Limiting absorption principle for some long range perturbations of Dirac systems at threshold energies

joint work with Nabile Boussaid (Besançon)

Sylvain Golénia

Université de Bordeaux 1

Bordeaux, 01/03

Let α_i , for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, be linearly independent self-adjoint linear applications, acting in $\mathbb{C}^{2\nu}$, satisfying the anti-commutation relations:

$$\alpha_i \alpha_j + \alpha_j \alpha_i = 2\delta_{i,j} \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{2\nu}},$$

for $i, j = 1, \ldots, 4$. We set $\beta := \alpha_4$.

For $\nu = 1$, there is no solution.

When $\nu = 2$, one may choose the *Pauli-Dirac* representation:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i &= \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \sigma_i \\ \sigma_i & 0 \end{array} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta &= \left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{\nu}} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{\nu}} \end{array} \right) \\ \text{where } \sigma_1 &= \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right), \quad \sigma_2 &= \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -\mathrm{i} \\ \mathrm{i} & 0 \end{array} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_3 &= \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{array} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for i = 1, 2, 3.

(E) < (E)</p>

Let α_i , for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, be linearly independent self-adjoint linear applications, acting in $\mathbb{C}^{2\nu}$, satisfying the anti-commutation relations:

$$\alpha_i \alpha_j + \alpha_j \alpha_i = 2\delta_{i,j} \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{2\nu}},$$

for $i, j = 1, \ldots, 4$. We set $\beta := \alpha_4$.

For $\nu = 1$, there is no solution.

When $\nu = 2$, one may choose the *Pauli-Dirac* representation:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i &= \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \sigma_i \\ \sigma_i & 0 \end{array} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta &= \left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{\nu}} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{\nu}} \end{array} \right) \\ \text{where } \sigma_1 &= \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right), \quad \sigma_2 &= \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{array} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_3 &= \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{array} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for i = 1, 2, 3.

(B)

Let α_i , for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, be linearly independent self-adjoint linear applications, acting in $\mathbb{C}^{2\nu}$, satisfying the anti-commutation relations:

$$\alpha_i \alpha_j + \alpha_j \alpha_i = 2\delta_{i,j} \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{2\nu}},$$

for $i, j = 1, \ldots, 4$. We set $\beta := \alpha_4$.

For $\nu = 1$, there is no solution.

When $\nu = 2$, one may choose the *Pauli-Dirac* representation:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i &= \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \sigma_i \\ \sigma_i & 0 \end{array} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta &= \left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{\nu}} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{\nu}} \end{array} \right) \\ \text{where } \sigma_1 &= \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right), \quad \sigma_2 &= \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{array} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_3 &= \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{array} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for i = 1, 2, 3.

B > 4 B >

The movement of a relativistic massive charged particles with spin-1/2 particle is given by the Dirac equation,

$$\mathrm{i}\hbar rac{\partial arphi}{\partial t} = \mathcal{D}_m arphi, \quad ext{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^{2
u}),$$

where m > 0 is the mass, *c* the speed of light, \hbar the reduced Planck constant, and

$$D_m := c\hbar \alpha \cdot P + mc^2 \beta = -ic\hbar \sum_{k=1}^3 \alpha_k \partial_k + mc^2 \beta.$$

Here we set $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ and $\beta := \alpha_4$.

We take $c = \hbar = 1$.

We define D_m on $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}_c(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^{2\nu})$. We also denote its closure by D_m

It is self-adjoint with domain $\mathcal{D}(D_m) = \mathscr{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^{2\nu})$.

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

The movement of a relativistic massive charged particles with spin-1/2 particle is given by the Dirac equation,

$$\mathrm{i}\hbar rac{\partial arphi}{\partial t} = \mathcal{D}_m arphi, \quad ext{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^{2
u}),$$

where m > 0 is the mass, *c* the speed of light, \hbar the reduced Planck constant, and

$$D_m := c\hbar \alpha \cdot P + mc^2 \beta = -ic\hbar \sum_{k=1}^3 \alpha_k \partial_k + mc^2 \beta.$$

Here we set $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ and $\beta := \alpha_4$.

We take $c = \hbar = 1$.

We define D_m on $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}_c(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^{2\nu})$. We also denote its closure by D_m

It is self-adjoint with domain $\mathcal{D}(D_m) = \mathscr{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^{2\nu})$.

A B K A B K

Image: Image:

The movement of a relativistic massive charged particles with spin-1/2 particle is given by the Dirac equation,

$$\mathrm{i}\hbar rac{\partial arphi}{\partial t} = \mathcal{D}_m arphi, \quad ext{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^{2
u}),$$

where m > 0 is the mass, *c* the speed of light, \hbar the reduced Planck constant, and

$$D_m := c\hbar \alpha \cdot P + mc^2 \beta = -ic\hbar \sum_{k=1}^3 \alpha_k \partial_k + mc^2 \beta.$$

Here we set $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ and $\beta := \alpha_4$.

We take $c = \hbar = 1$.

We define D_m on $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}_c(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^{2\nu})$. We also denote its closure by D_m .

It is self-adjoint with domain $\mathcal{D}(D_m) = \mathscr{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^{2\nu})$.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

One has:

$$D_m^2 = (-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} + m^2) \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{2\nu}},$$

where $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^{2\nu}) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2\nu}$.

Set $\alpha_5 := \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4$. It is unitary.

Moreover, using the anti-commutation relation, we infer

$$\alpha_5 D_m \alpha_5^{-1} = -D_m$$

Then,

 $\alpha_5 \varphi(D_m) \alpha_5^{-1} = \varphi(-D_m)$, for all $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ measurable.

Therefore, the spectrum of D_m is given by:

$$\sigma(D_m) = (-\infty, -m] \cup [m, \infty)$$

and it is purely absolutely continuous, with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

A B F A B F

One has:

$$D_m^2 = (-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} + m^2) \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{2\nu}},$$

where $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^{2\nu}) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2\nu}$.

Set $\alpha_5 := \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4$. It is unitary.

Moreover, using the anti-commutation relation, we infer

$$\alpha_5 \, D_m \, \alpha_5^{-1} = -D_m$$

Then,

$$\alpha_5 \varphi(D_m) \alpha_5^{-1} = \varphi(-D_m)$$
, for all $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ measurable.

Therefore, the spectrum of D_m is given by:

$$\sigma(D_m) = (-\infty, -m] \cup [m, \infty)$$

and it is purely absolutely continuous, with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

A B K A B K

Image: Image:

One has:

$$D_m^2 = (-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} + m^2) \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{2\nu}},$$

where $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^{2\nu}) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2\nu}$.

Set $\alpha_5 := \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4$. It is unitary.

Moreover, using the anti-commutation relation, we infer

$$\alpha_5 \, D_m \, \alpha_5^{-1} = -D_m$$

Then,

$$\alpha_5 \varphi(D_m) \alpha_5^{-1} = \varphi(-D_m)$$
, for all $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ measurable.

Therefore, the spectrum of D_m is given by:

$$\sigma(D_m) = (-\infty, -m] \cup [m, \infty)$$

and it is purely absolutely continuous, with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

$$\frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left|\frac{1}{|x|}f(x)\right|^2\,dx\leq\,\left|\langle f,-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3}f\rangle\right|=\|\nabla f\|^2=\|\sigma\cdot P\,f\|^2,$$

where $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbb{R}^{3}; \mathbb{C}^{2\nu})$.

For j = 1, ..., n, we choose *n* distinct points x_j of \mathbb{R}^3 . On $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}_c(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^{2\nu})$, we set:

$$H_{\gamma} := D_m + \gamma \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{|Q - x_j|} \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{2\nu}} = \alpha \cdot P + m\beta + \gamma \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{|Q - x_j|} \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{2\nu}}.$$

One has:

• $|\gamma| < 1/2$: H_{γ} is essentially self-adjoint and $\mathcal{D}(H_{\gamma}) = \mathscr{H}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{3}; \mathbb{C}^{2\nu})$.

• 3 >

< E

Image: Image:

$$\frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left|\frac{1}{|x|}f(x)\right|^2\,dx\leq\,\left|\langle f,-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3}f\rangle\right|=\|\nabla f\|^2=\|\sigma\cdot P\,f\|^2,$$

where $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbb{R}^{3}; \mathbb{C}^{2\nu})$.

For j = 1, ..., n, we choose *n* distinct points x_j of \mathbb{R}^3 . On $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}_c(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^{2\nu})$, we set:

$$H_{\gamma} := D_m + \gamma \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{|Q-x_j|} \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{2\nu}} = \alpha \cdot P + m\beta + \gamma \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{|Q-x_j|} \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{2\nu}}.$$

One has:

• $|\gamma| < 1/2$: H_{γ} is essentially self-adjoint and $\mathcal{D}(H_{\gamma}) = \mathscr{H}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{3}; \mathbb{C}^{2\nu})$.

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

$$\frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left|\frac{1}{|x|}f(x)\right|^2\,dx\leq\,\left|\langle f,-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3}f\rangle\right|=\|\nabla f\|^2=\|\sigma\cdot P\,f\|^2,$$

where $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbb{R}^{3}; \mathbb{C}^{2\nu})$.

For j = 1, ..., n, we choose *n* distinct points x_i of \mathbb{R}^3 . On $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}_c(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^{2\nu})$, we set:

$$H_{\gamma} := D_m + \gamma \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{|Q-x_j|} \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{2\nu}} = \alpha \cdot P + m\beta + \gamma \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{|Q-x_j|} \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{2\nu}}.$$

One has:

•
$$|\gamma| < 1/2$$
: H_{γ} is essentially self-adjoint and $\mathcal{D}(H_{\gamma}) = \mathscr{H}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{3}; \mathbb{C}^{2\nu})$.

Image: Image:

$$\frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left|\frac{1}{|x|}f(x)\right|^2\,dx\leq\,\left|\langle f,-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3}f\rangle\right|=\|\nabla f\|^2=\|\sigma\cdot P\,f\|^2,$$

where $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbb{R}^{3}; \mathbb{C}^{2\nu})$.

For j = 1, ..., n, we choose *n* distinct points x_i of \mathbb{R}^3 . On $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}_c(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^{2\nu})$, we set:

$$H_{\gamma} := D_m + \gamma \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{|Q-x_j|} \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{2\nu}} = \alpha \cdot P + m\beta + \gamma \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{|Q-x_j|} \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{2\nu}}.$$

In fact, one has:

Theorem

There are κ , δ , C > 0 *such that the following* limiting absorption principle *holds:*

$$\sup_{|\lambda|\in [m,m+\delta], \, \varepsilon > 0, \, |\gamma| \leq \kappa} \| \langle Q \rangle^{-1} (H_{\gamma} - \lambda - \mathrm{i} \varepsilon)^{-1} \langle Q \rangle^{-1} \| \leq C.$$

In particular, H_{γ} has no eigenvalue in $\pm m$.

Moreover, there is C' so that

$$\sup_{|\gamma| \le \kappa} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\langle Q \rangle^{-1} e^{-\mathrm{i} t H_{\gamma}} E_{\mathcal{I}}(H_{\gamma}) f \|^{2} dt \le C' \|f\|^{2},$$

where $\mathcal{I} = [-m - \delta, -m] \cup [m, m + \delta]$ and where $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{H}_{\gamma})$ denotes the spectral measure of \mathcal{H}_{γ} .

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

Theorem

There are κ , δ , C > 0 *such that the following* limiting absorption principle *holds:*

$$\sup_{|\lambda|\in [m,m+\delta], \varepsilon>0, |\gamma|\leq \kappa} \|\langle Q\rangle^{-1}(H_{\gamma}-\lambda-\mathrm{i}\varepsilon)^{-1}\langle Q\rangle^{-1}\|\leq C.$$

In particular, H_{γ} has no eigenvalue in $\pm m$.

Moreover, there is C' so that

$$\sup_{|\gamma| \le \kappa} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\langle Q \rangle^{-1} e^{-\mathrm{i} t H_{\gamma}} E_{\mathcal{I}}(H_{\gamma}) f \|^{2} dt \le C' \|f\|^{2},$$

where $\mathcal{I} = [-m - \delta, -m] \cup [m, m + \delta]$ and where $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{H}_{\gamma})$ denotes the spectral measure of \mathcal{H}_{γ} .

.

Image: Image:

Theorem

There are κ , δ , C > 0 *such that the following* limiting absorption principle *holds:*

$$\sup_{|\lambda|\in [m,m+\delta], \varepsilon>0, |\gamma|\leq \kappa} \|\langle Q\rangle^{-1}(H_{\gamma}-\lambda-\mathrm{i}\varepsilon)^{-1}\langle Q\rangle^{-1}\|\leq C.$$

In particular, H_{γ} has no eigenvalue in $\pm m$.

Moreover, there is C' so that

$$\sup_{|\gamma| \le \kappa} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\langle Q \rangle^{-1} e^{-\mathrm{i} t H_{\gamma}} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}(H_{\gamma}) f \|^{2} dt \le C' \|f\|^{2},$$

where $\mathcal{I} = [-m - \delta, -m] \cup [m, m + \delta]$ and where $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{H}_{\gamma})$ denotes the spectral measure of \mathcal{H}_{γ} .

- B - - B

Image: Image:

Since we are interested in small coupling constants, by perturbation theory, it is enough to consider:

$$H^{\mathrm{bd}}_{\gamma} := \mathcal{D}_m + \gamma \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{Q}) \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{2\nu}},$$

with $v : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$, smooth with

 $\|v\|_{\infty} \leq m/2$

and

$$v(x)=\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{|Q-x_j|},$$

for |x| big enough.

To show the limiting absorption principle (LAP)

$$\sup_{|\lambda|\in [m,m+\delta], \varepsilon>0, |\gamma|\leq \kappa} \|\langle Q\rangle^{-1} (H_{\gamma}^{\mathrm{bd}} - \lambda - \mathrm{i}\varepsilon)^{-1} \langle Q\rangle^{-1}\| \leq C,$$

for some $\kappa > 0$. It is equivalent to show:

$$\sup_{\lambda \in [m,m+\delta], \ \varepsilon > 0, \ |\gamma| \le \kappa} \| \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle^{-1} (\mathcal{H}_{\gamma}^{\mathrm{bd}} - \lambda - \mathrm{i}\varepsilon)^{-1} \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle^{-1} \| \le \mathcal{C},$$

Indeed, we have:

$$\alpha_5 \left(D_m + \gamma v \right) \alpha_5^{-1} = -D_m + \gamma v.$$

Then, we shall work at energy $[m, m + \delta]$ with v and with -v.

ъ

To show the limiting absorption principle (LAP)

$$\sup_{|\lambda|\in[m,m+\delta],\,\varepsilon>0,\,|\gamma|\leq\kappa}\|\langle Q\rangle^{-1}(H^{\mathrm{bd}}_{\gamma}-\lambda-\mathrm{i}\varepsilon)^{-1}\langle Q\rangle^{-1}\|\leq C,$$

for some $\kappa > 0$. It is equivalent to show:

$$\sup_{\lambda \in [m,m+\delta], \varepsilon > 0, \ |\gamma| \le \kappa} \| \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle^{-1} (\mathcal{H}_{\gamma}^{\mathrm{bd}} - \lambda - \mathrm{i}\varepsilon)^{-1} \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle^{-1} \| \le C,$$

Indeed, we have:

$$\alpha_5 \left(D_m + \gamma v \right) \alpha_5^{-1} = -D_m + \gamma v.$$

Then, we shall work at energy $[m, m + \delta]$ with v and with -v.

To show the limiting absorption principle (LAP)

$$\sup_{|\lambda|\in [m,m+\delta], \varepsilon>0, |\gamma|\leq \kappa} \|\langle Q\rangle^{-1} (H^{\rm bd}_{\gamma} - \lambda - {\rm i}\varepsilon)^{-1} \langle Q\rangle^{-1}\| \leq C,$$

for some $\kappa > 0$. It is equivalent to show:

$$\sup_{\lambda \in [m,m+\delta], \varepsilon > 0, \ |\gamma| \le \kappa} \| \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle^{-1} (\mathcal{H}_{\gamma}^{\mathrm{bd}} - \lambda - \mathrm{i}\varepsilon)^{-1} \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle^{-1} \| \le \mathcal{C},$$

Indeed, we have:

$$\alpha_5 \left(D_m + \gamma v \right) \alpha_5^{-1} = -D_m + \gamma v.$$

Then, we shall work at energy $[m, m + \delta]$ with *v* and with -v.

Let P^+ be the orthogonal projection on ker($\beta - 1$). Let $P^- := 1 - P^+$.

By the anti-commutation relation, we get $P^{\pm}\alpha_{j}P^{\pm} = 0$. We set:

$$\alpha_j^+ := P^+ \alpha_j P^-$$
 and $\alpha_j^- := P^- \alpha_j P^+$, for $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

They are partial isometries: $(\alpha_j^+)^* = \alpha_j^-$, $\alpha_j^+ \alpha_j^- = P^+$ and $\alpha_j^- \alpha_j^+ = P^-$, for $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

We set $\mathbb{C}^{\nu}_{\pm} := P^{\pm}\mathbb{C}^{2\nu}$. In the direct sum $\mathbb{C}^{\nu}_{\pm} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{\nu}_{-}$, one can write

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{\nu}} & 0\\ 0 & -\operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{\nu}} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \alpha_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_j^+\\ \alpha_j^- & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ for } j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$$

イロト イヨト イヨト

Let P^+ be the orthogonal projection on ker($\beta - 1$). Let $P^- := 1 - P^+$.

By the anti-commutation relation, we get $P^{\pm}\alpha_{i}P^{\pm} = 0$. We set:

$$\alpha_i^+ := P^+ \alpha_j P^-$$
 and $\alpha_i^- := P^- \alpha_j P^+$, for $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

They are partial isometries: $(\alpha_j^+)^* = \alpha_j^-$, $\alpha_j^+ \alpha_j^- = P^+$ and $\alpha_j^- \alpha_j^+ = P^-$, for $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

We set $\mathbb{C}^{\nu}_{\pm} := P^{\pm} \mathbb{C}^{2\nu}$. In the direct sum $\mathbb{C}^{\nu}_{+} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{\nu}_{-}$, one can write

$$eta = \left(egin{array}{cc} \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{
u}} & 0 \ 0 & -\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{
u}} \end{array}
ight) ext{ and } lpha_j = \left(egin{array}{cc} 0 & lpha_j^+ \ lpha_j^- & 0 \end{array}
ight), ext{ for } j \in \{1,2,3\}.$$

イロト イヨト イヨト

Let P^+ be the orthogonal projection on ker($\beta - 1$). Let $P^- := 1 - P^+$.

By the anti-commutation relation, we get $P^{\pm}\alpha_j P^{\pm} = 0$. We set:

$$\alpha_j^+ := \mathcal{P}^+ \alpha_j \mathcal{P}^- \text{ and } \alpha_j^- := \mathcal{P}^- \alpha_j \mathcal{P}^+, \text{ for } j \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

They are partial isometries: $(\alpha_j^+)^* = \alpha_j^-$, $\alpha_j^+ \alpha_j^- = P^+$ and $\alpha_j^- \alpha_j^+ = P^-$, for $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

We set $\mathbb{C}^{
u}_+ := P^{\pm} \mathbb{C}^{2
u}$. In the direct sum $\mathbb{C}^{
u}_+ \oplus \mathbb{C}^{
u}_-$, one can write

$$eta = \left(egin{array}{cc} \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{
u}} & 0 \ 0 & -\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{
u}} \end{array}
ight) ext{ and } lpha_j = \left(egin{array}{cc} 0 & lpha_j^+ \ lpha_j^- & 0 \end{array}
ight), ext{ for } j \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト - ヨ

Let P^+ be the orthogonal projection on ker($\beta - 1$). Let $P^- := 1 - P^+$.

By the anti-commutation relation, we get $P^{\pm}\alpha_j P^{\pm} = 0$. We set:

$$\alpha_j^+ := \mathcal{P}^+ \alpha_j \mathcal{P}^- \text{ and } \alpha_j^- := \mathcal{P}^- \alpha_j \mathcal{P}^+, \text{ for } j \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

They are partial isometries: $(\alpha_j^+)^* = \alpha_j^-$, $\alpha_j^+ \alpha_j^- = P^+$ and $\alpha_j^- \alpha_j^+ = P^-$, for $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

We set $\mathbb{C}^{\nu}_{\pm} := \mathbf{P}^{\pm} \mathbb{C}^{2\nu}$. In the direct sum $\mathbb{C}^{\nu}_{+} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{\nu}_{-}$, one can write

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{\nu}} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & -\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{\nu}} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \alpha_j = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \alpha_j^+ \\ \alpha_j^- & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ for } j \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

We now split the Hilbert space $\mathscr{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^{2\nu})$ with respect to the spin-up and -down part:

$$\mathscr{H} = \mathscr{H}^+ \oplus \mathscr{H}^-, \text{ where } \mathscr{H}^\pm := L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^{\nu}_{\pm}) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^{\nu}).$$

We rewrite the equation $(D_m + v(Q) - z)\psi = f$ to get:

$$\begin{cases} \alpha^+ \cdot P\psi_- + m\psi_+ + v(Q)\psi_+ - z\psi_+ = f_+, \\ \alpha^- \cdot P\psi_+ - m\psi_- + v(Q)\psi_- - z\psi_- = f_-. \end{cases}$$

then

$$\begin{cases} \left(\alpha^{+} \cdot P \frac{1}{m - v(Q) + z} \, \alpha^{-} \cdot P + v(Q) + m - z\right) \psi_{+} = & f_{+} + \alpha^{+} \cdot P \frac{1}{m - v(Q) + z} f_{-}, \\ \psi_{-} = & \frac{1}{m - v(Q) + z} \left(\alpha^{-} \cdot P \psi_{+} - f_{-}\right). \end{cases}$$

(I)

We now split the Hilbert space $\mathscr{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^{2\nu})$ with respect to the spin-up and -down part:

$$\mathscr{H} = \mathscr{H}^+ \oplus \mathscr{H}^-, \text{ where } \mathscr{H}^\pm := L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^\nu_\pm) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^\nu).$$

We rewrite the equation $(D_m + v(Q) - z)\psi = f$ to get:

$$\begin{cases} \alpha^{+} \cdot P\psi_{-} + m\psi_{+} + v(Q)\psi_{+} - z\psi_{+} = f_{+}, \\ \alpha^{-} \cdot P\psi_{+} - m\psi_{-} + v(Q)\psi_{-} - z\psi_{-} = f_{-}. \end{cases}$$

then

$$\begin{cases} \left(\alpha^{+} \cdot P \frac{1}{m - v(Q) + z} \, \alpha^{-} \cdot P + v(Q) + m - z \right) \psi_{+} = & f_{+} + \alpha^{+} \cdot P \frac{1}{m - v(Q) + z} f_{-}, \\ \psi_{-} = & \frac{1}{m - v(Q) + z} \left(\alpha^{-} \cdot P \psi_{+} - f_{-} \right). \end{cases}$$

We now split the Hilbert space $\mathscr{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^{2\nu})$ with respect to the spin-up and -down part:

$$\mathscr{H} = \mathscr{H}^+ \oplus \mathscr{H}^-, \text{ where } \mathscr{H}^\pm := L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^\nu_\pm) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^\nu).$$

We rewrite the equation $(D_m + v(Q) - z)\psi = f$ to get:

$$\begin{cases} \alpha^{+} \cdot P\psi_{-} + m\psi_{+} + v(Q)\psi_{+} - z\psi_{+} = f_{+}, \\ \alpha^{-} \cdot P\psi_{+} - m\psi_{-} + v(Q)\psi_{-} - z\psi_{-} = f_{-}. \end{cases}$$

then

$$\begin{cases} \left(\alpha^+ \cdot P \frac{1}{m - v(Q) + z} \alpha^- \cdot P + v(Q) + m - z\right) \psi_+ = & f_+ + \alpha^+ \cdot P \frac{1}{m - v(Q) + z} f_-, \\ \psi_- = & \frac{1}{m - v(Q) + z} \left(\alpha^- \cdot P \psi_+ - f_-\right). \end{cases}$$

The spin down/up decomposition, farther on the way to the resolvent equation

In other words,

$$\begin{cases} (\Delta_{m,v,z} + m - z) \psi_{+} = f_{+} + \alpha^{+} \cdot P \frac{1}{m - v(Q) + z} f_{-}, \\ \psi_{-} = \frac{1}{m - v(Q) + z} (\alpha^{-} \cdot P \psi_{+} - f_{-}.) \end{cases}$$

where we defined the operator $\Delta_{m,v,z}$, as being the closure of:

$$\Delta_{m,v,z} := \alpha^+ \cdot P \frac{1}{m - v(Q) + z} \alpha^- \cdot P + v(Q),$$

acting on $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbb{R}^{3};\mathbb{C}^{\nu}_{+}).$

The spin down/up decomposition, the resolvent at last

At least formally, we get $(H_1^{bd} - z)^{-1} =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\Delta_{m,v,z} + m - z)^{-1} \\ \frac{1}{m - v(Q) + z} \alpha^{-} \cdot P(\Delta_{m,v,z} + m - z)^{-1} \\ (\Delta_{m,v,z} + m - z)^{-1} \alpha^{+} \cdot P \frac{1}{m - v(Q) + z} \\ \frac{1}{m - v(Q) + z} \alpha^{-} \cdot P(\Delta_{m,v,z} + m - z)^{-1} \alpha^{+} \cdot P \frac{1}{m - v(Q) + z} - \frac{1}{m - v(Q) + z} \end{pmatrix}$$

Using $\|v\|_{\infty} \leq m/2$, one shows $\mathcal{D}(\Delta_{m,\nu,z}) = \mathcal{D}((\Delta_{m,\nu,z})^*) = \mathscr{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^{\nu}_+).$

Take now $f \in \mathscr{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^{\nu}_+)$. Since

$$\Im\langle f, \Delta_{m,v,z} f \rangle = \langle \alpha^- \cdot P f, \frac{-\Im(z)}{(m - v(Q) + \Re(z))^2 + \Im(z)^2} \alpha^- \cdot P f \rangle,$$

is of the sign of $-\Im(z)$.

The numerical range theorem ensures that the spectrum of $\Delta_{m,v,z}$ is contained in the lower/upper half-plane which does not contain z.

In other words:

Yes,
$$(\Delta_{m,v,z} + m - z)^{-1}$$
 exists for $\Im z \neq 0$.

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Using $\|v\|_{\infty} \leq m/2$, one shows $\mathcal{D}(\Delta_{m,v,z}) = \mathcal{D}((\Delta_{m,v,z})^*) = \mathscr{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^{\nu}_+).$

Take now $f \in \mathscr{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^{\nu}_+)$. Since

$$\Im\langle f, \Delta_{m,v,z} f \rangle = \langle \alpha^- \cdot P f, \frac{-\Im(z)}{\left(m - v(Q) + \Re(z)\right)^2 + \Im(z)^2} \alpha^- \cdot P f \rangle,$$

is of the sign of $-\Im(z)$.

The numerical range theorem ensures that the spectrum of $\Delta_{m,v,z}$ is contained in the lower/upper half-plane which does not contain *z*.

In other words:

Yes,
$$(\Delta_{m,v,z} + m - z)^{-1}$$
 exists for $\Im z \neq 0$.

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Using $\|v\|_{\infty} \leq m/2$, one shows $\mathcal{D}(\Delta_{m,v,z}) = \mathcal{D}((\Delta_{m,v,z})^*) = \mathscr{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^{\nu}_+).$

Take now $f \in \mathscr{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^{\nu}_+)$. Since

$$\Im\langle f, \Delta_{m,v,z} f \rangle = \langle \alpha^- \cdot P f, \frac{-\Im(z)}{(m - v(Q) + \Re(z))^2 + \Im(z)^2} \alpha^- \cdot P f \rangle,$$

is of the sign of $-\Im(z)$.

The numerical range theorem ensures that the spectrum of $\Delta_{m,v,z}$ is contained in the lower/upper half-plane which does not contain *z*.

In other words:

Yes,
$$(\Delta_{m,v,z} + m - z)^{-1}$$
 exists for $\Im z \neq 0$.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Using $\|v\|_{\infty} \leq m/2$, one shows $\mathcal{D}(\Delta_{m,v,z}) = \mathcal{D}((\Delta_{m,v,z})^*) = \mathscr{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^{\nu}_+).$

Take now $f \in \mathscr{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^{\nu}_+)$. Since

$$\Im\langle f, \Delta_{m,v,z} f \rangle = \langle \alpha^- \cdot P f, \frac{-\Im(z)}{(m - v(Q) + \Re(z))^2 + \Im(z)^2} \alpha^- \cdot P f \rangle,$$

is of the sign of $-\Im(z)$.

The numerical range theorem ensures that the spectrum of $\Delta_{m,v,z}$ is contained in the lower/upper half-plane which does not contain *z*.

In other words:

Yes,
$$(\Delta_{m,v,z} + m - z)^{-1}$$
 exists for $\Im z \neq 0$.

Strategy:

1. Reduce the problem to show:

$$\sup_{\Re(z)\in[m,m+\delta],\ \Im(z)>0,\ |\gamma|\leq\kappa} \|\langle Q\rangle^{-1}(\Delta_{m,\gamma\nu,z}+m-z)^{-1}\langle Q\rangle^{-1}\|\leq C,\tag{1}$$

for some $\kappa > 0$.

2. Prove (1).

(4) E > (4) E

Strategy:

1. Reduce the problem to show:

$$\sup_{\Re(z)\in[m,m+\delta],\ \Im(z)>0,\ |\gamma|\leq\kappa} \|\langle Q\rangle^{-1}(\Delta_{m,\gamma\nu,z}+m-z)^{-1}\langle Q\rangle^{-1}\|\leq C,\tag{1}$$

for some $\kappa > 0$.

2. Prove (1).

- E - M

For instance, we need to control uniformly in $\Re z \in [m, m + \delta]$ and $\Im z \neq 0$:

$$\langle Q \rangle^{-1} (\Delta_{m,v,z} + m - z)^{-1} \alpha^+ \cdot P \frac{1}{m - v(Q) + z} \langle Q \rangle^{-1}$$

(I)

For instance, we need to control uniformly in $\Re z \in [m, m + \delta]$ and $\Im z \neq 0$:

$$\underbrace{\langle Q \rangle^{-1} (\Delta_{m,v,z} + m - z)^{-1} \langle Q \rangle^{-1}}_{\text{bounded from LAP for } \Delta_{m,v,z}} \underbrace{\langle Q \rangle \alpha^{+} \cdot P \langle Q \rangle^{-1}}_{\text{unbounded}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{m - v(Q) + z}}_{\text{bounded by } ||v||_{\infty} \leq m/2}.$$
lea: There is $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3}; \mathbb{R})$ such that
$$\sup_{\Re z \in [m,m+\delta], \Im z > 0, |\gamma| \leq \kappa} \left\| \langle Q \rangle^{-1} \varphi(\alpha \cdot P) (D_{m} + \gamma V(Q) - z)^{-1} \varphi(\alpha \cdot P) \langle Q \rangle^{-1} \right\| < \infty,$$
equivalent to

(I)

For instance, we need to control uniformly in $\Re z \in [m, m + \delta]$ and $\Im z \neq 0$:

$$\underbrace{\langle Q \rangle^{-1} (\Delta_{m,v,z} + m - z)^{-1} \langle Q \rangle^{-1}}_{\text{bounded from LAP for } \Delta_{m,v,z}} \underbrace{\langle Q \rangle \alpha^{+} \cdot P \langle Q \rangle^{-1}}_{\text{unbounded}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{m - v(Q) + z}}_{\text{bounded by } \|v\|_{\infty} \le m/2}$$

Idea: There is $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbb{R}^{3};\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\sup_{\Re z \in [m,m+\delta], \Im z > 0, |\gamma| \le \kappa} \left\| \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle^{-1} \varphi(\alpha \cdot \mathcal{P}) (\mathcal{D}_m + \gamma \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{Q}) - z)^{-1} \varphi(\alpha \cdot \mathcal{P}) \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle^{-1} \right\| < \infty,$$

is equivalent to

$$\sup_{\Re z \in [m,m+\delta], \Im z > 0, |\gamma| \le \kappa} \left\| \langle Q \rangle^{-1} (D_m + \gamma V(Q) - z)^{-1} \langle Q \rangle^{-1} \right\| < \infty.$$

For instance, we need to control uniformly in $\Re z \in [m, m + \delta]$ and $\Im z \neq 0$:

$$\underbrace{\langle Q \rangle^{-1} (\Delta_{m,v,z} + m - z)^{-1} \langle Q \rangle^{-1}}_{\text{bounded from LAP for } \Delta_{m,v,z}} \underbrace{\langle Q \rangle \varphi(\sigma \cdot P) \alpha^+ \cdot P \langle Q \rangle^{-1}}_{\text{easily bounded}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{m - v(Q) + z}}_{\text{bounded by } \|v\|_{\infty} \le m/2}$$

• In $(\Delta_{m,v,z} + m - z)^{-1}$, the operator depends on the spectral parameter.

• It is more convenient to work for a spectral estimate above $[0, \delta]$, instead of $[m, m + \delta]$. We recall:

$$\Delta_{m,v,z} := \alpha^+ \cdot P \frac{1}{m - v(Q) + z} \alpha^- \cdot P + v(Q),$$

with domain $\mathscr{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^{\nu}_+)$.

We perform the shift, $z \mapsto z + m$ and study the operator

 $\Delta_{2m,\gamma\nu,\xi}, \text{ uniformly in } (\gamma,\xi) \in \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(\kappa,\delta) := [-\kappa,\kappa] \times [0,\delta] \times (0,1].$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

• In $(\Delta_{m,v,z} + m - z)^{-1}$, the operator depends on the spectral parameter.

• It is more convenient to work for a spectral estimate above $[0, \delta]$, instead of $[m, m + \delta]$.

$$\Delta_{m,v,z} := \alpha^+ \cdot P \frac{1}{m - v(Q) + z} \alpha^- \cdot P + v(Q),$$

with domain $\mathscr{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3;\mathbb{C}^{\nu}_+)$.

We perform the shift, $z \mapsto z + m$ and study the operator

 $\Delta_{2m,\gamma\nu,\xi}, \text{ uniformly in } (\gamma,\xi) \in \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(\kappa,\delta) := [-\kappa,\kappa] \times [0,\delta] \times (0,1].$

(I)

• In $(\Delta_{m,v,z} + m - z)^{-1}$, the operator depends on the spectral parameter.

It is more convenient to work for a spectral estimate above [0, δ], instead of [m, m + δ].
 We recall:

$$\Delta_{m,v,z} := \alpha^+ \cdot P \frac{1}{m - v(Q) + z} \alpha^- \cdot P + v(Q),$$

with domain $\mathscr{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^{\nu}_+)$.

We perform the shift, $z \mapsto z + m$ and study the operator

 $\Delta_{2m,\gamma\nu,\xi}$, uniformly in $(\gamma,\xi) \in \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(\kappa,\delta) := [-\kappa,\kappa] \times [0,\delta] \times (0,1]$.

• In $(\Delta_{m,v,z} + m - z)^{-1}$, the operator depends on the spectral parameter.

It is more convenient to work for a spectral estimate above [0, δ], instead of [m, m + δ].
 We recall:

$$\Delta_{m,v,z} := \alpha^+ \cdot P \frac{1}{m - v(Q) + z} \alpha^- \cdot P + v(Q),$$

with domain $\mathscr{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^{\nu}_+)$.

We perform the shift, $z \mapsto z + m$ and study the operator

 $\Delta_{2m,\gamma\nu,\xi}, \text{ uniformly in } (\gamma,\xi) \in \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(\kappa,\delta) := [-\kappa,\kappa] \times [0,\delta] \times (0,1].$

In other words, we will show there are δ , κ , C > 0 such that

$$\sup_{\Re z \ge 0, \Im z > 0, (\gamma, \xi) \in \mathcal{E}} \left\| |Q|^{-1} (\Delta_{2m, \gamma \nu, \xi} - z)^{-1} |Q|^{-1} \right\| \le C,$$

where $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(\kappa, \delta) := [-\kappa, \kappa] \times [0, \delta] \times (0, 1].$

and then take $\xi = z$.

.

Take *H*, *A* self-adjoint operators and $c \ge 0$ so that:

[H, iA] - cH > 0,

where the symbol > means non-negative and injective.

With further hypothesis, one finds B closed, densely defined and injective such that:

$$\sup_{\Re(z) \ge 0, \Im(z) > 0} \| (B^{-1})^* (H - z)^{-1} B^{-1} \| < \infty,$$

see [S. Richard].

Take *H*, *A* self-adjoint operators and $c \ge 0$ so that:

[H, iA] - cH > 0,

where the symbol > means non-negative and injective.

With further hypothesis, one finds B closed, densely defined and injective such that:

$$\sup_{\Re(z) \ge 0, \Im(z) > 0} \| (B^{-1})^* (H - z)^{-1} B^{-1} \| < \infty,$$

see [S. Richard].

Take A self-adjoint, H non-self-adjoint and $c \ge 0$ so that

 $[\Re(H), iA] - c\Re(H) > 0,$

and

$\Im(H) \geq 0$ and $[\Im(H), iA] \geq 0$.

Problem: $\Delta_{m,v,\xi}$ depends on the external parameter ξ and we need estimates uniform in ξ .

(I)

Take A self-adjoint, H non-self-adjoint and $c \ge 0$ so that

 $[\Re(H), iA] - c\Re(H) > 0,$

and

$\Im(H) \ge 0$ and $[\Im(H), iA] \ge 0$.

Problem: $\Delta_{m,v,\xi}$ depends on the external parameter ξ and we need estimates uniform in ξ .

イロト イヨト イヨト

Take A self-adjoint, H non-self-adjoint and $c \ge 0$ so that

 $[\Re(H), iA] - c\Re(H) > 0,$

and

$\Im(H) \ge 0$ and $[\Im(H), iA] \ge 0$.

Problem: $\Delta_{m,v,\xi}$ depends on the external parameter ξ and we need estimates uniform in ξ .

イロト イヨト イヨト

Take A self-adjoint, H non-self-adjoint and $c \ge 0$ so that

 $[\Re(H), iA] - c\Re(H) > 0,$

and

$$\Im(H) \ge 0$$
 and $[\Im(H), iA] \ge 0$.

Problem: $\Delta_{m,v,\xi}$ depends on the external parameter ξ and we need estimates uniform in ξ .

Take A self-adjoint and $H(\xi)$ a family of non-self-adjoint operators so that

$$[\Re(H(\xi)), iA] - c\Re(H(\xi)) \ge S > 0,$$

and

$$\Im(H(\xi)) \ge 0$$
 and $[\Im(H(\xi)), iA] \ge 0$,

with S a self-adjoint operator independent of ξ .

With further hypothesis, one finds *B* closed, densely defined and injective and *C* independent of ξ such that:

$$\sup_{\Re(z) \ge 0, \Im(z) > 0, \xi} \| (B^{-1})^* (H(\xi) - z)^{-1} B^{-1} \| < \infty,$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

Take A self-adjoint and $H(\xi)$ a family of non-self-adjoint operators so that

$$[\Re(H(\xi)), iA] - c\Re(H(\xi)) \ge S > 0,$$

and

$$\Im(H(\xi)) \ge 0$$
 and $[\Im(H(\xi)), iA] \ge 0$,

with S a self-adjoint operator independent of ξ .

With further hypothesis, one finds *B* closed, densely defined and injective and *C* independent of ξ such that:

$$\sup_{\Re(z) \ge 0, \Im(z) > 0, \xi} \| (B^{-1})^* (H(\xi) - z)^{-1} B^{-1} \| < \infty,$$

(4) (3) (4) (4) (4)

We consider the generator of dilation given by:

$$A = \frac{1}{2}(P \cdot Q + Q \cdot P) \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{C}_+^{\nu}} \text{ on } L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}_+^{\nu}).$$

Then we have: $[\Re(\Delta_{2m,\gamma\nu,\xi}), iA] - \Re(\Delta_{2m,\gamma\nu,\xi}) =$

$$= \alpha^{+} \cdot P \frac{2m - \gamma \nu + \Re(\xi)}{(2m - \gamma \nu + \Re(\xi))^{2} + \Im(\xi)^{2}} \alpha^{-} \cdot P$$

- $\gamma \alpha^{+} \cdot P \left(\frac{Q \cdot \nabla \nu(Q) ((2m - \gamma \nu + \Re(\xi))^{2} - \Im(\xi)^{2})}{((2m - \gamma \nu + \Re(\xi))^{2} + \Im(\xi)^{2})^{2}} \right) \alpha^{-} \cdot P - \gamma Q \cdot \nabla \nu(Q) - \gamma \nu(Q).$

We consider the generator of dilation given by:

$${\mathcal A}=rac{1}{2}({\mathcal P}\cdot{\mathcal Q}+{\mathcal Q}\cdot{\mathcal P})\otimes {
m Id}_{{\mathbb C}_+^
u} ext{ on } {\mathcal L}^2({\mathbb R}^3;{\mathbb C}_+^
u).$$

Then we have: $[\Re(\Delta_{2m,\gamma v,\xi}), iA] - \Re(\Delta_{2m,\gamma v,\xi}) \ge$

$$\geq c_{0} \underbrace{\alpha^{+} \cdot P \alpha^{-} \cdot P}_{=-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{+}_{\nu}}} - \underbrace{\gamma(Q \cdot \nabla v(Q) + v(Q))}_{\textit{unsigned}!}$$

But we have:

$$\geq -c_0 \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{C}_{\nu}^+} - \gamma \frac{c_1}{|Q|} \\ \geq -c \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{C}_{\nu}^+}, \text{ with Hardy,}$$

for small γ and c, c_1, c_2 independent of ξ .

(I)

We consider the generator of dilation given by:

$${\mathcal A}=rac{1}{2}({\mathcal P}\cdot{\mathcal Q}+{\mathcal Q}\cdot{\mathcal P})\otimes {
m Id}_{{\mathbb C}_+^
u} ext{ on } L^2({\mathbb R}^3;{\mathbb C}_+^
u).$$

Then we have: $[\Re(\Delta_{2m,\gamma v,\xi}), iA] - \Re(\Delta_{2m,\gamma v,\xi}) \ge$

$$\geq c_{0} \underbrace{\alpha^{+} \cdot P \alpha^{-} \cdot P}_{=-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^{+}_{\nu}}} - \underbrace{\gamma(Q \cdot \nabla v(Q) + v(Q))}_{unsigned!}$$

But we have:

$$\geq - c_0 \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^+_{\nu}} - \gamma \frac{c_1}{|\mathcal{Q}|} \\ \geq - c \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3} \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^+_{\nu}}, \text{ with Hardy,}$$

for small γ and c, c_1, c_2 independent of ξ .

With some care, we can show there are $\delta, \kappa, C > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{\Re z \ge 0, \Im z > 0, (\gamma, \xi) \in \mathcal{E}} \left\| |Q|^{-1} (\Delta_{2m, \gamma \nu, \xi} - z)^{-1} |Q|^{-1} \right\| \le C,$$

where $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(\kappa, \delta) := [-\kappa, \kappa] \times [0, \delta] \times (0, 1].$

Then we take $\xi = z$ and deduce there are $\kappa, \delta, C > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{|\lambda|\in[m,m+\delta], \varepsilon>0, |\gamma|\leq \kappa} \|\langle Q\rangle^{-1}(H_{\gamma}-\lambda-\mathrm{i}\varepsilon)^{-1}\langle Q\rangle^{-1}\|\leq C.$$

(4) E > (4) E

Image: Image:

With some care, we can show there are $\delta, \kappa, C > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{\Re z \ge 0, \Im z > 0, (\gamma,\xi) \in \mathcal{E}} \left\| |Q|^{-1} (\Delta_{2m,\gamma \nu,\xi} - z)^{-1} |Q|^{-1} \right\| \le C,$$

where $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(\kappa, \delta) := [-\kappa, \kappa] \times [0, \delta] \times (0, 1].$

Then we take $\xi = z$ and deduce there are $\kappa, \delta, C > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{|\lambda|\in[m,m+\delta],\,\varepsilon>0,|\gamma|\leq\kappa} \|\langle Q\rangle^{-1}(H_{\gamma}-\lambda-\mathrm{i}\varepsilon)^{-1}\langle Q\rangle^{-1}\|\leq C.$$

A 3 5 A 3

With some care, we can show there are δ , κ , C > 0 such that

$$\sup_{\Re z \ge 0, \Im z > 0, (\gamma, \xi) \in \mathcal{E}} \left\| |Q|^{-1} (\Delta_{2m, \gamma \nu, \xi} - z)^{-1} |Q|^{-1} \right\| \le C,$$

where $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(\kappa, \delta) := [-\kappa, \kappa] \times [0, \delta] \times (0, 1].$

Then we take $\xi = z$ and deduce there are $\kappa, \delta, C > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{|\lambda|\in [m,m+\delta], \varepsilon>0, |\gamma|\leq \kappa} \|\langle Q\rangle^{-1}(H_{\gamma}-\lambda-\mathrm{i}\varepsilon)^{-1}\langle Q\rangle^{-1}\|\leq C.$$