and Pinsky decomposition gives:

$$U^\varepsilon(t)g_{\text{in}} = \underbrace{U(t)g_{\text{in}}}_{\text{independent of } \varepsilon} + \underbrace{V^\varepsilon(t)g_{\text{in}}}_{\text{"nice terms"}} + \underbrace{U_{\text{disp}}^\varepsilon(t)g_{\text{in}}}_{0 \text{ if } (WP_1)} + \underbrace{U^{\varepsilon\#}(t)g_{\text{in}}}_{\text{small if } (WP_2)}.$$

$$\|(U^\varepsilon(t) - U(t))g_{\text{in}}\|_{L_t^\infty(X)} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0 \quad \text{if } g_{\text{in}} \text{ is WP.}$$

For ill-prepared data, we introduce  $\tilde{g}^\varepsilon(t) := (U_{\text{disp}}^\varepsilon(t) + U^{\varepsilon\#}(t))g_{\text{in}}$  and write the equation satisfied by  $\tilde{h}^\varepsilon := g^\varepsilon - g - \tilde{g}^\varepsilon$ .

## Source terms - II

$$D_2^\varepsilon(t) := (\Psi^\varepsilon(t) - \Psi(t))(g, g).$$

Requires estimates on  $(\rho, u, \theta)$  and on their derivatives of type  $\tilde{L}_t^\infty H_x^\ell$  (Chemin-Lerner spaces),  $L_t^2 H_x^{\ell+1}$  etc... as well as point-wise decay estimates (Wiegner and Schonbek).

$$\|D_2^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L_t^\infty(X)} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

Thank you for your attention!