

Asymptotic analysis of the Schrödinger-Lohe system

Gyuyoung Hwang

France-Korea IRL webinar in PDE

June 23th, 2023

Seoul National University

hgy0407@snu.ac.kr

- **Objective** : A system of coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations (**Schrödinger-Lohe model**) is studied in three perspectives :

1. **Discretized** (Semi-discrete SL) [Ha, H., Kim, 2022]
2. **Wigner transformed** (Wigner-Lohe) [Ha, H., Kim, 2022-2]
3. **Semiclassical limit** (Vlasov-Lohe) [Ha, H., Kim, submitted]

Table of Contents

General Introduction

Discretized Schrödinger-Lohe system

Semiclassical analysis of the Schrödinger-Lohe model

Semiclassical Limit of the Schrödinger-Lohe system

Table of Contents

General Introduction

Discretized Schrödinger-Lohe system

Semiclassical analysis of the Schrödinger-Lohe model

Semiclassical Limit of the Schrödinger-Lohe system

Schrödinger-Lohe system

- A quantum synchronization which has been studied extensively is the **Schrödinger-Lohe** system :

$$\begin{cases} i\hbar\partial_t\psi_j = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\Delta\psi_j + V_j\psi_j + \frac{i\hbar\kappa}{2N}\sum_{k=1}^N\left(\psi_k - \frac{\langle\psi_j,\psi_k\rangle}{\langle\psi_j,\psi_j\rangle}\psi_j\right), & t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \psi_j(0,x) = \psi_j^0(x), \quad j \in \{1,\dots,N\}, \end{cases}$$

where V_j are real valued potential functions and \hbar is the Planck constant.

- **Question** : What is this model for?

Classical and Quantum Dynamics

Let $H(x, p)$ be a Hamiltonian. x : position, p : momentum(velocity) and $\mathcal{H}(x, \nabla/i)$ be its quantization. Recall that

- **Classical dynamics** : The equation of motion is as follows

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial p} \\ \dot{p} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial x}. \end{cases}$$

- **Quantum dynamics** : We first consider the Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t\psi = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta\psi + V\psi = \mathcal{H}\psi.$$

Then, we compute the **expectation** of the physical observable A using ψ , i.e.

$$\mathbb{E}(A) = \langle A\psi, \psi \rangle_{L^2}.$$

Back to the Schrödinger-Lohe system

- Consider the many body wave function

$$\Psi(x_1, \dots, x_N) := \psi_1(x_1) \cdots \psi_N(x_N).$$

Then, the **Schrödinger-Lohe model** can be written as follows

$$i\partial_t \Psi = \mathcal{H}\Psi,$$

where

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{k=1}^N I \otimes I \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_k \otimes \cdots \otimes I,$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_k = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta + V_k + \frac{i\kappa}{2N} \sum_{m=1}^N \left(|\psi_m\rangle\langle\psi_k| - |\psi_k\rangle\langle\psi_m| \right) \right).$$

What is Schrödinger-Lohe model for?

- Therefore, the **Schrödinger-Lohe model** can be written as

$$i\partial_t\Psi = \mathcal{H}(\Psi)\Psi,$$

where the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} **depends on** the wave function Ψ .

- We can see the S-L model as a **feedback control** for quantum system.
- We use the S-L model to control quantum particles to **synchronize**.

Some results on the Schrödinger-Lohe system

1. (Conservation of L^2 -norm) : $\|\psi_j^0\|_{L^2} = 1 \Rightarrow \|\psi_j(t)\|_{L^2} = 1$.
2. Let $\mathcal{D}(V) = \max_{i,j} \|V_i - V_j\|_\infty$ and $\mathcal{D}(\psi(t)) = \max_{i,j} \|\psi_i(t) - \psi_j(t)\|_{L^2}$.
Then, we have

Theorem (Cho-Choi-Ha, '16 & Choi-Ha, '14)

(1) $\kappa > 0$, $\mathcal{D}(V) = 0$, $\mathcal{D}(\psi^0) < \frac{1}{2}$ implies $\mathcal{D}(\psi(t)) \lesssim e^{-\kappa t}$.

(2) $\kappa > 54\mathcal{D}(V) > 0$, $\mathcal{D}(\psi^0) < \mathcal{D}_\infty$ implies $\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}(\psi(t)) = 0$,

where \mathcal{D}_∞ is a constant.

Table of Contents

General Introduction

Discretized Schrödinger-Lohe system

Semiclassical analysis of the Schrödinger-Lohe model

Semiclassical Limit of the Schrödinger-Lohe system

- Semi-discrete Schrödinger-Lohe \Rightarrow Schrödinger-Lohe
 1. Stability
 2. Continuum limit (from discrete to continuous)

Semi-discrete SL model

For $h \in (0, 1]$, we define $\mathbb{Z}_h^d := h\mathbb{Z}^d = \{x = hn : n \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$, and the discrete Laplacian

$$(\Delta_h f)(x) := \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{f(x + he_j) - 2f(x) + f(x - he_j)}{h^2}.$$

Then, for a wave function $\psi_j^h = \psi_j^h(t, x) : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}_h^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we define the **semi-discrete Schrödinger-Lohe model** :

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t \psi_j^h = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta_h \psi_j^h + V_j^h \psi_j^h + \frac{i\kappa}{2N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\psi_k^h - \frac{\langle \psi_k^h, \psi_j^h \rangle}{\langle \psi_j^h, \psi_j^h \rangle} \psi_j^h \right), & j \in [N], \\ \psi_j^h(0, x) = \psi_j^{h, \text{in}}(x), & \|\psi_j^{h, \text{in}}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 1, \end{cases}$$

where $V_j^h : \mathbb{Z}_h^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is an external one-body real-valued potential.

Semi-discrete SL model

- **Goal** : Semi-discrete SL \Rightarrow SL.
- **From continuous to discrete** : Given $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the *discretization* of f , denoted by $f^h : \mathbb{Z}_h^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$x_m := hm \in \mathbb{Z}_h^d, \quad f^h(x_m) := \frac{1}{h^d} \int_{x_m + [0, h]^d} f(y) dy.$$

- **From discrete to continuous** : Conversely, we define the *linear interpolation operator* p_h which maps a function on \mathbb{Z}_h^d to a function on \mathbb{R}^d : for $x \in x_m + [0, h]^d$,

$$(p_h f)(x) := f(x_m) + \sum_{\ell=1}^d \frac{f(x_m + he_\ell) - f(x_m)}{h} (x - x_m)_\ell,$$

where $\{e_j\}$ is the standard ONB of \mathbb{R}^d .

- The L_h^p -norm:

$$\|f\|_{L_h^p} := h^{\frac{d}{p}} \|f\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}_h^d)} = \begin{cases} h^{\frac{d}{p}} \left(\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_h^d} |f(x)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, & p \in [1, \infty), \\ \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_h^d} |f(x)|, & p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

- The inner product: $\langle f, g \rangle_{L_h^2} := h^d \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_h^d} \overline{f(x)} g(x)$,
- Sobolev norms on \mathbb{Z}_h^d : $\|f\|_{W_h^{s,p}} := \|\langle \nabla_h \rangle^s f\|_{L_h^p} = \|(\langle \xi \rangle^s \hat{f})^\vee\|_{L_h^p}$
- For $p = 2$, $H_h^s := W_h^{s,2}$.

- The two point correlation function

$$\alpha_{ij} = \langle \psi_i, \psi_j \rangle, \quad \mathcal{A} = (\alpha_{ij})_{i,j}$$

- The functionals are defined as :

$$\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A}(t)) := \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} |1 - \alpha_{ij}(t)|, \quad \text{dist}(\mathcal{A}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}) := \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} |\alpha_{ij} - \tilde{\alpha}_{ij}|$$

- The difference between potentials $V_j(x) = V(x) + \nu_j$

$$\mathcal{D}(\nu) := \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} |\nu_j - \nu_i|$$

- For some technical reason

$$\mathfrak{M}_- := \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{4\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{V})}{\kappa}} \right), \quad \mathfrak{M}_+ := \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{V})}{\kappa}} \right).$$

Asymptotic Stability

- The dynamics of $\mathcal{A} = (\alpha_{ij})$ is (asymptotically) stable.

Theorem (Ha-H.-Kim, '22)

Suppose that potential, coupling strength and initial data satisfy

$$V_j(x) = V(x) + \nu_j, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad j \in [N], \quad \kappa > 4\mathcal{D}(\nu),$$
$$\max \left\{ \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{in}}), \mathfrak{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{in}}) \right\} < \mathfrak{M}_+ = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{V})}{\kappa}} \right),$$

and let $\{\psi_j\}$ and $\{\tilde{\psi}_j\}$ be global solutions to SL corresponding to the initial data $\{\psi_j^{\text{in}}\}$ and $\{\tilde{\psi}_j^{\text{in}}\}$, respectively. Then for $\mathfrak{M}^\infty \in (\mathfrak{M}_-, \frac{1}{2})$, there exists $t^* \in [0, \infty)$ such that for $t \geq t^*$,

$$\text{dist}(\mathcal{A}(t), \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(t)) \leq \text{dist}(\mathcal{A}(t^*), \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(t^*)) \exp \left[-2\kappa \left| \frac{1}{2} - \mathfrak{M}^\infty \right| (t - t^*) \right].$$

Asymptotic Stability

Step 1 : Calculation

$$\frac{d\alpha_{ij}}{dt} = i(\nu_j - \nu_i)\alpha_{ij} + \frac{\kappa}{2N} \sum_{k=1}^N (\alpha_{ki} + \alpha_{jk})(1 - \alpha_{ij}).$$

Step 2 : More calculation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \text{dist}(\mathcal{A}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}) \leq 2\kappa \left(\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A}) - \frac{1}{2} \right) \text{dist}(\mathcal{A}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}), \quad t > 0.$$

Step 3 : Assumption \Rightarrow Existence of an absorbing set : there exists a finite time $t^* \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\sup_{t_* \leq t < \infty} \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A}(t)) \leq \mathfrak{M}^\infty < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Step 4 : Apply **Step 3** to **2** : $\frac{d}{dt} \text{dist}(\mathcal{A}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}) \leq -2\kappa \left| \frac{1}{2} - \mathfrak{M}^\infty \right| \text{dist}(\mathcal{A}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}).$

Theorem (Ha-H.-Kim, '22)

Suppose system parameters and initial data satisfy

$$\kappa > 0, \quad h \in (0, 1), \quad \psi_j^{\text{in}} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d),$$
$$\max_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} |\langle \psi_i^{h, \text{in}}, \psi_j^{h, \text{in}} \rangle - \langle \psi_i^{\text{in}}, \psi_j^{\text{in}} \rangle| \lesssim \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{h}),$$

and let $\{\psi_j^h\}$ and $\{\psi_j\}$ be two global smooth solutions to semi-discrete S-L and S-L model, respectively. Then, there exist uniform (with respect to h) constants G_1 and G_2 such that

$$\|p_h \psi_j^h(t) - \psi_j(t)\|_{L^2} \leq G_1(1 + \|\psi_j^0\|_{H^1})e^{G_2 t} \sqrt{h}, \quad t > 0.$$

In other words, we see that

$$\|p_h \psi_j^h(t) - \psi_j(t)\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } h \text{ goes to } 0.$$

Main ingredients

1. Discrete vs continuous [Hong, Yang, 2019]

- For $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, let f^h : discretization, $p_h f^h$: linear interpolation.

(1) Discretization vs continuous

$$\|f^h\|_{\dot{H}_h^1(\mathbb{Z}_h^d)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad \|p_h f^h\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|f^h\|_{\dot{H}_h^1(\mathbb{Z}_h^d)}$$

$$\|p_h f^h - f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim h \|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

- Let $U(t)$, $U^h(t)$ be the solution operator for the **continuous** and **discrete** linear Schrödinger equation.

(2) Discrete flow vs continuous flow

$$\|p_h U^h(t)\psi^{h,\text{in}} - U(t)\psi^{\text{in}}\|_{L^2} \lesssim |t|h^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\|\psi^{h,\text{in}}\|_{H_h^1} + \|\psi^{\text{in}}\|_{H^1} \right) + \|p_h \psi^{h,\text{in}} - \psi^{\text{in}}\|_{L^2}.$$

2. H^1 -norm bound [Huh, Ha, 2017]

Suppose

$$\psi_j^{\text{in}} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad \|\psi_j^{\text{in}}\|_{L^2} = 1, \quad V_j \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Then, for any $T \in (0, \infty)$, the solution to SL model satisfies

$$\|\psi_j(t)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim e^{Ct}, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad j \in [N].$$

3. Stability

$$\max_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} |\alpha_{ij}(t) - \alpha_{ij}^h(t)| \leq e^{3\kappa t} \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} |\alpha_{ij}^{\text{in}}(t) - \alpha_{ij}^{h,\text{in}}(t)|.$$

Idea of the proof

Step 1 : Duhamel's formula for $p_h \psi_j^h - \psi_j$

$$\begin{aligned} p_h \psi_j^h - \psi_j &= p_h U^h(t) \psi_j^{h,\text{in}} - U(t) \psi_j^{\text{in}} \\ &\quad + \int_0^t (p_h U^h(t-s)(V_j^h \psi_j^h) - U(t-s)(V_j \psi_j)) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t (p_h U^h(t-s)L_j^h - U(t-s)L_j) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2 : Estimate each terms using **Main ingredients**.

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq N} \|p_h \psi_j^h(t) - \psi_j(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim h^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{Ct} + \max_{1 \leq j \leq N} \int_0^t \|p_h \psi_j^h(s) - \psi_j(s)\|_{L^2} ds.$$

Table of Contents

General Introduction

Discretized Schrödinger-Lohe system

Semiclassical analysis of the Schrödinger-Lohe model

Semiclassical Limit of the Schrödinger-Lohe system

Semiclassical analysis of the S-L model

- From now on, we study the **semiclassical analysis** of the Schrödinger-Lohe model.
- Write the equation in an appropriate form to investigate the effect of the scale \hbar .
- This can be done using the **Wigner transform** and we get **Wigner-Lohe** system.
- h and \hbar are completely different !!

Quantum-Classical Correspondence

- Given a Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar\partial_t\psi(x, t) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta\psi(x, t) + V(x)\psi(x, t) = H\psi.$$

We want to see what happens when $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ (Semiclassical Limit).

- For example, the uncertainty principle $[x, p] = i\hbar$ breaks down.
- However, doing this directly to the Schrödinger equation is **non sense**.
- Two approaches : **WKB** vs **Wigner transform**

- One natural way to see this is via the **WKB ansatz**,

$$\psi = a(x, t) \exp\left(\frac{iS(x, t)}{\hbar}\right).$$

- This leads us to

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t S &= -\frac{1}{2m} |\nabla S|^2 - V, \\ \partial_t a &= -\frac{1}{m} \nabla S \cdot \nabla a - \frac{1}{2m} a \Delta S.\end{aligned}$$

- Weakness : Well-posedness, solution form fixed...

- Wigner phase space method

Definition

We define the **Wigner transform** of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ functions ψ and ϕ by

$$w^{\hbar}[\psi, \phi](x, p) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi \left(x + \frac{\hbar y}{2} \right) \overline{\phi} \left(x - \frac{\hbar y}{2} \right) e^{ip \cdot y} dy.$$

We call w^{\hbar} , the Wigner function or distribution.

- This also has some weaknesses.

Wigner transform

- Some properties of the Wigner transform ($\hbar = 1$).

Lemma

(1) (Moyal identity)

$$\langle w[\psi, \phi], w[\psi', \phi'] \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\hbar}\right)^d \langle \psi, \psi' \rangle_{L^2} \overline{\langle \phi, \phi' \rangle_{L^2}},$$

$$(2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} w[\psi, \phi](x, p) dp = \psi(x) \bar{\phi}(x), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} w[\psi, \psi](x, p) dp = |\psi(x)|^2$$

$$(3) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} w[\psi, \phi](x, p) dx = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^d} \mathcal{F}\psi\left(\frac{p}{\hbar}\right) \overline{\mathcal{F}\phi\left(\frac{p}{\hbar}\right)}$$

- In particular, the expectation value of an observable G is given by

$$\mathbb{E}(G) = \int w[\psi, \psi](x, p) g(x, p) dx dp.$$

- Remark : Wigner function can take **negative values**, i.e. not a true probability density. cf) Husimi transform.
- However, as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ the Wigner function becomes more positive.

Wigner transform method

- If ψ satisfies the **linear** Schrödinger equation, $w^{\hbar} = w^{\hbar}[\psi, \psi]$ satisfies the **quantum Liouville equation** :

$$\partial_t w^{\hbar} + p \cdot \nabla_x w^{\hbar} + \frac{\Theta[V](w^{\hbar})}{\hbar} = 0,$$

where $\Theta[V]$ is the pseudodifferential operator,

$$\Theta[V](w^{\hbar}) := -\frac{i}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left[V\left(x + \frac{\hbar y}{2}\right) - V\left(x - \frac{\hbar y}{2}\right) \right] w^{\hbar}(x, p') e^{i(p-p') \cdot y} dp' dy.$$

- Notice that $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ yields (formally)

$$\partial_t w + p \cdot \nabla_x w - \nabla_x V \cdot \nabla_p w = 0.$$

The Wigner-Lohe model

- Schrödinger-Lohe \Rightarrow Wigner-Lohe, via the Wigner transform
- We define the Wigner matrix $W^{\hbar} = (w_{ij}^{\hbar})$ by

$$w_{ij}^{\hbar} := w^{\hbar}[\psi_i, \psi_j].$$

- In this part, we set $\hbar = 1$.

The Wigner-Lohe model

- The **Wigner-Lohe model** for $V_i \equiv V$ is written as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t w_{ij} + p \cdot \nabla_x w_{ij} + \Theta[V](w_{ij}) \\ = \frac{\kappa}{2N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left[(w_{ik} + w_{kj}) - \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (w_{ik} + w_{kj}) dx dp \right) w_{ij} \right], & (x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}, \\ w_{ij}(0, x, p) = w_{ij}^0(x, p), & i, j \in [N]. \end{cases}$$

where $\Theta[V]$ is the pseudodifferential operator,

$$\Theta[V](w) := -\frac{i}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left[V\left(x + \frac{y}{2}\right) - V\left(x - \frac{y}{2}\right) \right] w(x, p') e^{i(p-p') \cdot y} dp' dy,$$

subject to initial constraints:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} w_{ii}^0 dx dp = 1, \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} w_{ij}^0 dx dp - 1 \right| < 1, \quad i \neq j \in [N].$$

Complete synchronization

- Our first result is on the **uniformization of the Wigner matrix**

Theorem (Ha, H., Kim, 2022)

Let w_{ij} be a **sufficiently smooth solution** to Wigner-Lohe. Then, the **complete aggregation** emerges asymptotically:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|w_{ik} - w_{jm}\|_{L^2} = 0, \quad i, j, k, m \in [N].$$

- Set

$$z_{ij}(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} w_{ij}(t, x, p) dx dp, \quad i, j \in [N], \quad t > 0,$$

Proof Idea

- Want to derive Grönwall-type inequality for $\|w_{ik} - w_{jk}\|_{L^2}$.
- But, the inequality contains terms like $\|w_{ij}\|_{L^2}$ and $|1 - z_{ij}|$ which are **not necessarily bounded**.
- Thus, we restrict the **initial data** to show that $|1 - z_{ij}| \rightarrow 0$ and $\|w_{ij}\|_{L^2} \leq C$.
- Then there exist two positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{k=1}^N \|w_{ik} - w_{jk}\|_{L^2}^2 \leq -\kappa \left(1 - C_1 e^{-\kappa t}\right) \sum_{k=1}^N \|w_{ik} - w_{jk}\|_{L^2}^2 + C_2 e^{-\kappa t}, \quad t > 0.$$

Complete synchronization

- Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|w_{ik} - w_{jk}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq \frac{\kappa}{N} \sum_{\ell=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left(|w_{ik} - w_{jk}| |w_{i\ell} - w_{j\ell}| - \operatorname{Re}(z_{i\ell} + z_{\ell k}) |w_{ik} - w_{jk}|^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + |z_{i\ell} - z_{j\ell}| |w_{jk}| |w_{ik} - w_{jk}| \right) dx dp \\ & \leq \frac{\kappa}{N} \sum_{\ell=1}^N \left(\|w_{ik} - w_{jk}\|_{L^2} \|w_{i\ell} - w_{j\ell}\|_{L^2} - \operatorname{Re}(z_{i\ell} + z_{\ell k}) \|w_{ik} - w_{jk}\|_{L^2}^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + |z_{i\ell} - z_{j\ell}| \|w_{jk}\|_{L^2} \|w_{ik} - w_{jk}\|_{L^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

- Now we investigate the **global solvability**.

For this, we define a subset \mathcal{X} , a norm and a **transport operator**:

$$\mathcal{X} := \left\{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) : \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} f dx dp \right| < \infty \right\},$$
$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{X}} := \|f\|_{L^2} + \left| \int f dx dp \right|, \quad A := -p \cdot \nabla_x.$$

and its N^2 copies:

$$\mathcal{X} := \left\{ F = (F_{ij}) \in (L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}))^{\otimes N^2} : \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} F_{ij} dx dp \right| < \infty, \quad i, j \in [N] \right\},$$
$$\|F\|_{\mathcal{X}} := \|F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})^{\otimes N^2}} + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} F dx dp \right| := \max_{i,j} \left(\|F_{ij}\| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} F_{ij} dx dp \right| \right).$$

Theorem (Ha-H.-Kim, '22)

For $T \in (0, \infty)$, the following assertions hold.

1. If initial data and the potential satisfy

$$w_{ij}^0 \in \mathcal{X}, \quad i, j \in [N], \quad \text{and} \quad V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

then there exists a *unique mild solution* to the Wigner-Lohe:

$$w_{ij} \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{X}), \quad i, j \in [N].$$

2. If we impose *further regularity* on initial data and the potential

$$w_{ij}^0 \in D(A), \quad i, j \in [N], \quad \text{and} \quad V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

then there exists a *unique classical solution* to the Wigner-Lohe.

$$w_{ij} \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{X}) \cap C^1([0, T]; D(A)), \quad i, j \in [N].$$

Sketch of proof

Idea of the proof :

(1) Mild solution : **Fixed point theorem** on the space X .

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t W + p \cdot \nabla_x W + \Theta[V](W) \\ = \frac{\kappa}{2N} \left(E_{ij} W C_j + R_i W E_{ij} - W \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (E_{ij} G C_j + R_i G E_{ij}) dx dp \right), \\ W(0) = W^0. \end{cases}$$

(2) Classical solution : **Semigroup theory** to the equation of the form

$$\begin{cases} \frac{du(t)}{dt} + Au(t) = f(t, u(t)), & t > t_0, \\ u(t_0) = u_0. \end{cases}$$

(2-continued) For $W = (w_{ij})_{(i,j)}$, we introduce an $N \times N$ matrix $F(W)$ whose (i,j) -th component is given as

$$(F(W))_{(i,j)} := \frac{\kappa}{2N} \sum_{k=1}^N (z_{ik} + z_{kj}) w_{ij} = \frac{\kappa}{2N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (w_{ik} + w_{kj}) dx dp \right) w_{ij},$$

Then, we show that F is indeed **Lipschitz** by using the following :

Lemma (Application of the Gâteaux Mean value theorem)

For $U, V \in X$, there exists a positive constant $C > 0$ that may depend on time T such that

$$\|F(U) - F(V)\|_X \leq C \|U - V\|_X.$$

Then, the functional derivative, denoted by DF , is continuous. Consequently, F is Lipschitz from a bounded subset of X to X .

Table of Contents

General Introduction

Discretized Schrödinger-Lohe system

Semiclassical analysis of the Schrödinger-Lohe model

Semiclassical Limit of the Schrödinger-Lohe system

- We study the **transition**

Schrödinger-Lohe $\underbrace{\Rightarrow}_1$ Wigner-Lohe $\underbrace{\Rightarrow}_2$ Vlasov-Lohe

1 : Wigner transform

2 : Semiclassical limit.

- **Vlasov-Lohe model**

1. Derivation (Formal and Rigorous)
2. Global existence of classical solutions
3. Asymptotic behavior

The semiclassical limit

- **Goal** : Semiclassical Limit of a quantum synchronization system?

That is, we want the classical counterpart of the Schrödinger-Lohe system: **Nonidentical potential** + **Nonlinearity**

$$i\hbar\partial_t\psi_j^\hbar = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\Delta\psi_j^\hbar + U_j^\hbar\psi_j^\hbar + \frac{i\hbar\kappa}{2N}\sum_{k=1}^N\left(\psi_k^\hbar - \langle\psi_j^\hbar, \psi_k^\hbar\rangle\psi_j^\hbar\right).$$

- But, how to take the Wigner transform?
 - We **assume** that the potentials are of the form $U_j^\hbar(x) = U(x) + \hbar\nu_j$.
- ⇒ **Wigner matrix approach** [Gerard-Markowich-Mauser-Poupaud, '97].

$$w^\hbar[\psi_i, \psi_j](x, p) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_i\left(x + \frac{\hbar y}{2}\right) \overline{\psi_j}\left(x - \frac{\hbar y}{2}\right) e^{ip \cdot y} dy.$$

The semiclassical limit

- **Question** : How to send $\hbar \rightarrow 0$?
- Frequently used test function space is defined by

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\phi \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) : \mathcal{F}_{p \rightarrow z} \phi(x, z) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_z^d; \mathcal{C}^0(\mathbb{R}_x^d))\},$$

with the norm given by

$$\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{A}} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\mathcal{F}_{p \rightarrow z} \phi(x, z)| dz,$$

and we denote by \mathcal{A}^* the dual space of \mathcal{A} .

- Indeed, we work with N^2 -copies of \mathcal{A} .

Proposition (Gerard-Markowich-Mauser-Poupaud, '97)

For a **bounded** family of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)^{\otimes N}$ functions $\{(\psi_j^{\hbar})\}$, let $W^{\hbar}[\Psi^{\hbar}]$ be a matrix whose elements are generalized Wigner distributions:

$$W^{\hbar}[\Psi^{\hbar}]_{i,j} = w^{\hbar}[\psi_i^{\hbar}, \psi_j^{\hbar}].$$

Then, the following assertions hold.

1. (Boundedness):

$$\|W^{\hbar}[\Psi^{\hbar}]\|_{(\mathcal{A}^{N \times N})^*} \leq \|\Psi^{\hbar}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)^{\otimes N}}.$$

2. (Weak-* compactness): There exists a subsequence of $W^{\hbar}[\Psi^{\hbar}]$ which converges in $(\mathcal{A}^{N \times N})^*$ weak-* to the measure $W = (w_{ij})$. We call W as **the Wigner measure** associated to the family $\{(\psi_j^{\hbar})\}$.

Formal derivation

- Recall the following **Wigner-Lohe** system:

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t w_{ij}^{\hbar} + p \cdot \nabla_x w_{ij}^{\hbar} + \frac{\Theta[V](w_{ij}^{\hbar})}{\hbar} \\ &= \frac{i}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (\omega_i - \omega_j) w_{ij}^{\hbar}(x, p') e^{i(p-p') \cdot y} dp' dy \\ &+ \frac{\kappa}{2N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left\{ (w_{kj}^{\hbar} + w_{ik}^{\hbar}) - \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (w_{ik}^{\hbar} + w_{kj}^{\hbar}) dx dp \right) w_{ij}^{\hbar} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the pseudodifferential operator is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta[V](w)(x, p; \hbar) \\ &= -\frac{i}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left[V \left(x + \frac{\hbar y}{2} \right) - V \left(x - \frac{\hbar y}{2} \right) \right] w(x, p') e^{i(p-p') \cdot y} dp' dy. \end{aligned}$$

Formal derivation

- Now, sending $\hbar \rightarrow 0$, yields the following **Vlasov-Lohe** equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t w_{ij} + p \cdot \nabla_x w_{ij} - \nabla_x V \cdot \nabla_p w_{ij} \\ & = i(\nu_i - \nu_j) w_{ij}(x, p) \\ & \quad + \frac{\kappa}{2N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left\{ (w_{kj} + w_{ik}) - \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (w_{ik} + w_{kj}) dx dp \right) w_{ij} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

- In the following, we want to derive

Schrödinger-Lohe \Rightarrow Wigner-Lohe \Rightarrow Vlasov Lohe or

Wave functions \Rightarrow Wigner functions \Rightarrow Wigner measures.

Rigorous Derivation

Step 1 : From Schrödinger-Lohe to Wigner-Lohe, i.e. from

$$\begin{cases} i\hbar\partial_t\psi_j^{\hbar} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\Delta\psi_j^{\hbar} + (V + \hbar\nu_j)\psi_j^{\hbar} + \frac{i\hbar\kappa}{2N}\sum_{k=1}^N(\psi_k^{\hbar} - \langle\psi_k^{\hbar}, \psi_j^{\hbar}\rangle\psi_j^{\hbar}), \\ \psi_j^{\hbar}\Big|_{t=0+} = \psi_j^{\hbar,0}, \quad \|\psi_j^{\hbar,0}\|_{L^2} = 1, \quad j \in [N], \end{cases}$$

to

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t w_{ij}^{\hbar} + p \cdot \nabla_x w_{ij}^{\hbar} &= \frac{i}{\hbar(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left[U_i^{\hbar}\left(x + \frac{\hbar y}{2}\right) - U_j^{\hbar}\left(x - \frac{\hbar y}{2}\right) \right] w_{ij}^{\hbar}(x, p') e^{i(p-p') \cdot y} dp' dy \\ &\quad + \frac{\kappa}{2N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left[(w_{kj}^{\hbar} + w_{ik}^{\hbar}) - \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (w_{ik}^{\hbar} + w_{kj}^{\hbar}) dx dp \right) w_{ij}^{\hbar} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where we set $U_j^{\hbar}(x) = V(x) + \hbar\nu_j$.

In theorem, we have

Theorem (Ha-H.-Kim, submitted)

Suppose that the potentials $U_j^{\hbar} := V + \hbar\nu_j$ are in $(C^1 \cap L^\infty)(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and let $\{\psi_j^{\hbar}\} \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}, H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ be a set of solutions to SL. Then, w_{ij}^{\hbar} satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t w_{ij}^{\hbar} + p \cdot \nabla_x w_{ij}^{\hbar} + \frac{\Theta[V](w_{ij}^{\hbar})}{\hbar} &= \frac{i(\nu_i - \nu_j)}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} w_{ij}^{\hbar}(x, p') e^{i(p-p') \cdot y} dp' dy \\ &+ \frac{\kappa}{2N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left\{ (w_{kj}^{\hbar} + w_{ik}^{\hbar}) - \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (w_{ik}^{\hbar} + w_{kj}^{\hbar}) dx dp \right) w_{ij}^{\hbar} \right\}, \quad i, j \in [N]. \end{aligned}$$

Schrödinger-Lohe to Wigner-Lohe

To show the previous theorem, we prove

Lemma

Suppose that the potentials $U_j^{\hbar} = V + \hbar\nu_j$ belong to $(C^1 \cap L^\infty)(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and let $\{\psi_j^{\hbar}\} \subset C(\mathbb{R}, H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ be a strong solution to SL. Then, one has the following regularity estimates:

1. The following functions belong to $C^1(\mathbb{R}; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d}))$:

$$w_{ij}^{\hbar}, \quad i(\nu_i - \nu_j)w_{ij}^{\hbar},$$
$$\frac{\kappa}{2N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left\{ (w_{kj}^{\hbar} + w_{ik}^{\hbar}) - \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (w_{ik}^{\hbar} + w_{kj}^{\hbar}) dx dp \right) w_{ij}^{\hbar} \right\}, \quad i, j \in [N].$$

2. The following functions belong to $C(\mathbb{R}; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d}))$:

$$\frac{\partial w_{ij}^{\hbar}}{\partial x_m}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 w_{ij}^{\hbar}}{\partial x_\ell \partial x_m}, \quad \frac{1}{\hbar} \Theta[V](w_{ij}^{\hbar}), \quad i, j \in [N], \quad \ell, m \in [d].$$

Wigner-Lohe to Vlasov-Lohe

Step 2 : From Wigner-Lohe to Vlasov-Lohe.

- Starting from

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t w_{ij}^{\hbar} + p \cdot \nabla_x w_{ij}^{\hbar} \\ = \frac{i}{\hbar(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left[U_i^{\hbar} \left(x + \frac{\hbar y}{2} \right) - U_j^{\hbar} \left(x - \frac{\hbar y}{2} \right) \right] w_{ij}^{\hbar}(x, p') e^{i(p-p') \cdot y} dp' dy \\ + \frac{\kappa}{2N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left[(w_{kj}^{\hbar} + w_{ik}^{\hbar}) - \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (w_{ik}^{\hbar} + w_{kj}^{\hbar}) dx dp \right) w_{ij}^{\hbar} \right], \end{aligned}$$

- We arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t w_{ij} + p \cdot \nabla_x w_{ij} - \nabla_x V \cdot \nabla_p w_{ij} \\ = i(\nu_i - \nu_j) w_{ij} + \frac{\kappa}{2N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left\{ (w_{kj} + w_{ik}) - \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (w_{ik} + w_{kj}) dx dp \right) w_{ij} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

- The derivation of Vlasov-Lohe is based on **assumption** that we have the strong convergence for the **initial data**

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} w_{ij}^{\hbar}(x, p, 0) dx dp \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} w_{ij}(dx, dp, 0),$$

and applying the **weak-* convergence** of the Wigner functions to Wigner measures.

- The Wigner **measures** are **weak** solutions :

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (\partial_t \phi + p \cdot \nabla_x \phi - \nabla V \cdot \nabla_p \phi) w_{ij}(t, dx, dp) dt \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \phi(0, x, p) w_{ij}(0, dx, dp) \\ & + i(\nu_i - \nu_j) \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \phi w_{ij}(t, dx, dp) dt \\ & + \frac{\kappa}{2N} \sum_{k=1}^N \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \phi(w_{kj} + w_{ik})(t, dx, dp) \\ & - \int_0^\infty \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (w_{ik} + w_{kj})(t, dx, dp) \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \phi w_{ij}(t, dx, dp) \right) dt = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for any test function $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{2d})$.

Theorem (Ha-H.-Kim, submitted)

Suppose that the potentials and initial data satisfy

$$U_j^{\hbar} := V + \hbar v_j \in (C^1 \cap L^\infty)(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad j \in [N],$$

and let $\{(\psi_j^{\hbar})_j\}_{\hbar}$ be a sequence of strong solutions to SL in $C(\mathbb{R}; H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. If the corresponding Wigner distributions $\{w_{ij}^{\hbar}\}$ satisfy the assumption on initial data, then the set of corresponding **Wigner measures** $\{w_{ij}\}$ is a global **weak solution** to VL.

- The Vlasov-Lohe model : for $(t, x, p) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^{2d}$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t w_{ij} + p \cdot \nabla_x w_{ij} - \nabla_x V \cdot \nabla_p w_{ij} = i(\nu_i - \nu_j) w_{ij} \\ + \frac{\kappa_i}{2N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left\{ (w_{kj} + w_{ik}) - \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (w_{ik} + w_{kj}) dx dp \right) w_{ij} \right\}, \\ w_{ij} \Big|_{t=0} = w_{ij}^0, \quad i, j \in [N], \end{array} \right.$$

subject to **initial constraints**:

$$w_{ij}^0 \in W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} w_{ii}^0 dx dp = 1, \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} w_{ij}^0 dx dp - 1 \right| < 1, \quad i \neq j \in [N].$$

Proposition (Ha-H.-Kim, submitted)

(Local existence of a classical solution) Suppose that the zeroth order potential and initial datum satisfy

$$V \in \mathcal{C}^3(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} w_{ij}^0 dx dp = 1, \quad \forall i \in [N].$$

Then, there exists a time $\tau_* > 0$ such that system has a unique set of solutions $\{w_{ij}\}$ in $\mathcal{C}^1([0, \tau_*) \times \mathbb{R}^{2d})$.

Theorem (Ha-H.-Kim, submitted)

(Global existence of classical solutions) Suppose that the zeroth order potential V lies in $\mathcal{C}^3(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and initial data satisfy the constraints. Then, for any $\tau \in (0, \infty)$, there exists a unique global classical solution in $\mathcal{C}^1([0, \tau) \times \mathbb{R}^{2d})$.

Global Solvability

- Idea of the proof : **Method of characteristics**.
- **Local existence** : The nonlocal term is determined by the ODEs : If we set

$$z_{ij}(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} w_{ij} dx dp, \quad i, j \in [N].$$

Then, we integrate the system with respect to (x, p) -variable to find the ODE system for z_{ij} :

$$\frac{dz_{ij}}{dt} = i(\nu_i - \nu_j)z_{ij} + \frac{\kappa}{2N} \sum_{k=1}^N (1 - z_{ij})(z_{ik} + z_{kj}).$$

- **Global existence** : Using the method of characteristics to estimate the

term

$$\mathcal{E}(t) := \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 2} \sum_{i, j=1}^N \|\nabla_x^\alpha \nabla_p^\beta w_{ij}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}.$$

Uniformization of the Wigner matrix

Let $\{w_{ij}\}$ be a solution to Vlasov-Lohe system. We set

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Z}) := \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} |1 - z_{ij}|, \quad \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{V}) := \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} |\nu_i - \nu_j|.$$

Theorem Suppose that coupling strength and initial data satisfy

$$\kappa > 0, \quad \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Z}^0) < \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{V})/\kappa)}}{2},$$

Then, the following estimates hold.

1. If $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{V}) = 0$, **complete synchronization** for $\mathcal{W} = \{w_{ij}\}$ emerges asymptotically:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \max_{i, j, \ell, k \in [N]} \|w_{ij}(t) - w_{\ell k}(t)\| = 0.$$

2. If $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{V}) > 0$ and $\kappa > 4\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{V})$, **practical synchronization** for $\mathcal{Z} = \{z_{ij}\}$ occurs asymptotically:

$$\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Z}(t)) = 0.$$

Summary

- (1) **Continuum limit** : Semi-discrete SL \Rightarrow SL
- (2) **Semiclassical analysis** : Study of the Wigner-Lohe model
- (2) **Semiclassical limit** : SL \Rightarrow Wigner-Lohe \Rightarrow Vlasov-Lohe

Thank you for the listening!